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SAT., MAR. 7, 1998

THE KAHAL OF THE CAHILLA

The dispersion of the Jews [The Cahilla] which followed in 135 A.D., instead of destroying the Kahal, [Government of The Cahilla], served on the contrary to set it on a new and firmer basis, on which it has continued ever since. Wherever Jewish immigrants settled, they founded communities apart under the direction of the fraternities, and held to the precepts of the Talmud. Each community has its miniature Kahal. The different aims of these communities always found themselves intimately related with those of the Central body upon which their existence depended.

So it was possible for the Jews to develop and operate a perfected system of espionage which they still maintain. In olden days as now, they sent agents to watch over Jewish affairs at police stations and other agents were posted at the doors of shops, hotels, business houses, law courts, and even in private homes to get advance information of all kinds. In this manner all kinds of things became possible, even blackmail, with which all kinds of political pressure could be brought to bear on Gentiles who had political influence in the Roman Government at that time. Hence, it is easy to understand the reason for the concentration of trade into Jewish hands with all these instruments of political pressure and advance business information at their finger tips at all times.

There is a colloquial term that should always be coupled with the Dispersion. That is “Der Tag”, or “The Day of Redemption”, when World Jewry shall be gathered together again under one head, with all Gentile nations subservient to this world-wide Jewish empire whose governing seat is to be in Jerusalem. [U.S.A. and Jerusalem, Palestine.] (ZIONIST MOVEMENT)

Millions of gullible Germans, as well as millions of gullible and illiterate Christians everywhere, thought back in 1914 that Der Tag meant the Day of Recognition for Germany as to her place in the sun as a nation. [H: Every day we learn more, don’t we? And keep in mind, this article was written in 1934.] It was a phrase and a term artfully “sold” to the German people, or rather, put in their mouths. Really it was Hebrew in meaning, indicating in the secret councils of Jewry that having provoked and produced the world war (one), with the white pariah nations thus engaged in slaughtering one another by the hundreds of thousands, the outcome could only mean the achievement by the Jews of an age-long goal; winning to the pinnacle of world-wide political and economic power.

So the Germans, French, English and Russians went forth to fight this war of self-extermination, not knowing that in greeting Der Tag they were playing the game of Jewish world imperialists—as will be explained and authenticated in more detail further along.
[H: I want to interrupt right here before the writing regarding WW-II, that Adolph Hitler was a JEW. Wow, and now you know. He was going to have a MASTER RACE OF SUPERIOR PEOPLE BASED ON THE CAHILLA. As “local” (loco??) Judge Jason Brent has stated in his great depopulation article in Mensa magazine: “The worst part of Hitler’s reign is that he ruined any chance of ever having a Superior Race.” How does that grab you people?]

The true story of the rise of Hitlerism to power in Germany, how and why it could get the support of the whole German people and why the Jews are execrating it all over the earth, is really the story of how the Germans discovered the Jewish influence about the Kaiser that worked to project the world war, not only from Berlin, but from Paris, London and Washington. Real Germans are smashing Jewish influence; weeding it out of Germany. Because of this setback to their plans, world Jewry is turning the full blast of its temporal power and control of instruments of publicity in all countries against Hitler to vilify, misrepresent, and destroy him. This too will be dealt with later.

[H: You may well end up equating what is happening to the U.S. Government and Clinton to what happened to Hitler. Both were put in place as Jews and Jewish tools. When it is expeditious to “sacrifice” them, THEY ARE MURDERED IN ONE WAY OR ANOTHER IN HOPES THE TRUTH WON’T “OUT”. BUT TRUTH ALWAYS “OUTS” SOONER OR LATER AND IN THESE LATTER DAYS IT IS USUALLY “SOONER”. There are no qualms whatsoever over killing off their own—to gain more loot and power.]

The point to be registered here is, that from the Roman Titus through the long line of medieval monarchs to Queen Isabella and Ferdinand, wherever the Jews have won political or financial prominence in a country, seeds of disruption, unrest and sedition have been sown to such an extent that in a greater or lesser degree monarchs have had to deal harshly with the people in consequence. [H: This is so obvious in World War II in Germany. READERS, THE JEWS—THE JEWS—LITERALLY DECLARED “WAR” AGAINST GERMANY AND STILL ALL THE GERMANS DID WAS TRY TO CONTAIN THEM AND MOVE THEM OUT OF GERMANY AND INTO SAFETY IN PALESTINE WHERE THEY “SAID” THEY WANTED TO GO. The MOVEMENT TO PALESTINE was the FINAL SOLUTION, not doing anything “else” to the bunch of hate-mongers. Moreover, the NAZI [from “AshkaNAZI) party leaders and concentration hierarchy were also The Cahilla—or “Jews”. It was hoped that the world would never find out TRUTH. I think you can certainly see why that would be.]

To counterbalance or suppress such unrest or sedition, one of three expedients has been resorted to: Jews have been either expelled from such royal domain, or “dispersed”, or they have been confined in restricted areas called Ghettos with orders not to leave the same under pain of death, or they have been butchered in massacres called “pogroms”. [H: Watch it right here, where you have “pogroms” later the Jews took the word Holocaust to replace an already accepted term.]

Jewish publicists by the thousands have trained the Christian public to believe that this “persecution” is a chastisement inflicted on this people by Almighty God, and that it has resulted from religious prejudice or the competitive cleverness of the Jew, which Gentiles fear or resent. Delving soberly and clinically into the
facts, we discover that such persecution has come about from far different causes.

Jews are everywhere persecuted, and have been persecuted consistently throughout generations, as mass reprisals against THEIR OWN BEHAVIOR AND THEIR OWN PREDATORY OR SEDITIOUS ACTS. There are ample admissions by Jewish authorities that this is a statement of fact. [H: Mostly the persecutions came of self-inflicted garbage to fool all of the people all of the time, if possible—but it isn’t possible is it? Only SOME of the people can be fooled ALL THE TIME and the most easily fooled are the ones “thinking” themselves to be Jewish in this instance. (The “Poor” Jew.) Their term, not mine.]

In 1923, Maurice Samuels, a Jew, wrote and published a disastrously frank book called I, THE JEW! In it he stated “We Jews are born revolutionists. God made us and constituted us so that even if we achieved any of the ends which we so professedly desire, we would at once set about the overthrow of them as a matter of policy,” and more to the same effect.

The Jew, Dr. Munzer, in his book THE WAY TO ZION boasts as follows: “We Jews have spoiled the blood of the races. We have tarnished and broken their power. We have made everything foul, rotten, decomposed and decayed.”

The Jew, Dr. Weizman, in a pamphlet entitled “Great Britain, Palestine and the Jews” states: “Here we are, just Jews and nothing else, a nation among nations.”

The Jew, Isaac Adolphe Cremieux, the founder of the Universal Jewish Alliance, says: “Our union is not a French one, nor English, nor Swiss, nor German. Nay, our union is Jewish and it is universal. Living in lands of dispersion, we cannot be concerned about the changing aims of those lands which are strange to us until the time when our own aims, both moral and material, are in danger. If you realize that, in spite of your cover nationalities, you form only one and the same people, if you believe that only Judaism constitutes the religion and political truth, you will listen to our appeal and you will accept it.”

The Jew, Theodore Herzl, in an address, said: “It is our opinion that the Jewish question can be solved by the Jews themselves. We no longer want to wear the mask of any other nationality.”

The Jew, Disraeli, in 1844, in his book CONINGSBY said: “The world is governed by very different personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes.”

Later, in his book LIFE OF LORD BENTINCK (PP 497-8) Disraeli says in regard to revolutionary outbreaks: “The influence of the Jews may be traced in the last outbreak of the destructive principle in Europe. An insurrection takes place against tradition and aristocracy, against religion and property. Destruction of the Semitic principle, extirpation of the Jewish religion, whether in the Mosaic or the Christian form, the natural equality of man and the abrogation of property, are proclaimed by the secret societies who form proviso governments, and men of the Jewish race are found at the head of every one of them. The people of God cooperate with atheists; the most skillful accumulators of property ally themselves with Communists; the peculiar and chosen race touch the hand of all the scum and low caste of Europe! And all this because they wish to destroy that ungrateful Christendom which owes to them even its name and whose tyranny they can no longer endure.”
The Jew, Walther Rathenau, financial adviser to the Kaiser and agent of the Rothschilds, in the Weiner Press, December 24, 1921, said: “Only 300 men, each of whom knows all the others, govern the fate of Europe. They select their successors from their own entourage. These German Jews have the means in their hands of putting an end to the form of any State which they find ‘unreasonable’.” On June 24, 1922 he was assassinated. [H: Typical Cahillian damage control.]

This sort of testimony is not manufactured to advance race prejudice from religious or economic spleen. It is the testimony of representatives and responsible Jews themselves.

Wherever Jews are cliqued together, in any country, there they are traditionalized to bring about in some form the emasculation of that country, that the worldwide Zionist government may eventually be realized.

Let us see briefly where this conspiracy began.

In the twelve centuries that transpired between the dispersing action of Titus, and the 1306 expulsion fiat of Philip IV of France, world Jewry had taken on a two-fold aspect. Jewry out of the Tribe of Judah and presided over in a temporal way by the Sadducean Sephardim, had in a manner of speaking, split into two parts or racial divisions. One-half migrated northward out of Palestine into what is now the Soviet Ukraine. Here they interbred further with Asiatic and Tartar Mongols, and caught in the western onrush of the horde of Genghis Kahn, they were swept in vast numbers through Poland into the Danube Valley. They became in time the ASH’KANAZI, or Mongolid branch of world Jewry, comprising the great mass of Russian and German Jews. [H: Please refer back to that article you all wanted to deny, by GARY WEAN, where you said there were no such things as “Mongol Jews”. We have them today throughout America, roundheaded, grasping, alternately whining and arrogant, strict materialists, who openly consider Jewry to be not followers of a religion but a world-wide political State, in other words, a nation competitive with all other nations.

The other branch of Jewry migrated westward through countries adjacent to the Mediterranean, particularly throughout North Africa, where they intermarried with the Moors and Berbers and later with the Spaniards and the Portuguese. This racial division is known as the Sephardim Jews. Because of their strong infusion of Aryan blood, these Jews have the cleanly cut Grecian features, the cherry-black eyes, and the general characteristics of Spaniards and Arabs. They represent all that is finest and best in Jewry as we find it in the world today. Sephardim Jews are artistic and esthetic. They hold generally that Jewry is a religion and not a political State, though by no means are they adverse to standing with their AshKanazim brethren in presenting a united Jewish front against the Gentiles. Nevertheless, there is a certain schism fundamentally between these two major divisions of world Jews, and internal rivalry between their leaders has been responsible for more changes in international politics among the world’s Gentile nations than the layman dreams. [H: If you think the differences are noted elsewhere—in today’s Israel it is so pronounced that Sephardim Jews are outcasts. How do we KNOW, here, that this can be so? Well, when we first wrote about these things several years ago the efforts were full-bore to get the books and writings (mine) from here banned and many were stopped at the Canadian Border as “hate” and “revisionist” material. Funny thing is that the “revisionist” material came directly from the revising Jews and not from outside input. We have only brought forth HISTORICAL fact and revised no part of it.]
In world finance, economics and politics, the Mongoloid Ashkanazim Hebrews are represented by the great banking families of the Rothschilds (or Mayers) of Germany, the Sassoon families of Baghdad and the Orient, and the Samuels families of London. The Sephardim Hebrews are largely officialized by the Ginsberg families of France and Spain.

In the substance of the foregoing paragraph, if the Christian layman only knew it, lies the key that unlocks the seemingly inconsistent moves making for war or peace in scores of the world’s Gentile nations.

About 1492 King Ferdinand and Queen Isabella found their kingdom in the same compromising situation, from Jewish encroachments, as earlier monarchs had confronted since the year 70 A.D. and which they had uniformly solved by consigning this non-social trouble-breeding people to live in Ghettos.

The well known edict of Charles VIII of France in 1489 ordered all Jews to embrace Christianity and become loyal citizens and good subjects or suffer forfeiture of their goods and chattels, also expulsion from his domain.

The heads of Sephardim Jewry thereupon wrote in their extremity to the Elders of Zion, the Sanhedrin then sitting in Constantinople [now Istanbul], asking for advice as to what they should do. The mischievous reply to this appeal has come up across the years of history, and shows itself as being directly responsible for the growth of the Zionist Movement throughout the Earth. These Constantinople Elders responded:

“Dear beloved brethren in Moses:

We have received your letter in which you tell us of the anxieties and misfortunes which you are enduring. We are pierced by as great pain to hear it as yourselves.

The advice of the Grand Satraps and Rabbis is the following:

As for what you say that the King of France obliges you to become Christians; do it, since you cannot do otherwise, but let the law of Moses be kept in your hearts. [H: You know, intelligently handle that old Mark of the Beast.]

As for what you say about the command to despoil you of your goods; make your sons merchants, that little by little they may despoil the Christians of theirs.

As for what you say of their attempts on your lives; make your sons doctors and apothecaries, that they may take away Christians’ lives.

As for what you say of their destroying your synagogues; make your sons canons and clerics in order that they may destroy their churches.

As for the many other vexations you complain of; arrange that your sons become advocates and lawyers, and see that they always mix themselves up with the affairs of State, in order that by putting Christians under your yoke you may dominate the world and be avenged on them.
Do not swerve from this order that we give you, because you will find by experience that, humiliated as you are, you will reach the actuality of power.”

Such was the direful and subtle Protocol of 1489 whose authenticity has never yet been successfully refuted or honestly denied by well-informed Jews. That it was acted upon in the Southern European countries with manifest success, the pages of history will attest. Jews everywhere penetrated the Catholic church and arose to Christian religious power. The entire Jesuit Order, responsible for so much Catholic mischief throughout the world, was founded by a Jew, Ignatius Loyola. Out of Jesuitry came Adam Weishaupt and his infamous Illuminati, the vast and terrible secret society of Europe founded upon an introvert form of the Jewish CAHILLA, which at one time swept millions of Gentile Europeans into its murderous net.

The society, strongest in Germany where it had its home and where it perverted and subverted Christian Masonry through the machination of Frederick the Great, introduced what is known as the Grand Lodge Orient to Europe—or the co-Masonry against which every European monarch has set himself from time to time. Mussolini being the last to excommunicate its political intrigue. The most complete, detailed, and authenticated history of the movement, how it was financed by Jews as a gesture to get their release from European Ghettos are recounted in the works of the famous historian, Nesta H. Webster, author of The French Revolution, World Revolution, Secret Societies & Subversive Movements; and The Socialists Network.

The Illuminati, under the renegade Weishaupt, became in time an openly destructive Satanic Society, with everything in its doctrines and rituals the exact antithesis of Christianity. Enticing its victim into the first initial degrees by the most beautiful and altruistic of fraternal ideals and noble aspirations, gradually it wove about them a net of murderous Satanism. Implications in secret rites at length bound them securely to the organization with chains of steel. As Mrs. Webster has shown, the “ideals” of Illuminism were:

1. Hatred of God and all forms of religion.
2. Destruction of private property and inheritance.
3. Absolute social and racial equality, promotion of class hatred.
4. Destruction of all forms of either monarchical or democratic governments, including civil liberties, such as freedom of speech, of the press, of assembly and of trial by jury. [H: How many of you realize this is the point when “Talmudic” Law is referred to in court or among lawyers discussing how it “should” be?]
5. Destruction of all nationalism, love of country, patriotism and allegiance to civil or political rulers.
6. Abolition of marriage and practice of free love.

[END OF QUOTING]
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ILLUMINATI, READ: SATANISM

On page four of report No. 2290, Seventy First Congress, III Session, investigation of Communistic propaganda in America, the same articles are advanced as the definition of Russian-Jewish Communism in
its fundamental aspirations. Indeed, the Jews, Karl Marx and Fredrich Engels, in their nefarious works on Socialism and the instigation of the First International, “borrowed” the Illuminati program bodily, a flagrant plagiarism from Weishaupt’s Satanism which has not been generally revealed.

The point we are getting at is, that the Ghetto-imprisoned Jews beheld in Illuminism exactly the weapon they needed to effect their emancipation. This brand of revolutionary philosophy further suited their inherent revolutionary temperaments. So we find indications of the German Jews embracing and helping to finance Weishaupt, until it became such a danger to the throne that the Illuminati was ordered to be disbanded. But it never disbanded. This edict but sent it underground. Thereupon, speaking figuratively and literally, the Ashkanizm Jews “took it over”, and the history of Europe for the next hundred years, from the effects of this control, reads like a nightmare.

Mrs. Webster has brought out the full manipulations of the Illuminati Jews in organizing, conducting, and later suppressing, the French Revolution and Reign of Terror when they had accomplished their hidden purpose and removed certain royal personages who stood in the way of their ultimate command of Europe. We cannot enter into that long and sordid story here. But we must pay some attention to the escape of the German Jews from the Ghettos and the rise of the House of Rothschild or “Red Shield” in Germany. It presages the active identification of the most terrible international Jewish organization of modern times, the CAHILLA to which we will give much attention in a moment.

When William the Landgrave of Hesse came to power in Germany he was somewhat fanatical in the pursuit of his hobby, the collecting of rare and precious coins. In the adjacent Ghetto lived old Amshel Mayer, with five sons and five daughters. This Jew set himself to cultivate William and win his favor by presenting him with precious coins.

A strange and intimate friendship grew up between the two. Then comes a fearsome event, too little known to patriotic Americans. George III needed mercenaries to fight the revolting Colonials in the Americas. A deal was made with William the Landgrave for the use of 17,000 Hessians for which George III was to pay the sum of $20,000,000. Amshel Mayer was secretly in touch with the Colonial situation through American Jews, particularly Haym Salomon of Philadelphia, who made it possible for Robert Morris to finance the colonials who thus unwittingly placed themselves under obligations to the Jews as the following will show.

Observe what happened and how the pernicious circle of international events was maneuvered to spill the blood of colonial Americans, that the Jews might escape the Hamburg and Frankfort Ghettos. George III did not have the English soldiers requisite to fight a successful war with the colonies, yet was egged on by predatory and scheming Hebrews in the New World, of whom American historians are careful to make no mention. The Colonials were revolting and George III had to do something about it to maintain the prestige of the Crown. William’s 17,000 Hessians were “offered” him. But only recently has it become known that back of it all was a deal whereby Amshel Mayer “Red Shield” (Rothschild) was to get the loan of that $20,000,000 for 20 years.

Naturally Haym Salomon, now eulogized for his great financial services to the struggling Colonials, was agreeable to backing them. By producing the Revolution in America, it meant that George III would have to use those Hessians to put down the insurrection. That meant that William the Landgrave would get
the twenty millions that Amshel and his strategizing Jews could and would use to make the Ghettos things of history and finance his progeny and compatriots into important banking positions among the squabbling Christian monarchs of the continent.

So America had Bunker Hill, Yorktown, Valley Forge, Monmouth and Brandywine. When the smoke had cleared away and the dead were buried, in spite of all Jewry could do to the contrary, our founding fathers, after great hardship and political chaos, brought forth a Republic. A Republic is the Golden Mean between an Autocracy (where the few rule) and a Democracy (where the many rule through direct action). When some of the delegation to the Constitution Convention tried to inject the nostrums of Democracy into the Constitution, George Washington arose in anger and said: “Let us raise a standard to which the wise and honest can repair. The event is in the hands of God. [H: And after all, what did George Washington have to say about the Jews? “They (the Jews) work more effectively against us than the enemy’s armies. They are a hundred times more dangerous to our liberties and the great cause we are engaged in. It is much to be lamented that each state, long ago, has not hunted them down as pests to society and the greatest enemies we have to the happiness of America.”]

Our Constitution provides for a Republic. A Republic is poison to World Jewry. On the other hand, it is easy for those with evil designs to gain control of a government which operates as a Democracy; hence the steady assault on the Constitution. A sleeping America has allowed its plan of government, as guaranteed in the Constitution, to be tossed aside and have embraced the phobia of Democracy by adopting the initiative, the election of judges, the referendum and the direct primary. In doing this we have opened wide the gates for Internationalists to promote their campaigns against the Republic of the United States.

Democracies have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention. Our founding fathers repeatedly warned us to avoid this type of government. Our Constitution means to the science of Government what the digits mean to the science of arithmetic or the chromatic scale means to the science of music: In other words, it is the key to the science of government. In a Republic, the few are protected from the many, and the many from the few. “Jewocracy” or, in other words, Communism, easily takes a Democracy, turns it upside down, makes the many think they are to govern themselves, but in actuality the many are controlled by the worst sort of Autocracy. It is in that direction we are drifting today. [H: Well, now you know where you are in 1998—your “drifting” is over—you have arrived.]

Amshel “Red Shield” Rothschild got his twenty millions and freedom for his people from the Ghettos. Whereupon Jews immediately scuttled like cockroaches all over Europe. All the five sons of Amshel immediately made themselves solid with the leading bankers of five great continental capitals, and the five daughters were artfully married off to various foreigners and political Big-Wigs. France was an especial goal of exploitation where the German Jews wanted to “dig in” and entrench themselves against any influences of the more esthetic and spiritual Sephardims. So utilizing the nefarious Illuminati again, the French Revolution was subtilely precipitated. For a fuller history of Jewish manipulation of the Red Terror, read Mrs. Webster’s books.

At any rate, when the French Revolution had accomplished its purposes, Count Cherep Spiridovich tells us that it had to be halted. So a conscienceless young Corsican was found who would have no
compunction about shooting down his own people if it served his personal vanities and the aims of his military career. Napoleon was “chosen” by the “Red-Shields”, (Rothschilds) equipped, financed, and sent forth to serve the further continental aims of International Jewry.

Napoleon is everywhere acclaimed as a great military genius. Nowhere except in the world’s secret history is it revealed that “Red-Shield” (Rothschild) gold preceded him, made his military pathways as easy as possible without giving away this secret alliance, and made and unmade monarchs as it pleased the House of Rothschild to have them toppled around. But note that when Napoleon broke with the Jews and gave evidence of co-operating with the Catholic Pope, the skids were mysteriously put under him and he found himself on Elba. He staged a return and came to Waterloo. De Grouchy “mysteriously” was delayed in supporting him and his star had set. Meantime, Nathan Mayer, son of Amshel, on Sunday the Eighteenth of June, 1815 on the battle field at Waterloo, noting that the battle had gone strictly according to his plans, mounted a horse, rode to the coast, got a fast boat across to London, and sprang a panic on the English Exchange simulating a victory for Napoleon, which tumbled stocks down to zero where Nathan’s agents scooped them in at panic prices. Next day, when the real truth became known, Nathan didn’t need any more German loans to finance international Jewry. He had cleaned up in one of the greatest stock-market coups in modern history.

So the “Red-Shield” (Rothschild) fortune which proceeded to make and unmake political regimes on the continent up to the time of the World War, was first founded on the dead bodies of American patriots and then securely established on a colossal lie which beggared thousands of Englishmen.

Incidentally, when Amshel came to pay back his loan to William the Landgrave, the Jew was made a present of the interest. Figured even at 4%, the interest on that amount for 20 years amounts of itself to 16 millions of dollars. Quite a tidy sum to receive as a “gift”. [H: Well more recently they learned they could compound interest on certificates at 44%. My, that do add up, do it not?]

So the Rothschild fortune was launched, and its repository in America today is the banking house of Kuhn, Loeb & Company, whose late president Jacob Schiff presented Lenin with a cool million dollars to finance Russian-Jewish Bolshevism, now known as Communism, and whose younger generation helped to formulate, through Paul Warburg, the Federal Reserve banking system. [H: Note that these SAME parties came to own a monopoly on the pharmaceutical-chemical companies of the WORLD. Still feel safe with your prescription drugs and your “Association”-trained medical practitioners?]

With these facts as background—facts, by the way, that have never been denied by International Jewry—is it not rather patent why we cannot make headway in cleaning up America until this pernicious element is shorn of its power?

But there is yet a more fearsome factor in world Jewry of which the average layman knows next to nothing, which must be now considered:

The ancient Sanhedrin, that Jesus had excoriated in language that left nothing to diplomacy, and that had sent the pleasing response to the Sephardim Jews being “persecuted”, had by no means been inactive throughout this time. Outgrowing Palestine, capitalizing on all Jewish “persecutions”, effectively carrying
on the fight for Christian tolerance toward this scheming, predatory people, it began to adopt a world-wide aspect after the admirable maneuverings of the Mayer clan. Thus do we arrive at the impressive construction of the CAHILLA.

Americans living in New York frequently hear of the Hebrews giving Cahilla parties in various city blocks, but that the Cahilla is a vast network of espionage and predatory actionism for worldwide Jewry, and that it correlates the progress of the Jewish nationalists all over the earth, has only been authentically uncovered since the Boer War, mostly by British military and secret service agents.

Try to grasp fully what now is to be disclosed.

In the time of George Washington there were about 4,000 Jews in this country. Most of them were already well-to-do traders. In 1783 the United States became the first country to grant them full civil equality, and ever since then they have enjoyed political equality. [H: Well, you recognize that they are treated more equally than everybody else.]

Today there is said to be, taking the Jew’s word for it, 18,000,000 Jews in the world, and about 4,400,000 of that number are in the United States, where they control 60 percent of the vital interests of our country.

Taking the word of the military of the various countries, instead, however, it is probable that the figure of 23,000,000 Jews for the while world is the more nearly accurate. Whether the true figure is 14,000,000 or 23,000,000, when the statement is made that they are entirely and effectively controlled by one international organization, so constructed that one man rules it from the top, the information is labeled fantastic. But wait! Listen to what espionage agents of several countries have attested before responsible committees:

The Jews of the world divide the earth first into two hemispheres, the Eastern and the Western. As the United States lies in the Western Hemisphere, we will confine ourselves to that alone.

THE CAHILLA is constructed on the symbol of Seven.

First, however, over each hemisphere is put a PRINCE of Jewry known as a SPONSOR. There is a SPONSOR for the Eastern Hemisphere, and SPONSOR for the Western Hemisphere. Reliable authority has attested, by the way, that the SPONSOR for the Western Hemisphere is none other than “a pinch hitter for Presidents”, which explains why this gentleman occupies his position of such tremendous economic, financial and political importance in American State affairs. He is the absolute overlord of about ten millions of Jews in this Western Hemisphere. He orders their lives and their affairs and they in turn make it their business to see that he is kept ensconced in his power over American Officialdom.

The SPONSOR for the Eastern Hemisphere is not of consequence in this article. But do not miss the very important fact that both SPONSORS for both hemispheres are accountable only to AKAD HA’AM, the Unknown and Uncrowned King of Jewry throughout the earth, whose identity is kept a guarded secret.

AKAD HA’AM rules the Jews of the earth by an effective and devastating system. He has, as has
been said, his PRINCE-SPONSOR in each hemisphere. Then under these PRINCE-SPONSORS falls the seven-times-seven organization.

Under each SPONSOR there are SEVEN ARCH-CENSORS.
Under each ARCH-CENSOR there are SEVEN MINISTERS.
Under each MINISTER there are SEVEN HERALDS.
Under each HERALD there are SEVEN COURIERS.
Under each COURIER there are SEVEN SCRIVENORS.
Under each SCRIVENOR there are SEVEN AUDITORS.
Under each AUDITOR there are SEVEN MUTES.

This figures out to 960,800 influential Jews in each hemisphere (or a world total of 1,921,601) organized into a tight, rigidly-controlled body, every man knowing all the men under him but only the one man above him, and all responsive to the PRINCE-SPONSOR at the top.

There is no Jew of consequence in North America who is not involved in this terrible organization—terrible at least in its power for predatory control of Christian society—or who is not listed somewhere down the line on the roster of its obedient adherents.

Until this organization is broken and stamped out of American life, the United States can know neither peace nor stability, not to mention safety. For its control puts it in perfect working mechanism with all the influential Jews of the European continent and the Orient. At this moment it is making and unmaking governments, starting or stopping wars at its pleasure, controlling the most intricate dealings of the League of Nations, dominating political officialdom, determining the money standards of nations, including America's, directing its economics, intimidating or controlling the nation's newspapers, radio, and movie screen, so that nothing can be released by any of these that is inimical to its far-flung interests.

Careful students and economists who are not in its pay, have traced the prevailing depression in America directly to its threshold. Presidents have been elected by its money. Its directing heads and their satraps often camouflaged politely in press and Congress as "International Bankers" have been assiduously engaged at Buying-in-America at bankrupt prices, completely looting and eliminating the United States permanently from its pathway as a major power, reducing it to the status of a third rate vassal state subject to their officers—Isaacs, Sassoon, Samuels, et al.—in the so-called "British" Cabinet.

This is the atrocious cabal to whom President Wilson referred on his return from Versailles when he said that "there was a secret power in Europe with which he could not cope." This is the terrible power that had started the World War in furtherance of its schemes, so powerful in British as well as German affairs that the statement is made on reliable authority that orders were given to the British Admiralty and air forces that at no time during the war were Berlin, Hamburg or Frankfort to be raided, shelled or bombed, because their homes and families were there and they had no intention of suffering physically or jeopardizing their lives in this war which they had conceived for their own world plans and financial profit.

Sir Douglas Haig, English Field Marshal, strictly under the thumb of his CAHILLA-agent secretary, Philip Sassoon of the family of Baghdad Jews, gave it out that the English were too "humane" to bomb cities holding innocent women and children. That suited gullible Christians, and heaped full odium on the
“Germans” who ordered such atrocities from Berlin to be perpetrated on London.

Small wonder that with the whole Christian world, hoodwinked into an international war, killing each other’s nationals off by the hundreds of thousands, that the CAHILLA Hebrews celebrated Der Tag, or the day of Judah coming into its own, when the Christian corpses were buried and that many ordoriferous Christians were removed from terra firma. [H: Do you begin to see better WHY the Jews insist on a bombing of Iraq (Baghdad) and Saddam Hussein?]

The CAHILLA officials had perfected their power over Germany and the Germans long before the outbreak of the war. In fact, their ascendency dates back to Bismarck, the half-Jew (Bismarck’s mother was the Jewess Louisa Menken) who saw to it that Germany was turned over to the PRINCES of Jewry back in 1870 exactly as America is being turned over to the PRINCES of Jewry in 1934.

The Youth Movement of Germany, smashing this oligarchy, will be viewed in the proper perspective as one of the great social phenomena of our times. Consider the war situation and its aftermath in Germany.

The Jews were the only people who were able to use Bismarck so that all liberal reforms in Germany would turn out to the profit of the Jews.

An industrialist who visited the Prussian War Ministry in September, 1914, told with amazement that he found Jews predominating in this high office, and not German officers and military officials as he had expected.

Herr Walther Rathenau, a Jew, sat in a large room, at an enormous secretary writing table and “dispensed” or gave away army contracts. Around him were seated, almost without exception, Jewish clerks and Jewish business people.

The feeble government under Emperor Wilhelm II, which had already favored Jews in all important positions, allowed this to happen, owing to its embarrassment and perplexity. In the course of the war, the fact arose conspicuously to the surface that since the beginning of Wilhelm II’s reign, the Jews had been the real rulers of the German Empire.

For the previous 15 years those in immediate personal contact with the Kaiser were mainly Jewish financiers. Jewish manufacturers and Jewish merchants like Emil and Walther Rathenau, Balin, Schwabach, James Simon, Friedlander-Fuld, Goldberger, Guttman, Hulshinisky, Kaisenstein and others.

Upon the change from the old regime to the new, that is, from the monarchy to the so-called republic, the cabinet composed of six men, which substituted the Ministry of State, was dominated by the Jews Haase and Landsberg. Haase had control of foreign affairs. His assistant was the Jew Kautsky, a Czech, who in 1918 was not even a German citizen. [H: Sounds like the U.S. state of the Cabinet in 1998.]

Associated with Haase were the Jews Cohen and Herzfeld. The Jewish financial Minister of State was Schiffer, assisted by the Jew Bernstein. The Secretary of the Interior was the Jew Pruess, with the Jew Dr. Fround for his assistant. The Jew Fritz Max Cohen was government publicity agent.
The Kingdom of Prussia duplicated this condition of affairs. The Jews Hirsch and Rosenfeld dominated the Cabinet, with Rosenfeld controlling the Department of Justice and Hirsch the Department of the Interior. The Jew Simon was engaged in the Treasury Department. The Prussian Department of Justice was wholly manned and operated by Jews. The Jew Kastenberg was Director of the Department of Art. The War Food Supply was directed by the Jew Wurm, while in the State Food Department were the Jews Dr. Hirsch and the Heheimarat Dr. Stradthagen. The Soldier’s and Workmen’s Committee was directed by the Jew Cohen, with the Jews Stern, Hertz, Katsenstein, Lowenberg, Frankel, Israelowicz, Laubenheim, Seligsch, Laufenberg, Heimann, Schlesinger, Marz and Weyl having control of the various activities of the Committee.

The Jew Ernst was Chief of Police at Berlin. In the same office in Frankfort was the Jew Sinzheimer, in Munich the Jew Steiner, in Essen the Jew Levy.

It will be remembered that the Jew Wisner was President of Bavaria, his financial adviser being the Jew Jaffe. Bavaria’s trade and commerce were in the hands of the half-Jew Brentano. The Jews Lipinsky and Schwarz were active in the government of Saxony; the Jews Thalheimer and Heiman in Wurtemburg, the Jew Fulda in Essen.

Two of the delegates sent to the Peace Conference were Jews and a third was notoriously the tool of Jewish purposes. In addition, Jews swarmed through the German delegation as “experts” and “advisers”—Max Warburg, Dr. von Strauss, Morton, Oska, Oppenheimer, Dr. Jaffe, Deutsch, Brentano, Struck, Wasserman and Mendelsohn-Bartholdi.

Is it any wonder that with such a state of affairs obtaining, little should have been espoused by the pure-blooded German people as a leader who would rid them of this CAHILLA Frankenstein, whose American arm has already become quite as offensive to enlightened persons here in the United States.

[**H:** And come on, readers, with this PARTICULAR ruling government—do you REALLY THINK THAT THE JEWS DID NOT CONTROL THE CONCENTRATION CAMPS? Come on back to earth, little children, and get out of La-La Land. Then AFTER the war the worst of these Cahilla physician monsters, and scientist-physicists who came with the atom-bomb—came directly from the heart of these Cahilla Kahal. Note that this was in 1934 so the second World War II had not been staged but the preparations were laid as you will see.]

Hitler preached a doctrine of “Germany for the Germans” [*H: But he was half Jewish.*] and we should preach a doctrine of “America for Americans”. Hitler used a steel wedge to “split a hardwood block” and immediately when he had gained power and started breaking up this CAHILLA monopoly, the CAHILLA set its machinery at work all over the world to vilify and misrepresent him, suggest boycotts, and to introduce resolutions in houses of government that if acted upon would easily have led to war. It is obvious that the public CAHILLA press—which constitutes about 90% of American metropolitan newspapers—the radio and the screen, received their orders to acclaim Hitler as a monster and “persecutor” who had “set Europe back into the Dark Ages”. [*H: Not unlike what is being done with William Clinton as we speak. Do you really think the Iraqis and the Arabs think the U.S. is so all-fired humane? They recognize you for what you have become, a Jewish Satanic Empire.*] Protest meetings were held, the old whine about Christian tolerance for God’s Chosen People was dragged forth again, dusted
off and made to do service in stirring up protests. Hitler had thrown a big monkey wrench into the CAHILLA’S machinery in its steady progress for international domination, and with characteristic lack of any sportsmanship, the Jews began to gather around a new wailing wall and fill the air of the nations with their hypocritical lamentations. Wherever a Jew is blocked in the accomplishment of a predatory scheme against Gentiles, he will immediately whine “persecution or “lack of tolerance”. [H: But my goodness, Gentiles, you just don’t seem to ever run out of tolerance, do you?]

The story of what happened in England, placing England in Jewish CAHILLA power, is too long to be told here, but Great Britain’s vassalage to the Sassoons, the Samuels, the Monds, is quite as complete as that of pre-Hitler Germany. The conquest of Russia by them, resulting in Jewish Communism, would require several reams to be told completely.

It is obvious that here in the United States, since 1917, the oligarchy has been conducting affairs with a very high hand, until America has been pulled down to ruin and near-chaos.

Under “The Pinch Hitter” are:

Judges Brandeis and Cardoza, Stephen A. Wise, Samuel Untermyer, Eugene F. Meyer, Jr., Otto Kahn, Felix M. Warburg, Felix Frankfurter, Edward A. Filene, Bayard Swope, and a host of lesser luminaries. High in the government service we already have over a score of Jews while the offices of the various “Recovery Administrations”, as well as diplomatic posts, are crowded with them; to say nothing of the numbers of Jewish unofficial advisers.

At the peak stand the following:

Bernard M. Baruch—Adviser to the President.
Henry Morgenthau, Jr.—Secretary of the Treasury.
Jesse Isador Straus—Ambassador to France.
Mordecai Ezekiel—Economist—Department of Agriculture.
Alexander Sachs—NIRA—Economist (Research and Planning division).
Rose Schneiderman—NIRA—Labor Advisory Board.
Sidney Hillman—Labor Advisory Board.
Charles E. Wyzanski—Labor Dept. (Solicitor of the Dept. of Labor).
Samuel Rosenman—Unofficial Adviser to President.
James Paul Warburg—Treasury Department.
Herbert Feis—Brain Trust Adviser.
Charles W. Taussig—Brain Trust Adviser.
Samuel Goldenweiser—Director of Division of Research and Statistics (Federal Reserve System).
Nathan R. Margold—Solicitor—Department of Interior.
Robert Straus—NIRA—Assistant to General Johnson.
Lloyd N. Landau—General Solicitor of Public Works Board.
Maurice Karp—Personnel Director—NRA (Trains recovery employees)
Robert Kohn—Special Adviser of Public Works Administration.
Isador Lubin—Director, Bureau of Labor Statistics (Dept. of Labor).
David R. Lilienthal—Tennessee Valley Authority Counsel.
Jerome Frank—Counsel—Farm Relief Administration.
Celeste Jedal—Delegate to London Economic Conference.
Leo Wolman—Chairman—Labor Advisory Board.
Louis Kirstein—R.F.C. Adviser (Member, Industrial Recovery Board).
Lawrence A. Steinhardt—Ambassador to Sweden.
Samuel Meisels—Special Investigator Tennessee Valley Authority.
Ferdinand Pecora—District Attorney General—Dept. of Justice.
Wm. C. Bullitt—Ambassador to USSR.
Simon E. Sobeloff—District Attorney General.

[H: Please pay close attention to this next:]

It is obvious that the sack of the United States Treasury, the collapse of American Industry, the taking of America off the gold standard, the repudiation of its fiscal contracts by the Federal Reserve [H: Hold that one in your hearts—because they could NOT repudiate that which was a U.S. debt prior to the turn of the century.], the agitation for the cancellation of the war debts—are naught but carefully laid maneuvers for so emasculating and undermining Christian America that she can never again offer effective resistance to Europe, or to Jerusalem, when the latter city has been made the Capital of a completely Judaized world.

With the foregoing as a brief background, you will grasp to some extent, the scope of the rehabilitation which MUST be accomplished in America.

Oscar Strauss was coming across the Staten Island Ferry to Manhattan one morning, some months before his death, when he was joined by a Gentile neighbor who noted the distress on the old philanthropist’s face. He gently interrogated Mr. Strauss as to what might be depressing him.

“It is my people,” returned the Jew and he wagged his head in unutterable sadness. “I’m telling you, my friend, that if my people do not mend their ways and be good citizens in fact, PRETTY SOON THE TIME IS COMING WHEN AMERICA IS GOING TO SEE POGROMS BESIDES WHICH THE POGROMS OF EUROPE HAVE BEEN NOTHING, NOTHING!” [H: LISTEN UP FOR NOW THESE POGROMS ARE CALLED HOLOCAUSTS AND WHAT IS PLANNED IS BEYOND DESCRIPTION FOR YOU-THE-PEOPLE.]

Nothing is the matter with America but the aliens who have been admitted to her hospitality, and who have shamelessly abused Christian sportsmanship and tolerance to sack her commerce and debauch her institutions.

[H: Face it here and now, The Cahilla ARE the ones from the Cosmos without recognition of God Creator or the Christ concept. They never went beyond pretense to be anything else. They were from the Serpent Races and called themselves Serpent People when they were placed on this planet. They now call themselves Jews, even usurping the very heritage of some HUmans already on your planet. They serve god Lucifer-Satan and facts are facts.]

It only remains for enough Americans to grasp the facts which are daily becoming everywhere more patent.
What will happen then?

We must sweep out our half-baked radical theorists with whom we have been tolerant too long... We must not persecute a minority group and, on the other hand we must not permit such a group to enslave the majority. The radical theorists should find no shelter in our schools or churches where they spread of their poisonous doctrine among the young.

We must sweep from our legislative halls the tainted and dangerous meddlers and replace them with practical men who know the difference between an unworkable theory and workable fact.

We must acquire the sturdy stamina of our forefathers whose grim determination knew no compromise with the forces of Anarchy wherever found.

It is true that there is no time to waste; but before we act, we must be in possession of the facts that have been withheld.

These facts have now been spread before the reader for his examination and his verdict.

The hour for decision has come. America’s fate hangs in the balance. Whether we are to continue an orderly march towards a higher and even yet higher civilization or are to witness the overthrow of our progress, depends upon the actions we take not next year nor next month but upon the actions we take today. [H: But nobody listened, did they?]

The situation will be corrected when the now unorganized majority realizes that our times demand that the majority must organize and, matching organization against organization, regain the ascendancy because of their superior numbers. The hour has passed for disputations. “Actions, not words,” must be our motto.

It was through actions, following straight thinking, that our country was fashioned and formed and only through action and straight thinking will America be preserved.

If loyal Americans, the majority of the population, organize without further delay to combat the organized subversive minorities that have made headway only because of organization, the menace of Internationalism, Socialism, Communism and all other anti-Americansisms, and anarchy will be stamped out and real liberty will be preserved.

Any further delay will be fatal. The perpetuity of our ideals and our institutions depends upon immediate action and immediate organization of right thinking, patriotic Americans. We must drive from control those organized minorities who are conspiring to overthrow the Republic and the Constitution of the United States of America.

And surely no one can deny that the American Constitution has been and is the victim of assault by those whose vagaries would wreck a world.

Awake, Americans, before it is too late!!!
I can’t leave this dangling since obviously there have been many wars and more tightly wrapped take-over of all portions of your lives in America, not to mention the destruction in so many ways of the whole of the rest of the globe.

I am going to remind you that these CAHILLA mercenaries brought the slaves, have usurped everything from the Blacks—only recently taking everything from other races as well in the U.S.A. I will tell you now that you cannot recover this mess without the NATIVE AMERICANS who still, at least, sit on some sovereign lands which are being taken fully from them as we write today. This last offering was written in 1934, some 64 years ago. That is half a century, more than two-thirds a lifetime for you humans.

They have lied to you and even taken YOUR CHRIST and you let them while supporting their outrageous thefts. You wallow in some “Rapture” with irresponsibility as its foundation based on BLOOD. The PLAN is to dead you foolish people and it might well be too late. The hope is that those people already “taken” will cease to tolerate the thieving and my dear people—THERE ARE SO MANY OF THEM AS TO STAGGER YOUR THOUGHTS.

You may well think that nobody can do anything because these Cahillas control all of the MONEY in the world. No, they DO NOT for their MONEY is based on nothing. It is empty of value—it is based on NOTHING.

YOU ARE TRULY PEOPLE OF THE LIE. Salu

Adonai, Aton
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THOUGHTS

Carelessness can cost you more than your place in line. When we are careless in recognizing our Teachers we find that we most often, according to our mission, get side-tracked more often than not.

THE SIREN’S CALL

Right now we have some people, who consider themselves crew, to be totally off track, angry at the “people” involved, and REALLY MAD AS HELL AT ME. Well, I call it exactly as it is, and if you get angry over what IS then I suggest you do whatever you like about it—UNLESS YOU ARE WORKING ON MY PROJECT.

This is a very, very large mission we have undertaken and yet when the work draws near as to possibilities, people start acting like headless chickens flapping wildly about in the farmyard—picking up disease from every flap.

REGARDING MY MISSION IN FACT: I MAY WELL SPEAK TO A LOT OF PEOPLE—BUT NOT ABOUT OUR MOST PRECIOUS MISSION AND HOW WE ACCOMPLISH IT. Further, don’t bring your “contacts as to psychics” into my mission.

At this time we have helter-skelter runners flitting about thousands of miles from home doing the dangdest things. After having the psychic alienate everyone “else” involved, they turn back to me to find out names, places, and other information—through Dharma—to go, salvage the mess, and thus and so. Please forget it for I do not restrain you from your readings or your psychics or your astrologers. However, I need none of those, and not only are my people NOT PSYCHICS, but I would not have them with me if they would be.

We were informed that Hatonn and Aton speak directly to this person—and about our business yet. I do not speak to this party involved, and when confronted, she said that we were the ones needing cleared space, that Dharma’s receiving is flawed and I serve her. At the same time she is asking directions and instructions through Dharma because, “Hatonn hasn’t given me the proper information yet.” You can’t have it both ways, and since there is a LOT of money involved, the psychic being now included for a very LARGE sum, I recognize the tactics and I suggested she go on to wherever she was already going. She has not and the work is at standstill. Now the calls are simply sarcastic, for the team-mate heard the “siren’s call” and went thata way.
We are now working with people in the other half of the world who have such moral integrity that these romps through nations with psychics are not acceptable. They are NOT ACCEPTABLE TO ME. And, people, don’t even THINK about lying to me or hiding from me. We have a world fallen into total control by the very adversary himself, and when you have been entrusted with a mission in blessed circumstances and you choose to follow the siren, be it male or female—you err, for others around you will object, believe your teacher is false, and that you are a simple dumb jerk.

We already have at least two separate and major ongoing dialogues in that area that I shall leave unnamed to protect the guilty, and should these new tricks damage those ongoing negotiations there is going to be greater discomfort and “hurt feelings”. Since when do you, when brought to confront your misdeeds, think you will simply get away with whining and sarcasm? You go forth and do what you will in spite of anything else and, fine, you have just spent a lot of other people’s money and running away may well be your best answer if you can’t stand heat in the kitchen.

Do NOT bring psychics to me, don’t even ask me about your speakers. If you HAVE TO ASK, THEY ARE NOT ON YOUR SIDE AND YOU DON’T HAVE MUCH WITH WHICH TO WORK HONORABLY—PERIOD. We are long past the grades where I will attend your lessons to that point. Don’t even ask me about this speaker or receiver, or that one or the other. And when we place great responsibility into your hands because you ask, then I suggest you turn away from those worldly things and don’t count your massive chicken crop—before even getting the eggs.

Now, Hatonn and crew here are supposed to make it somehow right “over there”. No thank you. Do what you say you will do, and if in my mission, as planned, you will be boggled at how easily things work out.

But what happens? You get so busy planning and running hither and yon and circulating in high-level adversary circles (where you need to go for business) and soon there is no reading, no acceptance of instructions, for suddenly “you know it all”. Then find a fortune teller to read your cards and cookie speakings, and off you go into the wild blue yonder—somewhere.

YOU OFTEN GET ONLY ONE CHANCE IN YOUR PHYSICAL LIFE TO BE GREAT. HOW OFTEN DO YOU BLOW IT?

The MIND is the connection between the physical and the soul, and it is time you started using it FIRST.

And while we are at this type of message, let us consider “will”. Will is the sole WAY TO ESOTERIC, or knowledge. Therefore, whatever you “WILL” to do will be that which is accomplished, and if you turn from the “will” toward God, you end up having chased the “will” to failure. You must desire and “WILL” to achieve and succeed in whatever that path may be or you drift into alternatives which are never that which you THOUGHT you wanted. And if and when you BETRAY others to accomplish “your way”, you have erred greatly for that kind of failure is never away from you in confrontation. You can blame others but that often is far more the painful avenue. Faith is fine, friends, until you grow, learn and REPLACE IT WITH KNOWLEDGE.

Why would anyone who gets instructions DIRECTLY from Hatonn have to come to Dharma for instruc-
tions? Dharma doesn’t have any corner on Hatonn, God, or any other Master, AND WANTS NONE. YOU DON’T UNDERSTAND THAT FACT UNTIL YOU SHOULDER THAT RESPONSIBILITY EVERY HOUR OF YOUR LIFE. WHEN YOU ASK HER TO ASK ME, FOR INSTANCE, YOU AREN’T EVEN EXPECTING TO LISTEN FOR A RESPONSE UNLESS IT COMES THROUGH HER.

But when you have insulted and followed the rainbow to La-La-Land, don’t come asking Dharma and crew how to make it right and/or how to get back. You had best take that up, from that point, with GOD and hope He is in a mood to listen to childish whining and excuses. And when you have BEEN DIRECTLY TOLD NOT TO DO A THING, OR TO DO A THING, IT IS WISDOM THAT YOU DO IT OR DO NOT DO IT. IF YOU ARE GOING TO DO GOD’S WORK “YOUR WAY” IN THE VERY FACE OF GOD—FORGET THE SOOTHING BACK-STROKES.

AND ABOUT RELIGION

Do you actually think that a God of Universal Creation would send or have ONLY ONE SON? And do you think that THAT ONE would be of such dubious birthing as to stagger all but the Cahilla? And do YOU want to go forth to gatherings or limited and opinionated people where, instead of learning and KNOWING—you sit and share up everyone’s opinion in the room and then flip a coin as to who might be right? There is only one right in every circumstance and a zillion ways to achieve and arrive at it. Ah, but is it somehow stately and wondrous to be THE center of the party, spewing out instructions and prodding all the silly listeners? NOT IF YOU ARE REAL AND GENUINE—IT IS RESPONSIBILITY BEYOND THE BURDEN MOST CAN CARRY.

AND YOU “DON’T THINK GOD WOULD...”? EITHER YOU BELIEVE GOD IS POSSIBLE IN ALL WAYS AND THINGS—OR YOU DON’T. Or do you consider the $10 billion contract and if you give me $100 million I WILL TELL YOU THE WAY AND I WILL GET IN TOUCH WITH THAT E.T. DUDE RIGHT QUICKLY. But why doesn’t that E.T. Dude get the records to straight? Because you DO NOT have ME on your telephone—Satan alone knows who he sent to fool you, the victims of the siren’s call.

I also get, “Well, if they were truthful everyone in the world would be clamoring to get to them for lessons.” Would they? We don’t WANT everyone in the world jamming our space. WE HAVE WORK TO DO and it is NOT SHOW AND TELL ACCORDING TO WISHES OF THE MULTITUDES. WE, BELIEVE IT OR NOT, ARE AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF THOSE WHO CAN TURN THE WORLD ABOUT, AND THAT IS NOT SHABBY OF LITTLE ONES FROM UNHEARD OF TEHACHAPI, CA. AND BEST OF ALL, EXCEPT FOR THE FEW, AND THE INTELLIGENCE SERVICES GLOBALLY, NOBODY EVEN KNOWS US, BUT THEY CERTAINLY KNOW WHAT AND WHO WE ARE. THEY HAVE BEEN EXPECTING US AND RECOGNIZE THAT FINALLY WE HAVE SHOWN UP.

Now the most important one lesson regarding myself: If you are doing something WRONG in my MISSION—I am going to tell you, stop you, and let you swing in the wind, if necessary, for YOU CHOSE YOUR PATH—USUALLY IN SPITE OF ALL I OR MINE COULD DO TO ALLOW YOU TO UNDERSTAND THE MAGNITUDE OF OUR MISSION AND THE DETAILS MANDATORY TO
ACHIEVE WHAT WE MUST ACHIEVE. **YOU ARE A FRAGMENT OF GOD WITHIN—NOT THE GOD CREATOR OF UNIVERSAL MAGNITUDE. YOU HAVEN’T LEARNED ALL THERE IS TO KNOW ABOUT CREATING—HAVE YOU? LOOK WHAT YOU HAVE CREATED ON THIS BEAUTIFUL PLANET—DISASTER IN NINE-TENTHS OF IT.** THE THINGS OF PHYSICAL “VALUE AS ESTABLISHED BY THE ADVERSARY” ARE ONLY “THINGS” FOR THE USE AS TOOLS FOR THE ACCOMPLISHMENT OF GOODNESS, FOR GOODNESS CAN’T BE MEASURED IN PHYSICAL PERCEPTION BECAUSE IT IS A “STATE OF BEING”. HOWEVER, ACTIONS REFLECT SOME OF THE INTENT AND THE LIE CANNOT STAND LONG IN THE PRESENCE OF GOODNESS, SO ACTIONS WILL BETRAY THE TRICKSTER. Some people destine themselves to go very long distances away to take a very expensive look at themselves. When you cannot or do not present the “things”, the “wealth” or the “desired STAT-URE” unto these sirens, they will dump you like the hot potato you are and move on to fool someone else along the way.

Do the “sirens” know or do they start with good intent? BOTH, but of course they know they lie for they lie over and over again—and when response doesn’t come, they have to “fake it” and a fake will always undo the faker. But when YOU KNOW YOU HAVE BEEN FAKED-ON, why do you try to reconcile with the FAKER? Why do you have to destroy your own integrity rather than stuff that EGO back where it belongs, in the grave with the fakers? In these instances of being fooled, you end up looking the fool and to continue the pursuit as is—you are the clown of the day to all observing and the joker messing up good work to the team-mates. Would you really rather have that appearance or get off your ego and do your job—right? IT IS ALWAYS UP TO YOU.

After a while, and now is the “while”, I will not even bother to try and reach through nor put my people into positions of tracking down or redirecting the parties playing the jester tricks. And to my team who think they may be somehow failing—forget the flagellation of self for I knew it would be this way and you can’t do anything except offer the opportunity and watch the boat sink or float. Too much anticipation of too much gold will sink the boat anyway, usually. I am also weary of “cure-all things” being brought forth only to be replaced by another “cure-all” within only days. Even “cure-alls” are only tools at best, trash at worst. But the thing that makes me REALLY irked is that time after time the “cure-all” is EXPENSIVE AS HELL and equally as worthless. Nothing can “cure” anything except the person with the DIS-EASE—all else is simply a tool to HELP in the process.

Now, something else that should be noted here is that we never in our beginning relationship here EVER had, have or will have, a group, a religion, a guru following, or anything remotely like same. We had a period of teaching and learning, and ALL were welcome. We no longer find it to be wise for “ALL” to be welcome or to come for they do not understand, and go forth preaching the WRONG THINGS.

Further, people who can’t seem to accept basically researched TRUTH in material will not enjoy being around us who have a global task to attend. The dissenters do have a purpose for they allow us to know where we are, how the winds are blowing and set up the confrontation we need to realize Truth for self as can only be learned from reflected ignorance.

Even the light-fixtures are “bugged” along with all the phones being tapped. This is fine, but I weary of our own people doing gross damage with idle mouths. The adversary knows exactly what I AM DOING
because I MAKE DAMNED SURE HE KNOWS! But to misrepresent us as being some cult or something is really not too bright, for all the investigators, and there have been hundreds, are going to come back at YOU for the empty space between your ears. THERE IS NOTHING HERE TO ISOLATE, FIND OUT OF ORDER; NO GROUP, NO NOTHING.

Moreover, if you come to listen and disagree, why waste your precious time? If you disagree with my presentation, then certainly you can’t be enjoying self.

I have PROVEN beyond any doubt who and what I am but you DO NOT BELIEVE IT. NO! You do not. You “say” that you do, but I can tell you that you do not and prove it to you. If you truly believed that either a space brother, a Host of God, or God was here—there would not be a one of you who would not drop anything and everything to be here. You come if there is nothing else to do. Fine, for I mandate nothing and it proves we NO GROUP.

My small team’s total focus must be on broader affairs but we can continue to have some meetings if we can all agree on our fundamental mandates. The meetings are as much for the distant participants as for anyone who sits in front of us. Therefore I will be happy to share, but my speaker/typist cannot work as we have on every day, sometimes at the keyboard alone for eight to ten hours, AND COME SPEAK FOR ANOTHER THREE. We are paddling as fast as we can in this time of absolutely CRUCIAL happenings.

Your Secretary of State is, as we write, running about the European continent stirring up wars—and actually they are materializing all over that part of the globe. Well, she dare not go into some of the African places lest she be obliterated.

You have hog-tied selves. You have a Kahal as government and Cahillas as controllers. It will and has taken an act of God to even give you one more tiny chance to help your nation, and even then it will be disastrous in the unfolding. And, no, I will not speak on these things simply to salve your inquiring minds of curiosity with little intent of action to DO ANYTHING IN ACTION. KNOWING may well set you free—but it isn’t going to do anything for the world of nearly 8-billion people if you don’t share what you KNOW and stop the insanity afoot all around you. And, if you local people don’t yet KNOW some Truth, you aren’t apt to learn in time, are you? Moreover, if you still DO NOT know your enemy—you are not into your lessons.

But you “don’t like the turn-out of your enemy?” Oh golly gee-whiz. Didn’t anyone ever tell you Lucifer was the bright and morning star, the most shrewd prankster around anywhere? Boy, he trained his team well, didn’t he? All they have to do is call you narrow of mind and anti-something and you are withdrawn for the rest of your lives. What is wrong with YOU?

YOU ARE THE MIRACLE OF GOD’S CREATION AT ITS VERY, VERY BEST AND THE ADVERSARY IS THE VERY WORST OF THE THINGS OF HUMANITY, AND YET YOU ACT AS IF YOU ARE SOMEHOW HELPLESS. HOW CAN THAT BE? YOU HAVE EVERYTHING YOU COULD USE TO PRESENT PERFECTION AND TOTAL PREVALENCE ABOVE EVIL—AND YOU ARE THE ONES WHO HIDE FROM THE SNIVELING LIARS AND CHEATS. YOU INSULT GOD AND YOU INSULT THE CREATION. AND I WILL NOT LIE TO SOOTHE YOUR
FEELINGS NOR LIE TO MAKE ANYONE “FEEL BETTER”.

I AM SENT TO CONTAIN “YOUR” ENEMY AND PREPARE THE WAY. I INTEND TO DO IT—AND I PREFER THAT MY HELPERS NOT BE DISTRACTED BY YOUR INDECISIONS.

You who want to perfect ecstasy—do so. And pray very hard that the bomb hits while you are “there” so you ascend without waking up—but you know what? You will get that soul to the other side if it is not totally dispersed by certain kinds of destruction, and there you will be finally KNOWING TRUTH and it won’t be what you thought. You will be praying to “go back” to where you can do it right this time.

But what of the souls of your enemy, those against God Creator and Christed pathway? Never mind your enemy—that is between himself and GOD—remember?

There are TWO kinds of humanoids: The human and the HUman (Higher-Universal man). Both are spiritual AND manifest physical. BOTH HAVE ABILITY TO REASON AND CHOOSE. Human will choose negative and physical orientation. HUman will evaluate, enjoy the physical things, but focus on his HIGHER goals and realizations in HUMANE ways of interchange. Popping off the humans will only merit the HUman becoming one with that adversary. Once on the HUman track—the enemy has no power to pop-off any of God’s property. Further, at EVERY turn there will be choosing to be done, quitting or proceeding to be considered, wisdom or foolishness to confront and always there is GOD to reflect. You cannot “believe” in God because YOU HAVE MADE GOD IN YOUR IMAGE WHILE YOU MISUNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE MADE IN GOD’S IMAGE—LIGHT! When you extinguish that wondrous LIGHT you have extinguished SELF.

You can move out and even TOUCH that reflected and glorious LIGHT OF GOD, but as humanoid beings you have to come back into the manifest—which leaves you quite desperate to find the way BACK. However, accomplishment of physical measure and growth is why you are on a planet in manifest physical-ness. You have “been there and done that” so why can’t you do your job as established HERE?

These are the things you come to hear and these are things upon which I prefer to address. Meanwhile, however, we are left with a world in chaos which WE have allowed to happen, and yes I say “we”, for we have not interfered nor shall we. We will intervene when both invited and you have done your homework—HUman. The creeps of the humanoid experience have certainly done theirs!

I guess I have to remind you that Ms. Tuten has the Institute and your assets tied up. What have YOU done about that? All our people can do is APPEAL which, in Nevada, ties things up for another three to five years. So be it. You can’t get at ANYTHING, gold or otherwise.

Speaking of gold it always necessitates responding to the status, now over five years, of the Overton gold. Wow, what fun and games. A Judge in Texas ordered the Judge (Gamble) in Nevada to SEND THE GOLD TO HIS COURT IN TEXAS. But Nevada hasn’t done it. Meanwhile it has been necessary to make an “appeal” to the Nevada Supreme Court for hearing on disposition. This can take three to five years also because Nevada has NO APPEALS COURT and everything appealed goes to the Supreme Court who are at war among themselves and nothing is getting done. Besides the Supreme Court has TWICE ruled on this and told Gamble to follow his own orders and give that gold to the owners (OWN-
ERS ARE THE PHOENIX INSTITUTE). Mr. Horton (Tuten’s and Green’s attorney) along with George Abbott tells the Texas attorney on “their” side that they will NEVER GIVE UP THAT GOLD EVEN IF IT TAKES FOREVER. My, confident aren’t they? Well, they should be for there is always something else a shrewd crook can do to postpone the inevitable. Is this for Tuten, Green or other su-ers? No, it is for payment of Attorney legal fees. They still use John Schroepfer as a player.

If you think this is outrageous—LOOK AT WASHINGTON.

And yet, I am here to tell you again: DO NOT, UNLESS YOU WANT TO GO TO JAIL, TRY TO BASE YOUR WHOLE CASE ON COMMON LAW OR DAVID MILLER. BOTH ARE VALID but BOTH OR EITHER will end you up imprisoned—ask Dr. Ron Carlson. THE POINT, PEOPLE, IS TO NOT LET ANYONE RUIN ANY PORTION OF THE LOCK-DOWN THE EVIL BUGGERS HAVE ON YOU.

To you struggling along as a couple here, in this miserable system, yes I do have advice. Stop fighting, for the more you struggle the more the enemy struggles. Love and enjoy to the very fullest your opportunities with the children and adore and cherish the one coming while allowing the others to stop being pulled and shoved in every direction. YOU WILL WIN—BUT NOT BY “FIGHTING”. The adult players in THIS game need rest as well and to share some beauty and loving time—not constant unrest. There are great physical complications possible and you must confront it. Watch water retention and blood pressure and if both are problems, get off your feet and get to a hospital for close follow-up. Please realize that preeclampsia often presents, at least a third of the time—in labor at delivery. Eclampsia is rare but most often FATAL. Do NOT PUSH THE RIVER.

Be prepared for some possible problems with delivery, so do not put anyone in jeopardy, especially the mother; get to medical emergency facilities so IF there is difficulty there is assistance for both mother and CHILD. You to whom I speak KNOW that there have been problems before and so, be prepared—well prepared. Those who assist in emergency cases are fine—but when not in emergency status and a life is jeopardized or lost—it is classified as murder and around these parts there is nothing more desirable from your enemies than EXACTLY THAT JOYOUS POSSIBILITY TO USE AGAINST YOU. Besides, I repeat, the MOTHER NEEDS REST.

Remember: Gentle as a dove and shrewd as a fox.

Now, please know that whatever you have been learning and seems to not have worthy outcome—forget it as to wasted time. We need you to help base a system which WILL BE upon which RIGHT and LAW can be the foundation in Truth and JUSTICE. You are not wasting time—you are building a future in both expectation and SERVICE.

Just DREAMS? Dear Ones, I DO NOT DREAM—I CREATE! To create, however, we must know what it is we CREATE!

Here we again suggest wisdom: Know your friend and keep him close; KNOW YOUR ENEMY BETTER AND KEEP HIM EVEN CLOSER! YOU DO NOT BECOME YOUR ENEMY TO BEHOLD AND KNOW YOUR ENEMY.
My PROMISE UNTO YOU is that if you remain constant in My LIGHT, I will show you the way for I am the Wayshower, the Cohan and the Way. I KNOW WHO I AM—WHO ARE YOU? And before you answer, let me remind you that if you respond: "YOUR HANDS AND FEET, SIR," YOU ARE GREATLY BLESSED.

ATON.
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[QUOTING:] PART 25, GLOBAL PARASITES

FROM THE INTERNATIONAL JEW—The World’s Foremost Problem

Vol. II: Being a Reprint of a Series of Articles appearing in The Dearborn Independent from October 9 to January 29, 1921 and titled JEWISH ACTIVITIES IN THE UNITED STATES.


issue of

HOW JEWS IN THE U.S. CONCEAL THEIR STRENGTH

“The distinctive character of the Jew does not arise solely from his religion. It is true that his race and religion are indissolubly connected—but whatever be the cause of this junction of the race idea with the religion, it is very certain that the religion alone does not constitute the people. A believer in the Jewish faith does not by reason of that fact become a Jew. On the other hand, however, a Jew by birth remains a Jew, even though he abjures his religion. Leo N. Levi, President of B’nai B’rith—1900 to 1904

[H: Please recall from the last writing, Part 24, where we offered THE PLAN IN ACTION by Col. Edwin Marshall Hadley. We found the real name of these people, prior to recognizing themselves as Jews to fool YOU. They were CALLED THE CAHILLA and their government was and is recognized as the KAHAL. I am not going to insert that information further in Ford’s writings, so if you want accuracy in labeling, you will need to keep this in mind as reference is made in those prior articles.]

How many Jews [H: Read: Cahilla] are there in the United States? [H: 1920] The figures are the exclusive property of the Jewish authorities. [H: Same method used for massaging all statistics today along with polls and census.] The government of the United States [H: Read, “KAHAL”] can provide statistics on almost every matter pertaining to the population of the country, but whenever it has attempted in a systematic way to get information about the Jews who are constantly entering the country,
and the number now resident here, the Jewish lobby at Washington steps in and stops it.

For more than 20 years the fight for the right of the United States Government to make a complete census of the people has been going on, and for the same period the Jewish lobby at the Capitol has been strong enough to win.

The alarming increase in Jewish immigration at the present time has brought the question to public attention again. For the first time in the history of the United States a national conviction is forming upon this subject. From Europe came the first news which startled this country. The reports told of vast mobilizations of Jewish people at stated rendezvous in Europe. Great barracks were built for them. Large bodies of trained men went from the United States, under orders of Jewish secret societies here, to expedite “passport work”, as it is termed among these bodies. Immigration into the United States became a business—a strictly Jewish [Cahilla] business.

Why is that statement made?—”a strictly Jewish business”. For this reason: there are countries in Europe from which today no Gentile can be admitted to the United States. From Germany, from Russia, from Poland, it is with the utmost difficulty that even one person can be won permission to enter this country. But Jews from Poland, Germany, and Russia by the thousands come in most freely, in utter disregard of the laws, in open contempt of the health regulations—a strictly Jewish business of getting another million Jews into the United States. It is like moving an army, which having done duty in Europe for the subjugation of that continent, is now being transferred to America. [H: This is a MAJOR reason the government is becoming so hard on immigration parties from all over, but mainly from Asia and Mexico and even Canada—in order to leave more room for Cahilla immigration and more funding to support them. This means close scrutiny to make sure all who can be, ARE, deported if they be other than Jewish (Cahillas). Funding for this massive immigration is covered through very circuitous routings so that the actual Cahillas do not show up on refugee or welfare rolls.]

When the conditions overseas were made known in this country and it became apparent that Jewish societies in the United States were the principal aids in this stampede to America, the newspapers for the first time in American history began to comment on a Jewish Question in tones of alarm. This in itself is an indication that the facts are becoming too challenging to be longer ignored.

Even the ordinary immigration officials, who for years have watched the human stream as it flowed over Ellis Island, have this year been startled into attention and action by the sharp change that has come in the character of the stream. And what has startled them?

First, it is composed almost entirely of Jews. Real Ukrainians, real Russians, real Germans cannot come in. But Jews can come from anywhere, and are coming from almost everywhere. [H: Well, America is THE new Homeland!] Why this special privilege?—is being asked.

Second, they do not come as refugees, as people fleeing from hunger and persecution; they come as if they own the country. [H: !!!!!] They arrive as special guests. As on the other side the passport business is “arranged”, so on this side the entrance business is “arranged”. The laws are set aside. Health regulations are ignored. Why should they not behave as if they own the United States? They see officials of Jewish secret societies override officials of the United States Immigration Bureau. Their first glimpse of
life here shows a Jewish control as potent and complete as it is in Russia. No wonder then that they literally beat down the walls and gates with all the éclat of a victorious invasion. Is not this America—“The Jews’ Country”, as it is called in smaller nations of Europe?

Third, there is a perfect organization which overcomes the numerous objections which arise against admission of known revolutionary Jews. European Jews are potential revolutionists. They are the revolutionists of Italy, Germany, Russia and Poland today. They are the Red and I.W.W. leaders of the United States today. When one man whose record is known presents himself at Ellis Island—and of course he is one in a thousand whose records are not known—he is held up. Immediately there start across the country telegrams to Congressmen, editors, state and municipal officials telling them in peremptory tones to “get busy” in behalf of Mr. So-and-So who is detained at Ellis Island. And the same day there start back to Washington telegrams from Congressmen, editors and others of influence, insisting on the spotless character of Mr. So-and-So and demanding his immediate admittance into the United States. Sometimes also the Russian embassy—so called—is used in this work.

It is an invasion—nothing but an invasion; and it is helped by influences within the United States. It is thinly cloaked with sentiment—“these people are fleeing from persecution.” It is cleverly assisted by photographs showing groups of forlorn looking women and children—never by photographs showing the groups of husky young revolutionists who are just as ready to despoil the United States as they were to despoil Russia.

That, however, is the present situation. What this and a subsequent article propose to do for the reader is to put him in possession of some of the facts concerning the government’s fight on this question during the last quarter century.

The question is not peculiar to America, and it may throw a sidelight on the American phase to note some of the facts developed at the hearings of the BRITISH ROYAL COMMISSION ON ALIEN IMMIGRATION which sat in London in 1902, a feature of whose proceedings was the testimony of Theodor Herzl, the great propagandist of ZIONISM.

In his initial statement to the Commission, Herzl made these statements, among others:

“The fact that there is now for the first time since Cromwell a perceptible number of our people in England is the true cause of this Commission being called together. That a serious pressure exists in England, the fact of your Commission sitting is full proof.”

Then the examination proceeded until the following was brought out: (the answers are Herzl’s)

**Q.** Looking at the question of alien immigration from the standpoint of the United States for a moment, you have referred to the fact that America excludes?

**A.** Yes.

**Q.** The exclusion is a partial exclusion?
A. Exclusion, as I know, is worked in this way: The immigrant must show a certain amount of money at the moment of his landing.

Q. You are aware that the stream of immigration into the United States is twice as much as the immigration into the United Kingdom?

A. I know that. New York has now the greatest population of all the towns in the world.

Q. And the actual exclusion is the actual exclusion of a small proportion?

A. Yes; but they go, however, to America. I think it is so easy to evade such a prohibition. For instance, if they joined a small company, it would lend the necessary amount to each immigrant, and the immigrant shows it and comes in, and sends back by post the amount he has borrowed. There are no efficacious measures to prevent that.

Q. I took it that your reference to the United States was an approval of the action of that country as an act of self-preservation.

A. No. A little later on in the examination, the question of immigration to the United States was again brought in. The answers are still Dr. Herzl’s—remember that the date is still 1902:

Q. Are you aware whether it is the fact or not that the leading Jews in America have informed their correspondents here that they cannot receive and distribute any more Jewish immigrants?

A. I have heard of difficulties of emigration, and that they are overcrowded with Jews. As to that information I cannot say.

Q. In your opinion would not the stream of emigration to America have been much greater if no such law had existed?

A. On what grounds do you believe that?

A. It is a question of coasts and harbors. They come in. How will you prevent a man from coming in?

Q. Do you mean they are smuggled in?”

A. No, I do not believe that. But they always find means to come in.

Now, discussion of immigration in the United States has never been free. We have talked a great deal about it in general terms, but not in terms of specific races except the Chinese and Japanese. However, Herzl seems to have known that wherever the Jews congregate in noticeable numbers they become a trouble (his words are: “...America, where so soon as they form a perceptible number they become a trouble and a burden to the land”) and he also knew that efforts would be made to meet that condition. But more than that, he dropped what must be construed as a warning, that such efforts would be resisted. He
said:

“There exists a French proverb, ‘cet animal est tres impatient; il se defend quand on l’attaque.’ If the Jews are attacked, they will defend themselves, and you will get something like internal trouble.”

The time apparently did come in the United States when some far-seeing official began to wonder what the Jewish invasion portended. Already it was too strong to be openly attacked. The Jewish lobby at Washington was powerful even at that time. So, apparently, this official concluded that the best way to set about so momentous a task was to collect the information.

But in order to get the information, Congress had to give its permission; and to get the permission of Congress, hearings had to be ordered. Hearings were ordered, and the records of them, though very scarce, still exist. The reader will be given important extracts from them presently, and he will see for himself how certain American statesmen reacted to the whole matter.

A remark is in order just here, namely that the Jewish lobby eventually became more skillful in such matters. It now takes very good care that no officials shall be appointed who shall make suggestions which shall precipitate congressional hearings on the Jewish matter. The time is coming, of course, when the whole Jewish Question may be threshed out by the government of the United States, but it will not be because an official precipitated it; it will be because the people will demand it.

Officials are now much too wary to meddle with this Question. They know too well the consequences. During the war many a secret trail of danger led into Jewish quarters, and the secret service man who loyally made his reports was often surprised to find himself lifted completely off that trail. Why? All Jewish trails in this country were powerfully protected by hidden influences during the war.

Well, the time came in the United States, when it was obviously desirable to know what elements were comprising our population; whether we were an Anglo-Saxon nation, Semitic, Latin, or what. The situation was this, and was so stated by government officials at the time: In the (18)80s and previously, it could be safely assumed that an immigrant from Ireland was Irish, an immigrant from Norway or Sweden was Scandinavian, an immigrant from Russia was Russian, an immigrant from Germany was German, and so on.

But times changed. Previous to 1880, the entry on a man’s record—”born in Russia”—indicated that he was a Russian. But, says a statement made by a government official with reference to the 10 years following 1880—”So many Hebrews have come from that country to the United States, that ‘born in Russia’ has come in popular opinion to mean a ‘Russian Jew’.” And then the same official goes on to show that during a 10-year period when 666,561 [H: Interesting number.] Jews came from Russia, there came also from Russia large numbers of Poles, Finns, Germans and Lithuanians.

Now, to make a census enumeration of these peoples under the heading “Russian” was plainly misleading, and not only misleading but valueless for census purposes. The racial identity would be lost and our knowledge of the racial make-up of the nation very incomplete. Therefore, the census authorities asked Congress for permission to classify people by “race” as well as by “country of birth”. It seemed
perfectly reasonable. Of what possible use is it to classify 3,000,000 Jews as “Russians” when there are very few real Russians in the country, and when the Russian and the Jew are so deeply different one from another?

Senator Simon Guggenheim arose in the committee to object. He used the common formula in such cases. He said:

“Personally I object to it, not because I am a Hebrew, but because it is not in place.”

That is the common Jewish formula of objection. The B’nai B’rith says the same thing when it forces Shakespeare’s *Merchant of Venice* out of the public schools. That society’s “anti-defamation circular” always includes the thought: “we do not base our request on the embarrassment which may be caused to the Jewish students in class, nor is our attitude in this regard based on thin-skinned sensitiveness. Our objection is made because of the effect upon the non-Jewish children who subconsciously will associate in their minds the Jew as Shakespeare portrayed him with the Jew of today.” So Senator Guggenheim, therefore, was playing the game according to the rules made and established in such cases.

At this hearing, Senator LaFollette was chairman. Senator Guggenheim’s contention was that “Jew” was the name of a member of a religious denomination, and not of a race.

Chairman LaFollette: “I can see broad ethnological reasons why some time it would be important to know from what blood and race the man came.”

Senator Guggenheim: “Why not ask his religion?”

Senators McCumber and Bailey came to the support of Senator Guggenheim’s contention, that “Jew” is a religious and not a racial term.

Chairman LaFollette: “I do not just get your objection to this, Senator Guggenheim. What objection can one have to have the race to which he belongs correctly entered?”

Senator Guggenheim: “Because it is not correct when stated in that way. *The Jews are not a race...*”

Later on in the hearing, Senator Cummins entered the discussion in response to a pro-Jewish remark made by Senator Bailey:

Senator Bailey: “If I were a Hebrew and I had been born here and they wanted me to say I was anything but an American, I would have a difference with the enumerator. I perhaps would refuse to answer their questions.”

Senator Cummins: “I would not have any hesitancy in stating from what blood I was.”

Senator Bailey: “No; but in the case that I refer to, it would be a matter of religion.”

Senator Guggenheim: “That is the point; it is a question of religion.”
That was in April, 1909. In December, 1909, Simon Wolf was the chief witness for the pro-Jewish contention. Simon Wolf is a very interesting character. From before the days of President Lincoln, he has been a lobbyist for the Jews at the National Capitol, and has been in contact with every President from Lincoln to Wilson. At the hearing where Mr. Wolf testified, Senator Dillingham, acted as chairman, and the whole proceeding was enlivened and clarified by the vigorous part which Senator Lodge took in it. Certain extracts, which entirely reproduce the spirit and argument of the hearing, follow:

Mr. Wolf: “The point we make is this: A Jew coming from Russia is a Russian; from Rumania, a Rumanian; from France, a Frenchman; from England, an Englishman; and from Germany, a German; that Hebrew or Jewish is simply a religion.”

Senator Lodge: “Do I understand you to deny that the Jews are a race?”
Mr. Wolf: “How?”

Senator Lodge: “Do you deny that the word ‘Jew’ is used to express a race?”

Mr. Wolf: “As the representative of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations—which I have been for nearly 30 years—I took up the matter and propounded a series of interrogations to some of the leading Jews of the United States, among others...Dr. Cyrus Adler who was librarian of the Smithsonian...and every one of them states that the Jews are not a race.”

Senator Lodge: “That, I think, is an important point. I have always supposed they were. I find in the preface of The Jewish Encyclopedia, which is signed by Cyrus Adler, among others, this statement:

‘An even more delicate problem that presented itself at the very outset was the attitude to be observed by the encyclopedia in regard to those Jews who, while born within the Jewish community, have, for one reason or another, abandoned it. As the present work deals with the Jews as a race, it was found impossible to exclude those who were of that race, whatever their religious affiliations might have been.’

“In the same encyclopedia is a statement by Joseph Jacobs, B.A., formerly president of the Jewish Historical Society of England:

‘Anthropologically considered, the Jews are a race of markedly uniform type, due either to unity of race or to similarity of environment.’

“Do you mean to deny—I want to understand your position—that the word ‘Jew’ is a racial term?”

Mr. Wolf: “I have made my statement, and my opinions are in this pamphlet.”

Senator Lodge: “Let me get at it. How would you classify Benjamin Disraeli? Was he a Jew?”

Mr. Wolf: “He was born a Jew.”
Senator Lodge: “He was baptized as a Christian. He then ceased to be a Jew?”

Mr. Wolf: “Yes; religiously he ceased to be a Jew.”

Senator Lodge: “Ah! Religiously. He was very proud of the fact that he was a Jew, and always spoke of himself in that way. Did the fact that he changed his religion alter his race?”

Mr. Wolf: “It did not change the fact that he was born a Jew; not a all; and I know the Jewish people throughout the world have claimed him. Heine, and Borne, and others who were born of their blood, as being Jews, when they speak of persons who have accomplished something wonderful in the world. But they ceased to be Jews from the standpoint of religion.

Senator Lodge: “Undoubtedly. What I want to get at is whether the word ‘Jew’ or ‘Hebrew’ is not a correct racial term?” [H: Right here is where he left open for the Jew Wolf to lie, cheat and “overlook” information. It SHOULD READ: ...whether OR NOT... To leave “whether” hanging out like a flag is unacceptable, readers. I have to put it the way it is written because it is the very foundation upon which the Jews build their case. People constantly leave “whether...” hanging out alone and it is not a word or term that stands alone. If there is NO ALTERNATIVE to offer then it must read: “whether or not...” Do you see what you do with changing words and punctuation to suit what may seem an expeditious whim? Every comma, every period, every semi-colon and colon, etc., give different MEANING to sentence structure and meaning.]

Mr. Wolf: “If you will pardon me, you will find a letter from Dr. Cyrus Adler right at the close of the pamphlet, which perhaps you might read for the benefit of the committee.”

Senator Lodge: “It never occurred to me until I heard you were coming here that the classification as made by the immigration authorities had anything to do with religion. I supposed it was a race classification. It is important, very important, to get the race classification as nearly as we can.”

Mr. Wolf: “You are aware that the Census Bureau some time ago attempted to classify in the same manner and it was prohibited from doing so.”

Senator Lodge: “The word ‘race’ was stricken out of the census bill. I think it was a great mistake. It makes the returns almost valueless.”

Mr. Wolf: “I can simply repeat what I have said—that I am voicing the opinions of those whom I represent—the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, and the Order of B’nai B’rith. They are opposed to the classification as made in the last few years as contemplated so far as I am informed, in the report of the commission.”

The hearings continued, Julian W. Mack later appearing for the Jewish contention.

From the extracts given in this article, four matters become very clear:
First, the Jew is opposed to any restrictive legislation against his entrance into a country.

Second, the Jew is opposed to any racial classification of himself after he has entered a country. Third, the Jewish argument to the Gentile authorities is that the Jew represents religion and not race.

Fourth, that at least one indication has appeared in which the Jew has one view to present to the Gentiles, and another which he cherishes among his own people on this question of Race.

Another point might be made, as this: When the authorities disregard as untenable the argument of “religion, not race”, the Jewish spokesmen fall back on the fact that their organizations don’t want certain things and won’t have certain things—argument or no argument, commission or no commission.

The Jewish lobbyists had their way. There is no enumeration of Jews in the United States. There are 46 other classifications, but none for the Jew. The Northern Italians are distinguished in the records from the Southern Italians; the Moravians are distinguished from the Bohemians; the Scotch from the English; the Spanish-American from the Spanish-European; the West Indians from the Mexicans—but the Jew is not distinguished at all.

None of the other races made objection. On this point the report of the commission reads:

“As far as ascertained by the commission, the practice of classifying the foreign-born by race or people, rather than by country of birth, is acceptable to the people of the United States with one exception.”

The officials, who were endeavoring to have the Census Report show with scientific accuracy the actual racial components of the population of the United States, were compelled to see their recommendation eliminated.

What is the result? If you ask the government of the United States how many Frenchmen there are in the country, it can give you the figures. If you ask for the number of Poles, it is there. If you ask for the number of Africans, it is known. Or down a long list you may make our inquiries, and you will find that the government knows.

But ask the government of the United States how many Jews are in the country—and it cannot tell; there are no records. If you want information upon that point, you will have to go to the officials or representatives of the Jewish Government in the United States. [H: THE CAHILLA KAHAL. But you WILL NOT get any ANSWERS.]

Of course, if “Jew” is a religious term, like Baptist, Catholic, Christian Scientist or Quaker, then there is merit in the argument that religious questions are not proper for the government to ask unless the religion comes in conflict with, or is a menace to, the ideals of the Republic. But if “Jew” is a racial term, or a national term, then the government is properly interested in making record of all the inhabitants of this land who bear it.

Like all questions pertaining to the Jews, this can be settled by their own words. What the Jews teach the Jews on this matter should be the determining point. In the next article we shall see what Jews
themselves have to say about “race or religion?”

Issue of October 9, 1920

[END OF QUOTING]

Before leaving this matter of “religion” it is a prime opportunity to bring to your attention that this same group of Cahillas set forth employment forms which have demanded that no questions be asked regarding sex, age, race, color, religion, etc.

The reason that SATANISM is ACCEPTED as a “religion” is that there can be no segregation AGAINST THE JEWS who use Satanism and every other religion to integrate themselves into all factions of every working part of the government. If you exclude Satanism you no longer have RIGHTS OF CONSTITUTION TO FREE RELIGIONS. It is called “GOTCHA”. BUT, SINCE SATANISM IS BASED ENTIRELY UPON THE JEWISH VERSION OF FREEMASONRY, AND OTHER SECRET “ORDERS”, AND UPON THE ILLUMINAT I OF WORLD CONTROL—IT BECOMES CLEAR WHAT HAS HAPPENED.

And, readers, you must deal with WHAT IS and not what you wished you had done or wish somebody else would do or whatever relieves YOU OF RESPONSIBILITY. You will find that 99.99% of all nationalities immigrating will be Jewish in origin—FACTS ARE FACTS.

We need to turn our attention to other things now, so read it and weep, America—or if you are Cahillan, celebrate.

Thank you, Aton.
CHAPTER 4
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IS IT THE FRIENDSHIP OR THE POETRY?

It was very early when we first came to write this morning—the birds awakening and singing to a new day of sunshine, the more welcome after days of overcast. The heart bears a bit of sadness and memories bring a tear or two—for Ekkers had a sparrow, more than one, but one very special little bit of God, and thus the sparrows’ chattering always brings a bit of longing for that little being.

It was found naked and cold on a Nevada sidewalk, gathered up and nurtured—it never knew it was a bird other than to do all the things a bird can do while being allowed to do things the humans do. It went everywhere, even to the market, on drives, messed up everyone’s hair, ate what it chose from any available plate, and generally was the sunshine on any day.

It was not as strong as it might be and that was probably the reason it was “tossed” out by its parents in the first place; but oh what a delight. For several reasons this little fluff of feathers was called Little Albird or BabaAlbird.

One day when Eric was present and so too was BabaJEE (I did not say Sai-Baba), Albird became very, very excited and then very still and reverent—and Babajee was saying, “I come as many things, precious ones, sometimes even as a little sparrow.” No dry eyes left here, even among the Masters.

Why do I take time to dump a memory or two on you who perhaps don’t pay attention to sparrows? Because some of you knew Baba Albird better than any other thing about the Ekkers. Even Dennis Weaver (yes, the...) and his wife came and he was so captivated by Albird that he had pictures taken just to remember the incident. Jerry (his wife) sent a tiny crystal image of a sparrow in appreciation for the moments of friendship and humor. Albird fell in love with the little replica and played with it until its wings were chipped, and now all that is left is a bit of crystal image and a heart suddenly quite sad.

Cherish these things, beloved ones, for THEY are the things by which you can measure your compassion, your love, your appreciation—these are the things that become “you”. If you have no love nor appreciation for the most tiny things of living God—you have a problem. If the birds or pets simply annoy you rather than fulfill an emptiness in your heart—you have little compassion to give or share. Look at self very, very carefully and you will find that emptiness that YOU REFUSE TO DARE TO FILL.

But now I would tell you why this interlude to share this message. These days bring forth hard messages to write, to read, to confront. Especially is it hard to have our friends who are of one race or another have
to realize they did not, could not, know why things end up as they do, and therefore there must be RESPONSIBILITY in KNOWING lest we damage another for careless accusations and further exclusion because of our own foolish ignorance.

After a long afternoon such as Sunday’s, we have a bit of joy, sadness, weariness and yes, distress over the possibilities that come with responsibility.

We did our work as usual but the body is weary and the topic sad and yet, in like a ray of sunshine came a pot of pink flowers—from Della and Mark. This may mean nothing to readers but Della is a recognized poet who long ago handed over as a gift a volume of her published poetry, the book now worn with use. Della writes about little things that are as big as the universe, and of course the favorite one for Dharma is The Sparrow’s Song. But letting that go, there is one I asked to have shared with you so that in simplicity of appreciation you might find some balance in your own way.

**PRECIOUS MOMENTS**

by Della M. Lambert

As years go by and I grow old,
more things mean more to me,
The setting of a golden Sun,
or the blossoming of a tree.
The hills are much more green,
   The sky a deeper blue.
And early morning flowers
   seem sprinkled with more dew.
The song a sparrow’s singing,
did it always sound that way?
And do crickets chirp their evening song
at the end of each day?
I now hear children’s laughter
   as they play out in the Sun,
And quiet I don’t welcome
when their playful day is done.
Let me hear each little sound,
everything I want to see;
Don’t take a precious moment,
let it all stay here with me.
And as nighttime falls upon my day,
one thing is left to say,
*Thank you, God, for all these gifts
that you have brought my way.*
(June 1991)

And I, Aton, thank YOU, Della, for the gift you are to me! And Mark, treasure your gift.
Ah, we must turn to our task and lessons lest we live in memories past. As long as there are children dying there is work to be done. And in Albania, Bosnia, Macedonia, and the streets of Los Angeles, U.S.A. there is death and there is pain from those who would have the world and yet bear no care for the loss of their own souls. Can you find Madeleine Albright’s soul even in her eyes? No, for she has become the epitome of that which is hateful and it comes forth from the ONE nation thought to harbor love, equality and generosity. Where have all the children gone? Where have all the flowers gone? Where HAVE YOU PUT GOD? Is YOUR God in the golden radiance or the golden coin?

You need not war to bring goodness and peace back upon your creation and among your citizens. You cannot build goodness and peace from the tatters of war, bloodletting and hate. But, in all the things that ARE GOD, you push the evil back and back until the adversary cannot exist for the parasites will go when there is nothing allowed for their thriving. KNOW Truth, Expect Truth, and stand strong, and God will provide that which allows you to create the way, and those who have prevailed must go their way in their own way. But please, I implore you, STOP THE KILLING for you KNOW NOT WHAT YE DO. Why then would the Jewish Cahilla leaders of your now seated Kahal of America be working to START and continue wars instead of actually stopping them? THEY MUST HAVE AN ARMAGEDDON BEFORE THEY DUMP THE REMAINING PROPHECIES IN ACTION UPON YOU—AND THAT WAR ACTUALLY NEEDS TO BE HELD IN THE EASTERN HEMISPHERE—TO PROTECT, THEY THINK, THE NEW JERUSALEM HOMELAND, THE AMERICAS WHERE THEY HAVE STRUCTURED THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT OF ISRAEL.

[QUOTING:] PART 26, GLOBAL PARASITES

FROM THE INTERNATIONAL JEW, Issue of October 16, 1920

JEWS TESTIMONY ON
“ARE JEWS A NATION?”

“I will give you my definition of a nation, and you can add the adjective ‘Jewish’. [H: “Cahilla” if you prefer to be correct.] A Nation is, in my mind, an historical group of men of a recognizable cohesion held together by a common enemy. Then, if you add to that the word ‘Jewish’ you have what I understand to be the Jewish nation.”

THEODOR HERZL

“Let us all recognize that we Jews are a distinct nationality of which every Jew, whatever his country, his station, or shade of belief, is necessarily a member.” LOUIS D. BRANDEIS, Justice of the United States Supreme Court.

This article is designed to put the reader in possession of information regarding the Jew’s own thought of himself, as regards race, religion and citizenship. In the last article we saw the thought which Jewish representatives wish to plant in Gentile minds concerning this matter. The Senate committee which was to be convinced was made up of Gentiles. The witnesses who were to do the convincing were Jews.

Senator Simon Guggenheim said: “There is no such thing as a Jewish race, because it is the Jewish
Simon Wolf said: “The point we make is this—that Hebrew or Jewish is simply a religion.”

Julian W. Mack said: “Of what possible value is it to anybody to classify them as Jews simply because they adhere to the Jewish religion?”

The object of this testimony was to have the Jews classified under various national names, such as Polish, English, German, Russian, or whatever it might be.

Now, when the inquirer turns to the authoritative Jewish spokesmen who speak not to Gentiles but to Jews about this matter, he finds an entirely different kind of testimony. Some of this testimony will now be presented. [H: Doesn’t it remind you of your court where the victim is not even allowed input while the Lawyers play their expensive game, at your cost, to their judicial mentors?]

JEWS INSTRUCTIONS

The reader will bear in mind that, as the series is not written for entertainment but for instruction in the facts of a very vital Question, the present article will be of value only to those who desire to know for themselves what are the basic elements of the matter.

It should also be observed during the reading of the following testimony that sometimes the term “race” is used, sometimes the term “nation”. In every case it is recognized that the Jew is a member of a separate people, quite aside from the consideration of his religion.

First, let us consider the testimony which forbids us to consider the term “Jew” as merely the name of a member of a religious body only.

Louis D. Brandeis, Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States [of America] and WORLD LEADER OF THE ZIONIST MOVEMENT, says:

“Councils of Rabbis and others have undertaken at times to prescribe by definition that only those shall be deemed Jews who professedly adhere to the orthodox or reformed faith. But in the connection in which we are considering the term, it is not in the power of any single body of Jews—or indeed of all Jews collectively—to establish the effective definition. The meaning of the word ‘Jewish’ in the term ‘Jewish Problem’ must be accepted as co-extensive with the disabilities which it is our problem to remove. Those disabilities extend substantially to all of Jewish blood. The disabilities do not end with a renunciation of faith, however sincere. Despite the meditations of pundits or the decrees of councils, our own instincts and acts, and those of others, have defined for us the term ‘Jew’.” (Zionism and the American Jews)

The Rev. Mr. Morris Joseph, West London synagogue of British Jews: “Israel is assuredly a great nation... The very word ‘Israel’ proves it. No mere sect or religious community could appropriately bear such a name. Israel is recognized as a nation by those who see it; no one can possibly mistake it for a mere sect. To deny Jewish nationality you must deny the existence of the Jew.” (Israel a Nation)
Arthur D. Lewis, West London Zionist Association: “When some Jews say they consider the Jews a religious sect, like the Roman Catholics or Protestants, they are usually not correctly analyzing and describing their own feelings and attitude. If a Jew is baptized, or, what is not necessarily the same thing, sincerely converted to Christianity, few people think of him as no longer being a Jew. His blood, temperament and spiritual peculiarities are unaltered. (The Jews, a Nation) [H: Moreover, the Jew does not stop believing or thinking himself to be other than a Jew, a Christian Jew.]

Bertram B. Benas, barrister-at-law: “The Jewish entity is essentially the entity of a People. ‘Israelites’, ‘Jews’, ‘Hebrews’, all the terms used to denote the Jewish people bear a specifically historical meaning, and none of these terms has been convincingly superseded by one of purely sectarian nature. The external world has never completely subscribed to the view that the Jewish people constitute merely an ecclesiastical denomination...”. (Zionism—The National Jewish Movement)

Leon Simon, a brilliant and impressive Jewish scholar and writer, makes an important study of the question of “Religion and Nationality” in his volume, Studies in Jewish Nationalism. He makes out a case for the proposition that the Religion of the Jews is Nationalism, and that Nationalism is an integral part of their Religion.

“It is often said, indeed, that Judaism has no dogmas. That statement is not true as it stands.” He then states some of the dogmas, and continues: “And the Messianic Age means for the Jew not merely the establishment of peace on Earth and good will to men, but the universal recognition of the Jew and his God. It is another assertion of the eternity of the nation. Dogmas such as these are not simply the articles of faith of a church, to which anybody may gain admittance by accepting them; they are the beliefs of a nation about its own past and its own future.” (p. 14.)

“For Judaism has no message of salvation for the individual soul, as Christianity has; all its ideas are bound up with the existence of the Jewish nation.” (p. 20.)

“The idea that Jews are a religious sect, precisely, parallel to Catholics and Protestants, is nonsense.” (p. 34.)

[H: Go back and reread those three paragraphs until you can recite them and KNOW WHAT THEY SAY AND THE MEANING GIVEN.]

Graetz, the great historian of the Jews, whose monumental work is one of the standard authorities, says that the history of the Jews, even since they lost the Jewish State, “still possesses a national character; it is by no means merely a creed or church history. Our history is far from being a mere chronicle of literary events or church history.”

Moses Hess, one of the historic figures through whom the whole Jewish Program has flowed down from its ancient sources to its modern agents, wrote a book entitled Rome and Jerusalem in which he stated the whole matter with clearness and force.

“Jewish religion,” he says, “is, above all, Jewish patriotism.” (p. 61.)
“Were the Jews only followers of a certain religious denomination, like the others, then it were really inconceivable that Europe, and especially Germany, where the Jews have participated in every cultural activity, ‘should spare the followers of the Israelitish confession neither pains, nor tears, nor bitterness.’ The solution of the problem, however, consists in the fact that the Jews are something more than mere ‘followers of a religion’, namely, they are a race brotherhood, a nation…” (p. 71.)

Hess, like other authoritative Jewish spokesmen, denies that forsaking the faith constitutes a Jew a non-Jew. “…Judaism has never excluded anyone. The apostates severed themselves from the bond of Jewry. ‘And not even them has Judaism forsaken,’ added a learned rabbi in whose presence I expressed the above-quoted opinion.”

[H: What are you now thinking? Stop a minute and ponder this information. They deny a messianic deity or God, yet they claim to have a religion which always indicates that there must be some kind of icon, idle, god—you name it. If, then, they do NOT believe in the Christ or God as in Creator, then what and whom do THEY FOLLOW? What teachings might they witness and share? Ah, indeed, they claim it to be Jehovah, Lucifer, who is also well-identified as Satan. I don’t make these distinctions, THEY DO. So, if you claim you are just a Judeo-Christian—YOU lie first to self and then present a very foolish presentation to the world. The two MEANINGS are in direct opposition to each other. Now, since the religion itself is based on rituals which honor Lucifer-Satan, what does THAT SAY? And if you are Christ-oriented, you can’t actually serve Satan and still be Christian in its correct definition. And, you can’t expect a Rapture because Christ demands responsibility for SELF and all of SELF’S actions. You cannot simply believe a man existed in some unidentified time, was crucified, and His “blood” will somehow save your assets. It CAN’T BE BOTH WAYS, readers. This is not to put ANYBODY down, it simply cannot be both ways.

“In reality, Judaism as a nationality has a natural basis which cannot be set aside by mere conversion to another faith, as is the case in other religions. A Jew belongs to his race and consequently also to Judaism, in spite of the fact that he or his ancestors have become apostates.” (pp. 97-98.)

“Every Jew is, whether he wishes it or not, solidly united with the entire nation.” (p. 163.)

Simply to indicate that we have not been quoting outworn opinions, but the actual beliefs of the most active and influential part of Jewry, we close this section of the testimony with excerpts from a work published in 1920 by the Zionist Organization of America, from the pen of Jessie E. Sampter:

“The name of their national religion, Judaism, is derived from their national designation. An unreligious Jew is still a Jew, and he can with difficulty escape his allegiance only by repudiating the name of Jew.”

(Guide to Zionism, p. 5.)

It will be seen that none of these writers, and their number might be multiplied among both ancients and moderns, can deny that the Jew is exclusively a member of a religion without at the same time asserting that he is, whether he will or not, the member of a nation. Some go so far as to insist that his allegiance is racial in addition to being national. The term “race” is used by important Jewish scholars without reserve, while some, who hold the German-originated view that the Jews are an offshoot of the Semitic race and do not comprise that race, are satisfied with the term “nation”. Biblically, in both the Old Testament and the New,
the term “nation” or “people” is employed. [H: This actually doesn’t count for consideration, however, because the “religion” taught this group of Cahilla Jews is not from the Bible but from the Elders of Zion, the TALMUD, and is in opposition to anything beyond the physical expression.] But the consensus of Jewish opinion is this: The Jews are a separate people, marked off from other races by very distinctive characteristics, both physical and spiritual, and they have both a national history and a national aspiration.

It will be noticed how the testimony on the point of “race” combines the thought of race and nationality, just as the previous section combined the thought of nationality with religion.

Supreme Justice Brandeis, previously quoted, appears to give a racial basis to the fact of nationality.

He says: “It is no answer to this evidence of nationality to declare that the Jews are not an absolutely pure race. There has, of course, been some intermixture of foreign blood in the three thousand years which constitute our historic period. But, owing to persecution and prejudice, the intermarriages with non-Jews which have occurred have resulted merely in taking away many from the Jewish community. Intermarriage has brought few additions. Therefore the percentage of foreign blood in the Jews of today is very low. Probably no important European race is as pure. But common race is only one of the elements which determine nationality.”

Arthur D. Lewis, a Jewish writer, in his The Jews, a Nation, also bases nationality on the racial element:

“The Jews were originally a nation, and have retained more than most nations one of the elements of nationality—namely, the race element; this may be proved, of course, by the common sense test of their distinguishability. You can more easily see that a Jew is a Jew than that an Englishman is English.”

Moses Hess is also quite clear on this point. He writes of the impossibility of Jews denying “their racial descent”, and says: “Jewish noses cannot be reformed, and the black, wavy hair of the Jews will not turn through conversion into blond, nor can its curves be straightened out by constant combing. The Jewish race is one of the primary races of mankind that has retained its integrity, in spite of the continual change of its climatic environment, and the Jewish type has conserved its purity through the centuries.” [H: This is a beautiful thing, readers, not some slam against a people. How sad that beauty and clarity comes with such hate and loathing, for each of Creator’s creations are beautiful and wondrous in their own unique appearance. This is the very basis of the discontent with each individual who is not happy with what they are or how they look and that becomes an almost 100% characteristic of mankind’s attitudes. It is NOW such that the very Jewish beauty is the standard for Hollywood and motion pictures presented to the world—but the opposite happens with such as Yitzhak Shamir or a Madeleine Albright, who present as the alien Cahillian Zionist that has nothing to do with belief in God or in Peace, but a driving push to gain control. These rather ugly, often dwarfed persons reflect the very ill-intent of the very presentation of what you call Devil. It is beyond the outside appearance—it is an inner reflection of evil. Stature, as in smaller persons who are HUMAN in presentation, DOES NOT REFLECT NEGATIVE THOUGHTS OR DARK AURAS OR AN UNBALANCED ATTITUDE OF SUPERIORITY TO COVER SOME IMAGINED INFERIORITY. Stature and presentation are as unique as the wondrous individual you
ARE. HOWEVER, no matter how you try to change what IS, you are still boxed in by the genetic structure presenting as individual DNA-RNA replications and offspring. But we have had those lessons and I won’t take more time here. Cloning, however, is well established and I will ask Dr. Overholt to make sure an article regarding Bill Clinton gets into the next paper [See end of chapter]. Genetic games and DNA cloning have been around since before the turn of the century and, now, it is an art and technical management tool for these New World Controllers. What you see is not what you have.

Jessie E. Sampter, in the Guide to Zionism, recounting the history of the work done for Zionism in the United States, says: “And this burden was nobly borne, due partly to the commanding leadership of such men as Justice Louis D. Brandeis, Judge Julian W. Mack, and Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, partly to the devoted and huge labors of the old-time faithful Zionists on the Committee, such as Jacob de Haas, Louis Lipsky, and Henrietta Szold, and partly to the aroused race consciousness of the mass of American Jews.”

Four times in the brief preface to the fifth edition of Coningsby, Disraeli uses the term “race” in referring to the Jews, and Disraeli was proud of being racially a Jew, though religiously he was a Christian.

In The Jewish Encyclopedia, “the Jewish race” is spoken of. In the preface, which is signed by Dr. Cyrus Adler as chief editor, these words occur: “An even more delicate problem that presented itself at the very outset was the attitude to be observed by the Encyclopedia in regard to those Jews who, while born within the Jewish community, have, for one reason or another, abandoned it. As the present work deals with the Jews as a race, it was found impossible to exclude those who were of that race, whatever their religious affiliations might have been.”

But as we are not interested in ethnology, the inquiry need not be continued further along this line. The point toward which all this trends is that the Jew is conscious of himself as being more than the member of a religious body. That is, Jewry nowhere subscribes in the persons of its greatest teachers and its most authoritative representatives, to the theory that a Jew is only “a brother of the faith”. Often he is not of the faith at all, but he is still a Jew. The fact is insisted upon here, not to discredit him, but to expose the double minds of those political leaders who, instead of straightforwardly meeting the Jewish Question, endeavor to turn all inquiry aside by an impressive confusion of the Gentile mind.

It may be argued by the small body of so-called “Reformed Jews” that the authorities quoted here are mostly Zionists. The reply is this: There may be, and quite possibly are, two Jewish programs in the world, one which it is intended the Gentiles should see, and one which is exclusively for the Jews. In determining which is the real Program, it is a safe course to adopt the one that is made to succeed. It is the Program sponsored by the so-called Zionists which is succeeding. It was made to succeed through the Allied government, through the Peace Conference, and now through the League of Nations. That, then, must be the true Jewish program, because it is hardly possible that the Gentile governments could have been led as they are being led, were they not convinced that they are obeying the behests of the real Princes of the Jews. It is all well enough to engage the plain Gentile people with one set of interesting things; the real thing is the one that has been put over. And that is the program whose sponsors also stand for the racial and national separateness of the Jews.
The idea that the Jews comprise a nation is the most common idea of all, among the Jews. Not only a nation with a past, but a nation with a **future**. More than that, not only a nation, but a **Super-Nation**.

We can go to the present problems of the Jewish Nation, there is plenty of Jewish testimony to the fact that the influence of American life is harmful to Jewish life; that is, they are in antagonism, like to opposite ideas. This point, however, must await development in the succeeding article.

Israel Friedlaender traces the racial and national exclusiveness of the Jews from the earliest times, giving as illustrations two Biblical incidents—the Samaritans, “who were half-Jews by race and who were eager to become full Jews by religion”, and their repulse by the Jews “who were eager to safeguard the racial integrity of the Jews”; also, the demand for genealogical records and for the dissolution of mixed marriages, as recorded in the *Book of Ezra*. Dr. Friedlaender says that in post-Biblical times “this racial exclusiveness of the Jews became even more accentuated.” Entry into Judaism “never was, as in other religious communities, purely a question of faith. Proselytes were seldom solicited, and even when ultimately admitted into the Jewish fold they were so on the express condition that they surrender their racial individuality.”

“For the purposes of the present inquiry,” says Dr. Friedlaender, “it is enough for us to know that the Jews have always felt themselves as a separate race, sharply marked off from the rest of mankind. Anyone who denies the racial conception of Judaism on the part of the Jews in the past is either ignorant of the facts of Jewish history or intentionally misrepresents them.”

Elkan N. Adler says: “No serious politician today doubts that our people have a **political future**.”

This future of political definiteness and power was in the mind of Moses Hess when he wrote in 1862, mark the date, in the preface of his *Rome and Jerusalem*, these words:

“No nation can be indifferent to the **fact that in the coming European struggle** for liberty, it **may have another people as its friend or foe.**”

Hess had just been complaining of the inequalities visited upon the Jews. He was saying that what the individual Jew could not get because he was a Jew, the Jewish Nation would be able to get because it would be a Nation. Evidently he expected that nationhood might arrive before the “coming European struggle”, and he was warning the Gentile nations to be careful, because in the coming struggle there might be another nation in the list, namely, the Jewish Nation, which could be either friend or foe to any nation it chose.

Dr. J. Abelson, of Portsea College, in discussing the status of “small nations” as a result of the Great War, says: “The Jew is one of these ‘smaller nations’,” and claims for the Jew what is claimed for the Pole, the Rumanian and the Serbian, and on the same ground—that of nationality.

Justice Brandeis voices the same thought. He says:

“While every other people is striving for development by asserting its nationality, and a great war is making clear the value of small nations... Let us make clear to the world that we too are a nationality
clamoring for equal rights...”

Again says Justice Brandeis: “Let us all recognize that we Jews are a distinct nationality, of which every Jew, whatever his country, his station, or shade of belief, is necessarily a member.”

And he concludes his article, from which these quotations are made, with these words:

“Organize, organize, organize, until every Jew must stand up and be counted—counted with us, or prove himself, wittingly or unwittingly, of the few who are against his own people.”

Sir Samuel Montagu, the British Jew who has been appointed governor of Palestine under the British mandate, habitually speaks of the Jewish Kingdom, usually employing the expression “the restoration of the Jewish Kingdom”. It may be of significance that the native population already refer to Sir Samuel as “The King of the Jews”.

Achad ha-Am, who must be regarded as the one who has most conclusively stated the Jewish Idea as it has always existed, and whose influence is not as obscure as his lack of fame among the Gentiles might indicate, is strong for the separate identity of the Jews as a super-nation. Leon Simon succinctly states the great teacher’s views when he says:

“While Hebraic thought is familiar with the conception of a Superman (distinguished, of course, from Nietzsche’s conception by having a very different standard of excellence), yet its most familiar and characteristic application of that conception is not to the individual but to the nation—to Israel as the Super-Nation or ‘chosen people’. In fact, the Jewish nation is presupposed in all characteristically Jewish thinking, just as it is presupposed in the teaching of the Prophets.”

“In those countries,” says Moses Hess, “which form a dividing line between the Occident and the Orient, namely, Russia, Poland, Prussia and Austria, there live millions of our brethren who earnestly believe in the restoration of the Jewish Kingdom and pray for it fervently in their daily services.”

This article, therefore, at the risk of appearing tedious, has sought to summon from many sides and from many periods the testimony which should be taken whenever the subject of Jewish nationalism comes under discussion. Regardless of what may be said to Gentile authorities for the purpose of hindering or modifying their action, there can be no question as to what the Jew thinks of himself: He thinks of himself as belonging to a People, united to that People by ties of blood which no amount of creedal change can weaken, heir of that People’s past, agent of that People’s political future. He belongs to a race; he belongs to a nation; he seeks a kingdom to come on this EARTH, a kingdom which shall be over all kingdoms, with Jerusalem the ruling city of the world. That desire of the Jewish Nation may be fulfilled; it is the contention of these articles that it will not come by way of the Program of the Protocols nor by any of the other devious ways through which powerful Jews have chosen to work. [H: I would suggest that this is true and that to focus on a place taken out of Palestine and set forth as Israel is but a sham and a distraction that pulls attention to one thing while the Program is being worked into perfection in every other place and now more specifically in the NEW WORLD ORDER—THE NEW JERUSALEM. I again remind you who take some kind of exception to these writings: WE DID NOT MAKE THE PROGRAM; WE DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROGRAM, BUT WE}
The charge of religious prejudice has always touched the people of civilized countries in a tender spot. Sensing this, the Jewish spokesmen chosen to deal with non-Jews have emphasized the point of religious prejudice. It is therefore a relief to tender and uninstructed minds to learn that Jewish spokesmen themselves have said that the troubles of the Jew have never arisen out of his religion; the Jew is not questioned on account of his religion, but on account of other things which his religion ought to modify. Gentiles know the truth that the Jew is not persecuted on account of his religion. All honest investigators know it. The attempt to shield the Jews under cover of their religion is, therefore, in face of the facts and of their own statements, an unworthy one.

If there were no other evidence, the very evidence which many Jewish writers cite, namely, the instant siding of the Jews one with another upon any and every occasion, would constitute evidence of racial and national solidarity. Whenever these articles have touched the International Jewish Financier, hundreds of Jews in the lower walks of life have protested. Touch a Rothschild and the revolutionary Jew from the ghetto utters his protest, and accepts the remark as a personal affront to himself. Touch a regular old-line Jewish politician who is using a government office exclusively for the benefit of his fellow Jews as against the best interests of the nation, and the socialist and anti-government Jew comes out in his defense. Most of these Jews, it may be said, have lost a vital touch with the teachings and ceremonials of their religion, but they indicate that their real religion is by their national solidarity.

This in itself would be interesting, but it becomes important in view of another fact, with which the next article will deal, namely, the relations between this Jewish nationalism and the nationalism of the peoples among whom the Jews dwell.


[END OF QUOTING]

It has become clear over the time elapsing and generations growing up that there is gross and incorrect “stuff” being fed forth as religion and nationalism. The Judeans or Jewish people of early Biblical history, came to believe in that which was called the Torah. They based some of that religion on the Old Testament but the Old Testament was written by those who presented Jehovah as an angry, spiteful god, not a loving Creator. The confusion is rampant all the way through any of those writings, and to lay down some nice cozy Commandments of Goodness within God-ness is pretty good, but you can tell the authors by the very things they demand of YOU PEOPLE—not spiritual reality. The major thrusts are the battles, the wars, the bloodshed and the sacrifices. THIS IS NOT OF GOD CREATOR.

Then came the “elders” who knew even more and better than the Torahan teachings and presented a new language, Yiddish, and a NEW BOOK OF INSTRUCTIONS, THE TALMUD. But even then they didn’t dare, in the upstart of thrusting this off onto a race or a nation or other humanity—they had to call themselves something very, very similar to what was the going and accepted name, so they chose the Judean’s religion, coined a new name and pronounced themselves Jews and broke all traditional values while easing the Judeans into the trap as well as everyone else around. So, they then labeled themselves
Zionists to infer that they were seeking the City of God. This just further fooled the people who were not really allowed to get information—but were fed what was to be the new concepts of living, life, death, and religion.

An eye for eye, etc., became the goal of fair practice, even if the eye was injured through the victim’s own actions. Injustice became the accepted, yea demanded, concept. God Creator never ever in your wildest imaginings suggested other than JUSTICE, Love, and Truth. HE never would suggest a bloody gouging out of eyes. NOW, face it, you have come to the end of the allowance of UNGODLY expression from taking the world in which God’s PEOPLE dwell to be usurped by the very concepts and practices of Satanic persons.

Forget that concept of RELIGION. You are going to have to confront SPIRITUAL TRUTH, and in that will come separation of human from HUMAN.

What happened? The same thing that has always been and always happened—the greedy intents of human took over and everywhere there appeared something “better”, or even that which was simply “beings”, was coveted and therefore the “owners” or “tenders” of the lands were killed and the property taken into possession—even that which belonged NOT TO HUMAN.

Oh my, we still have such a long way to go and so little time left, in FREEDOM OF ANY KIND, to get the information so that mankind can have Truth, and then the choices are as individual as SELF. This stops the consideration of race, color, religion or nationality. You either are a HUMAN or you will remain trapped in that which is simply a human experience and a dead intent.

You can CONCEIVE in idea/ideals a beautiful and loving world in freedom and GROW AND NURTURE into THE way in Truth and knowledge—or you are destined to be trapped forever in the cycles of “getcha” and “gotcha”, wars, and that which enslaves man. That choice is also YOURS. This is truly a concept of GOOD or of EVIL. Perhaps right and wrong, good or bad may be perceptions which have little actual meaning but, people: THERE IS GOOD AND THERE IS EVIL and BOTH ARE. One roadway leads to dark passages and ignorance, and the other toward and within knowing and the Light of realization, God. It is not complicated and nobody forces anything unless it be of the dark side of his duality of expression, and each individual is given reason, logic, and CHOICES.

God will LET you go either way and will not interfere with either—unless invited into the fray in full intent of right petition. God will not help you DESTROY—that is the physical expression of Satanic thought and action in a physical expression. You can express negative energy or you can express positive and beautiful energy. God will allow either, and what YOU have done is ALLOW NEGATIVE ENERGY TO USURP THE POSITIVE OF THE GLOBE EVEN WHILE YOU OUTNUMBER THE ADVERSARY’S TEAM SO INCREDIBLY THAT YOU CAN’T EVEN SEE THE RATIO. LESS THAN 3 PERCENT HAVE COME TO CONTROL THE OTHER 97 PERCENT AND YOU DIDN’T EVEN NOTICE WHILE IT HAPPENED.

So be it and may you think upon these things without hiding behind preachers, religions, or anything else save the open light of Truth.
Editor’s note: We share the following information with you longtime readers who have read for many years in the pages of CONTACT and the Phoenix Journals about the secret genetic engineering technology perfected in both the USA and USSR in the 1970s to create robotic duplicates. While we have no way to verify Skolnick’s information, which frequently is peppered with dis/misinformation, the following nevertheless rings of great plausibility. This was taken from the CONTACT March 17, 1998, Vol. 20, #4.

From the Internet, by Sherman H. Skolnick, Conspiracy Nation—Vol. 11 Num. 90, 3/13/98: [quoting]

Long whispered about, a file now has surfaced from the KGB, the secret police of the former Soviet Union. Several commentators have the file and are silent cowards. Some declare the death of network broadcaster Brit Hume’s son [1] is linked to this. Was it murder or suicide?

The KGB file shows that the person identified as or calling himself William Jefferson Clinton has an exact double, except for a few details such as a recently removed mole and distinctive private parts. Hey: Do Paula Corbin Jones and Monica Lewinsky know the truth?

For some 70 years, Russian scientists have perfected ways to create doubles. Mass media items about animal clones may be the way the press fakers skirt around the truth. And who publicly condemned the idea of manufacturing humans? Why, the alleged Clinton. (Which “Clinton” made the public statement?)

Reporters traveling with the alleged Clinton talk among themselves about the double or clone. Which is which? Is there a “real” William Jefferson Clinton? Talkative reporters, however, can lose their Secret Service press credentials.

Knowing about this was the Secret Service’s top expert on physical surveillance of the President. That specialist was murdered with other presidential personnel through a sabotaged plane crash, August 1996, near Jackson Hole, Wyoming. A projectile hit the plane, say military witnesses. (The alleged Clinton had left, earlier, by helicopter, to go to his birthday party.)

The KGB file details the relationship of the alleged Clinton—actually the alleged CLINTONS, plural—with the Czechoslovak Communist Party Chief’s son in Prague and at Oxford. The KGB threw junior off a roof to his death (the file gives the grisly details) because he discovered about the clone.

The file, step by step, gives the chronology of how the alleged Clinton was groomed from an early age by both the CIA and the KGB. Useful was his split personality syndrome which often makes “Clinton” forget who he is and makes him not know murder from love. With young “Clinton” supposedly under his wing,
the CIA Station Chief in London went along, hoping to unravel KGB methods, the file asserts. The alleged Clinton was tracked as he attended anti-war rallies in Europe—set up by the American CIA but actually by KGB. Sort of a series of Chinese trick boxes.

The CIA knew, the file shows, that the KGB paid for young Clinton’s trip to Moscow and his stay at an expensive hotel there. To confuse matters, Clinton’s pal Strobe Talbot was described as a “Marxist”, to divert attention. To nail down his credibility with CIA, while KGB actually controlled the situation, the Soviets gave the alleged Clinton a secret transcript of Krushchev condemning Stalinists, the file shows.

Numerous dates, amounts, and details show the KGB was keeping track of the alleged Clinton’s pal, Vincent W. Foster, Jr., and his acts as a super courier of illicit funds and money laundry operator, traveling great distances, including to the Soviet Union, years prior to Foster’s short time as a White House deputy counsel in 1993. (National security is a reason for covering up the murder of Foster disguised as a suicide—the CIA-KGB-NSA overlaps.)

To confirm its authenticity and trip-up spy-watchers, the file has several Russian-language code words.

The file’s blackmail possibilities are obvious: the alleged Clinton is a clone, part of a method perfected by Russian scientists. The file is loaded with blockbuster revelations, for example, that Lee Harvey Oswald was not the lone assassin of President Kennedy. Widely revealing the file details could bring down the American government.

Later developments confirm all this. Former Director of Central Intelligence (DCIA) William Colby, early in 1996, joined in a business venture with the former long-time KGB Chief to market an electronic game on espionage. It was becoming obvious: Colby was a KGB mole; his business partner was a CIA mole.

Just as Colby was about to devastate the U.S. government with the KGB file, he was assassinated, disguised as a boating accident.

So far, FBI counter-intelligence thinks they have restrained the Russian mafia, largely now headquartered in Chicago, from using the KGB file to blackmail big bucks out of anyone and everyone.

Also reportedly inclined to use this H-bomb of a blackmail item—the KGB file—are reputed foreign agents within the White House, including the President’s senior advisor, Rahm Emanuel, reportedly linked with Israeli intelligence, the Mossad.

Remember: in the 1970s, against great media opposition, the Citizens’ Committee to Clean Up the Courts broke the story with the best details of the CIA’s mind-poison project, MK-ULTRA.

[1] Brit Hume’s son, according to Conspiracy Nation (CN) source “Rhea Fortean” (pseudonym), unexpectedly committed “suicide” recently. Here is Rhea Fortean’s correspondence to CN on the matter: I wonder about the sudden so-far-unexplained suicide of reporter Sandy Hume (Sunday night)—Brit Hume’s son. The obit on Fox said he was a FEARLESS REPORTER. Nothing scared him. He went after stories with a vengeance. He worked for The Hill newspaper and appeared on his dad’s show on Fox nightly recently. The whole obit was nothing like a suicidal guy. Don’t know the cause of death. Tony Snow
called it in obit an “apparent” suicide. He was doing better in his career than ever. His star was rising. He was 28 years old (no marriage data available). The timing seems strange—really, really strange. This scandal was making his career. His other big story was the attempted coup of Gingrich last summer. They mentioned he had the best sources of anybody.

I found it strange since Hume’s big story was the attempted coup that right after his death Bill Paxton resigns from office suddenly w/out even explaining to his wife saying I can’t do this. Can’t do what? Also do you know why he has a very bad abrasion on the center of his forehead in photos??

The reporter who basically got him in trouble and possibly ruined his career in the Senate commits suicide and a day or two later he resigns?? Weird, huh?

Sandy Hume outs the coup of Gingrich story in Summer of 1997—ruins Bill Paxton’s future in Senate. A week or so ago Paxton’s wife Susan Molinari ended up in the news with a story she is telling in a book: that Gingrich is disturbed, cries, whines, thinks he rules the world and all manner of accusations.

Then last Sunday night Sandy Hume who broke the story on the coup and who has the best sources of anybody—especially Rep. sources—”commits suicide”.

A few days later Paxton resigns suddenly and without explanation (real) and muttering, “I can’t do this”. Is pictured with some kind of abrasion on his forehead that I have not heard an explanation for.

Gingrich is making statements and backing bills that have Republicans dropping their jaws over. Critics have even accused him of working as “co-president” to Clinton. Hints are being made and have been made that he is being blackmailed.

Don’t know what it means but it is strange. —Rhea Fortean

For related stories, visit:

http://www.shout.net/~bigred/cn.html

http://www.netcom.com/~feustel

Views expressed do not necessarily reflect those of Conspiracy Nation, nor of its Editor-in-Chief. I encourage distribution of Conspiracy Nation.
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[QUOTING:] PART 27, GLOBAL PARASITES


JEW VERSUS NON-JEW IN NEW YORK FINANCE

(Special Dispatch to the Evening Telegram.)

A CHANGE IN THE THANKSGIVING PROCLAMATION

HARRISBURG, Nov. 10th: An important change has been made in the Thanksgiving proclamation. In the last paragraph the words “Christian Commonwealth” have been altered to read: “A Commonwealth of freemen”. This change has been made because of animadversions made by prominent Israelites. Gov. Hoyt says he used the word “Christian” in the sense of “civilized” and not particularly in a religious sense.

—Vol. 20, American Jewish Historical Society “Documents regarding the Thanksgiving Proclamation of Gov. Hoyt, of Pennsylvania (1880).”

The Jewish problem in the United States is essentially a city problem. It is characteristic of the Jew to gather in numbers, not where land is open nor where raw materials are found, but where the greatest number of people abide. This is a noteworthy fact when considered alongside the Jews’ claim that the Gentiles have ostracized them; the Jews congregate in their greatest numbers in those places and among those people where they complain they are least wanted. The explanation most frequently given is this: the genius of the Jew is to live off people, not off land, nor off the production of commodities from raw material, but off people. Let other people till the soil; the Jew, if he can, will live off the tiller. Let other people toil at trades and manufacture; the Jew will exploit the fruits of their work. That is his peculiar genius. If this genius be described as parasitic, the term would seem to be justified by a certain fitness.

In no other city of the United States can the Jewish Problem be studied with greater profit than in the city of New York. [H: This is no longer particularly true for in every MAJOR city the Jewish Kahal is in full place and operation. Los Angeles, in many ways, is far more easily used for understanding of how it works because you have so much motion picture media presentation. However, in 1998, EVERY CITY IS CONTROLLED AND OPERATED UNDER THE GUIDE-
LINES OF THE *PROTOCOLS*—NOT THE *CONSTITUTION* OF EITHER STATE OR NATION.] There are more Jews in New York than in all Palestine. The communal register of the Jewish Kehillah (or Kahal) of New York sets the population at about 1,527,778. “The next largest Jewish community in the world, that of the city of Warsaw, is estimated to have been between 300,000 and 330,000 Jews, about one-fifth as many as we estimate for New York.” (*Communal Register, 1917-1918.*) “If we accept the estimate of the number of Jews in the world as about 14,000,000, one Jew out of every ten resides in New York.”

[H: Let us interrupt and move ahead to World War II and consider, with other information, the possibilities of this statement. If you have so many Jews in New York in 1920 with increasing immigration annually, and you have that many Jews JUST IN POLAND and there are only 14,000,000 Jews in the world—how comes it to be that 6 million Jews COULD HAVE BEEN HOLOCAUSTED and YET THERE ARE MORE PEOPLE (JEWS, THEY SAY) GETTING RESTITUTION FROM THE HOLOCAUST THAN THERE WERE JEWS IN THE WORLD? AND FURTHER, I NEVER HAVE SAID THERE WAS NO HOLOCAUST—I SAID THERE WAS NEVER A HOLOCAUST AS PRESENTED TO THE WORLD AND STILL HOLDS THE WORLD HOSTAGE.]

As a population, the Jews exert more power in New York than they have ever exerted during the Christian Era in any place, with the exception of the present Russia. [H: Remember that today, in 1998, however, THEY TOTALLY CONTROL THE GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON D.C. AND THEREFORE THE POLITICAL ENTIRETY OF THE NATION—AND THAT OF CANADA.] The Jewish Revolution in Russia was manned from New York. The present Jewish government of Russia, was transported almost as a unit *FROM THE LOWER EAST SIDE OF NEW YORK*. The New York Ghetto has long since overflowed the lower East Side. Brownsville, Brooklyn, is a Jewish town, with its own language, theaters and press. The upper East Side of New York is practically in large sections a Jewish ghetto. The prosperous West Side and the middle class section of the city north of Central Park are practically Jewish.

With the exception of one great department store and a few lesser ones, all the large department stores in New York are Jewish. Men and women’s ready-to-wear apparel, laundries, furriers, the general run of shopkeeping is practically monopolized by Jews. The legal profession is predominantly Jewish. It is estimated that of the 27,000 news stands that control the distribution of New York’s reading matter, 25,000 are in the hands of Jews. There are 360 synagogues on the East Side of New York alone.

The New York Kehillah is a very powerful organization, whose membership strength is not accurately known. It may be described as the Jewish government of that city. It was organized in 1908 as the result of a statement by General Bingham [H: A prominently MORMON name.], then police commissioner of New York, that the Jewish population, which then amounted to 600,000, contributed 50 per cent of the criminals of the city. The Kehillah is the bar before which the authorities must answer for statements or acts touching the Jewish community. Its power is very great and its methods far reaching.

Politically, while the rest of the country is entertained with the fiction that Tammany Hall rules the politics of New York, the fact is rarely published that the Jews rule Tammany.
But it is not the possession of power that constitutes an indictment of any people; it is their use or misuse of it. And if the fact of power is established, no misuse of it being found, the fact has a commendatory side. If the Jews who flock to New York become American, and if they do not work ceaselessly to twist Americanism into something else; if they strengthen the principles and traditions of America, and do not cease to vitiate the one and abolish the other, the judgment upon them must be one of friendship.

However, to establish the fact of Jewish power one need not remain in the ghetto, nor in the mercantile districts. There are higher fields awaiting survey.

In Wall Street, the Jewish element is both numerous and powerful, as might be expected of a race which from early days has played an important part in the financial operations of the world.

This is not to say, however, that Jewish influence in American financial affairs is paramount. At one time it threatened to be, but American financiers have always been silently aware of the International Jewish Financier, and have endeavored quietly to block his game. Time and again the contest has seemed to turn in favor of the Jew, but when the widespread secret wrestlings of the two powers have been suspended for a moment, it has been found that American finance has maintained its superiority, if only in a slight degree. The Rothschilds were the first to be beaten on American soil; the story of their hidden hand in American finance, politics and diplomacy is a voluminous one; but even their finesse did not avail against the sterling worth of American Business—not “American business” as it has come to be known now that thousands of Jews are scattered about the world, representing themselves to be “American business men” although they can scarcely speak English!, but American Business as represented by the combination of American ability and American conscience. If the reputation of American Business has suffered, it is because something other than American methods have been used under the American name.

In the New York financial district, Jewish finance makes itself felt through its private banking institutions. As distinct from the great trust companies and banks of deposit, the private banker utilizes his own capital and that of his partners and associates.

Jewish finance differs radically from non-Jewish finance in the fact that Jewish bankers are essentially money-lenders. They may underwrite great flotations of bond and stock issues for railroad and industrial companies, governments and municipalities, but these securities are immediately sold to the public. There is a quick money turnover. The public carries the bonds; the Jewish financier gets his money. The Jewish banker himself rarely has a permanent interest in the corporations he finances. Non-Jewish bankers usually feel obligated to retain a connection with the enterprises they have financed, in order to assure the investors a proper administration of funds; they feel obligated to contribute to the success of the investments which they handle for other people.

The Jewish banker keeps his capital liquid. The cash is always in his coffers. This is essential to his position as one who deals in money. And when the inevitable day of financial stress arrives, he profits greatly by the higher value then placed on liquid capital.

Far and away the leading Jewish banking house in Wall Street is that of Kuhn, Loeb & Company. The head of this great firm was the late Jacob Schiff, whose associates were his son Mertimer, Otto H. Kahn, Paul M. Warburg, and others, who have taken prominent parts both in public life and giant financial
operations. Other private Jewish banking houses may be named as follows: Speyer & Company; J. and W. Seligman & Company; Hallgarten & Company; Knauth, Nachod and Kuhne; Goldman, Sachs & Company, as well as others of relatively less prominence. These firms enjoy a high reputation for financial integrity. They are cautious bankers, skillful in their operations, and sometimes brilliant in their financial strategy.

There is much control of industry, from the financial side, represented by Jewish power in Wall Street, and they have gained a monopoly of many metal markets. Large, prosperous Jewish brokerage houses are on every hand. The further one goes down the line of speculative operations, the more of the Jewish race one finds to be active in the work of company promotions and the marketing of oil and mining stocks.

Yet one amazing fact stands out from the mass: there is not, at this writing, a Jewish bank president on Wall Street; that is, a president of a bank of public deposit. Of all the great banks of public deposit and corporation finance, the enormous trust companies whose individual resources often run up to $400,000,000 and whose combined resources approximate many billions, not one of them has Jewish management or Jewish officers.

Why is this so? Why have the powerful banking families of Wall Street surrounded themselves so carefully with non-Jewish associates? Why has this great dividing line been drawn between members of the Jewish and non-Jewish races in the financial district that manages the financial resources of the nation?

Why? The answer to the question is in the custody of the stronger and sounder financial heads of Wall Street.

Only here and there one will discover a Jewish director in the boards of some of the lesser banking institutions.

The situation may be due merely to a shrewd analysis of the public mind. Rightly or wrongly the public prefers not to confide its money to an institution under Jewish control. It is true that in certain uptown sections of New York there are a few banks of a local character which are completely under Jewish management. But even the Jews prefer to deposit their money in banks which are free of Jewish control.

The situation may also be the effect of the unfortunate experience which the public has had with Jewish management of banks in the past. Several large failures have served to impress upon the public mind a certain peculiarity which attached to the Jewish element in those failures. The public has not forgotten, among others, the failure of Joseph G. Robin, whose real name was Robonovitch. He was an Odessa Jew. In an incredibly short space of time he built up four large banking institutions in which public money was deposited. He wrecked them all. His failure was most sensational and caused untold suffering. Robonovitch’s career illustrated very vividly the extent of the gifts and energies of the Jew from Russia, his wonderful faculty for building up large concerns through chicanery, and his cowardice and duplicity in the hour of defeat. This banking career ended in a felon’s cell.

However, one fact of importance, a fact that should be reassuring to the general public, is that the men to whom is entrusted the crucial task of putting to work and keeping at work the financial resources of the United States have hedged themselves about with a non-Jewish wall of great strength and long standing.
The effort of Jewish interests to gain control of the Stock Exchange is also an interesting story, and although the record shows a steady Jewish gain toward the end they desire, it is slow; but there are indications that the relentless persistence for which the Jew is noted, will prevail in the end—that is, if stock gambling continues to prove an alluring source of wealth. [H: And of course it has continued, in spite of what happened less than nine years later with total collapse of the market and total depression.]

**WHEN THE JEWS GAIN CONTROL OF THE STOCK EXCHANGE THEY WILL, FOR THE FIRST TIME, POSSESS THE POWER TO WREST PUBLIC BANKING CONTROL FROM THE NON-JEWISH GROUP.**

There is a silent resistance to Jews on the Stock Exchange also, in virtue of an unwritten law, just as there is in the banking world of Wall Street, and the story of the counter-resistance calls for an historian.

It is related by Sereno S. Pratt that in 1792 there was a little office at No. 22 Wall Street for the public sale of stocks. A number of men, engaged in the business of buying and selling, were accustomed to meet near a large buttonwood tree which stood near 68 Wall Street. In 1817, the New York Stock Exchange, about as present constituted, was organized.

**THE STOCK EXCHANGE IS A PRIVATE INSTITUTION.** It is practically a commission club in private hands. It is not incorporated.

Its membership is strictly limited to 1,100 men.

There are only two ways by which an outsider can become owner of a seat on the Exchange—by obtaining it from the executor of a deceased member, or by purchasing from a retiring or bankrupt member.

The memberships or seats cost at present [1920] more than $100,000. About ten years ago a seat could be bought for $77,000. [H: Now try for 1998 and a cost.]

The Stock Exchange is ruled by a Governing Committee of 40 members. For many years no Jew was elected to this Committee. Of recent years, an occasional Jewish broker has succeeded in being admitted to this upper group, but not often. [H: What do you think you find today in 1998(!!!)?] This position, however, has not been the main objective of Jewish traders. When they secure a sufficient number of seats on the Exchange, they will take care of the matter of control in their own well-known way.

The two barriers which at present operate to prevent a large inroad of Jews are these: First, a silent resistance on the part of the other members against the admission of Jews, a resistance which is said to date from the earliest formation of this famous trading institution. And, second, the restrictions which are placed by the constitution of the Stock Exchange itself on all applications for membership.

The Governing committee of 40 has a Committee on Admissions which comprises 15 members and which considers all applications for membership. As the membership is fixed at 1,100 and as no new seats are ever sold, a new member can gain entrance only through the transfer of an existing seat. But even such
a transfer is under the strict control of the Committee on Admissions, to whose scrutiny the name of the applicant must be submitted, and whose two-thirds approval is necessary to his being seated.

But one outstanding characteristic of the Jewish race is its persistence. What it cannot attain this generation, it will attain next. Defeat it today, it does not remain defeated; its conquerors die, but Jewry goes on, never forgiving, never forgetting, never deviating from its ancient aim of world control in one form or another. So, though it would seem impossible that Jewish membership in the Stock Exchange could increase under these conditions, the plain fact is that it has increased. Slowly but surely the Jews are gaining numerical power on the floor of the Exchange. And they are doing it with a subtlety that is amazing.

How do they do it? In the first place, no Jewish member ever transfers his seat to a non-Jew. In times of market dullness, when the prices of seats drop, and the demand is not so keen as usual, Jewish bidders offer, invariably, the highest sums to the seller. Then, in the case of the bankruptcy of a non-Jewish member, the receiver is almost compelled by the demand of creditors to accept the highest bid for the transfer of his membership; and, of course, a Jew is always at hand to make the bid as high as necessary. These are the two principal methods by which Jewish membership in the Exchange is being increased.

Another method, however, is more insidious than all the others combined. It is based on the rather common practice of adopting non-Jewish names or professing some phase of the Christian faith. The “changed name”, or, as Jews know it, “the cover name”, is a very potent part of the policy of concealment. In an advertisement, on business stationery, at the head of a magazine or newspaper article, such names as Smith, Adams, Robin, serves as a “blind”. The stage is flooded with Jewish actors and actresses, but their names are very distinguished Anglo-Saxon. Jewish papers often print jokes based on this habit of changing names. For long-distance dealing, or any business that is carried on “unsight and unseen”, the name-veil is very useful. On this account, many Gentiles would be surprised to learn the extent to which they are involved with Jews, whose names give no indication of Jewishness. And this very system, an old American name, coupled with membership in some Christian sect (preferably one of the newer sects), has accounted for some memberships in the Stock Exchange which probably would not otherwise exist.

It is interesting to tabulate the growth of Jewish membership as shown by the old directories of the Exchange.

In the year 1872, with a total of 1,009 members, there were 60 Jews.

In 1873, with a total of 1,006 members, the Jewish membership decreased to 49.

In 1890, with membership limited to 1,100, there were 87 Jews.

In 1893, with the same limit of membership, there were 106 Jews.

At the present time, still with the same rigid limitation of membership, there are 276 Jewish members.

It is said that the Jewish membership is really somewhat larger than the last figures indicate, owing to the fact that some of the Jewish members bear non-Jewish names and have adopted some phase of the Christian faith and have cut themselves off, outwardly at least, from the Jewish community.
The figures show, therefore, that Jewish membership increased from 5-7/8 per cent of the total in 1872 to 25 per cent in 1919. The date of these Jewish statistics is not given. The article quoted has, however, an argumentative as well as informative purpose. The statement concerning the 10 per cent membership on the Exchange is made to call attention to the fact that “Jews form at least 20 per cent of the whole population of New York, and much more than that percentage of the business section. The Jewish population of New York City has since increased to 25 per cent of the whole, and the membership on the Stock Exchange has increased to the same point.”

But it has taken 47 years for the Jews to gain that 25 per cent membership. Their control of the Exchange, at the given rate of progress, is only a question of time. [H: And thus you can see why there had to be a DEPRESSION and COLLAPSE OF THAT MARKET EXCHANGE AND A SUBSEQUENT WAR.]

In spite of these details, it is probably a fact that the Jewish speculators in the New York financial district greatly outnumber the non-Jewish speculators. Speculation and gambling are known historically as special propensities of the Jewish race. While many Jews patronize non-Jewish firms, the great mass of them follow in the speculative path of the leaders of their race. In Europe, where their financial control is more firmly fixed and of longer standing than here, it is rarely that the Jews are caught in speculative failure. They are sometimes found in speculative scandals, but seldom in any scandal involving losses to themselves. As a rule they dabble in “Jewish” securities, and in Wall Street one hears many stories concerning the victories or defeats of “the Jewish following”.

Some of the biggest Jewish sensations which ever occurred in the United States, sensations which disclosed by their lurid light the interlocking of Jewish finance, politics and racial objectives, have been brought to light by occurrences in Wall Street. It is probably the nature of these disclosures which accounts for the strong and silent anti-Jewish resistance which characterizes straight American finance.

Meanwhile, to leave the exalted sphere of Wall Street, banking and brokerage activities, let us descend to the street level of the Curb Market in Broad Street. Here the Jewish brokers flourish in their oil, mining and stock promotion offices. They are so numerous as to give a Semitic cast to the vicinity, as if it were a quarter in a foreign city. It is true that these concerns are frequently operated under non-Jewish names, but that is merely part of the Jew’s consciousness that, in financial matters, whether rightly or wrongly, he is under suspicion. Gentile names carry with them no such handicap.

Going still further down the line, in shadier lanes, in semi-hidden offices, may be seen numerous members of the Jewish race who are identified with no established market which deals in securities. These are the true parasites of the Wall Street environment; they are the camp followers without status. Their work is that of fraudulent stock promotion, and they enter upon it with a zeal and an energy which nothing can dismay. Their purpose is to make money without labor, to get money without giving value, and in this they are immensely successful. It is amazing the number of these men who make immense fortunes; it is equally amazing the continuous crop of unwary, poorly informed, and unsuspecting Gentiles who send their money from all parts of the United States for the worthless bits of paper in which these Jewish parasites deal. It is a most heartless business; it has not even brilliance in its devilry. It is the old-time shell game in other terms. The operations of these men are mostly conducted by mail or telephone. They deal in “sucker lists”, and they circulate “market letters” by which, under the pretense of giving disinterested advice to
investors, they seek to boom their own shady game. These “market letters” are, of course, innocuous to those who are informed and who can read their fraudulent import between the lines, but they are dangerous to the honest but uninformed minds of tens of thousands of thrifty people. [H: And you know that now you can get anywhere from one to a dozen in every day’s mail.]

Pursued by detective agencies, watched constantly by the government secret service, exposed by the newspapers, placed on trial in the courts, convicted and sentenced to terms in prison, this type of Jewish swindler is undeterred. Where other men would regard exposure as a lifelong shame, this type regards it simply as a trifling interruption, as a sailor would regard an accidental tumble overboard.

There are lower depths still, where bald theft and violence prevail. The persons most found there are the henchmen of the lower type of speculators. The stories of criminality in Wall Street, a numerous and startling list, involving sometimes the high, but mostly the low, and all marked with a peculiar racial and groupal cast, have at times challenged the attention of the whole world, but as is usually the case with the general publication of such stories, the fundamental explanatory facts are omitted.

But it will be seen, as the story of actual conditions in Wall Street and its financial environs is unrolled, that there are always the two elements—Jewish and non-Jewish. It is perhaps the only non-Jewish coalition in America, this silent resistance which American-finance is making to Semitic control. It is, in a sense, unnatural to the American mind, but has been forced as a defensive against the strong offensive operations of the Semitic coalition. If there is ever in the United States a strong non-Jewish combination, it will be the direct result of the ancient Jewish coalition against non-Jews. The condition in the United States at this moment, with regard to the financial question, is this: The Jewish coalition goes lower, but it does not yet go higher than non-Jewish control. It is struggling to go higher than non-Jewish control, but has thus far been estopped. [H: And it made the goal, and not only made it but in a total takeover.] It is believed that when the people are made aware of what is transpiring, it will be forever estopped. [H: No, every step of that takeover is planned right to the time schedules and they haven’t missed a deadline YET.]

As readers of former articles will remember, the attack upon Capital represented by the disorderly forces who operate under the forged banner of “Progress” is an attack against Gentile capital only. The only financial managers attacked in the United States are Gentile managers. In England also, the same attack is made. Readers of the newspapers know what strenuous efforts are being made in that country to wreck railroad and coal mine administration by a constant series of strikes. But what readers of newspapers are not told is that the railroad and coal mines are still in Gentile hands, and the Bolshevist-led strike is a Jewish financial weapon to wreck these forms of Gentile business, that they may easily fall into Jewish hands.

[H: And just how many railroads and mines do YOU think might still reside in “Gentile” hands? In the U.S. or Canada, THERE ARE ABSOLUTELY NONE.]

Issue of November 13, 1920.

[END OF QUOTING]

You are going to find the value of this 1920 information through this resource priceless in value. After 1921 you were able to get almost NO INFORMATION regarding these people who took over the country and
had such a global hold on everything that you could no longer sort who was doing what to whom. But, all the way—you could know if it was greedy, one-sided, shady in dealings, etc., it came from these basic groups hiding under various covers because, although they are hidden—they remain the debilitating parasites that eventually destroy.

They have been able to TAKE their bigger enemies through slowly merging and taking control and finally sucking the victims so dry that you can’t regain a healthy system. Let me again WARN YOU: the other adversaries of these deadly parasites, the Moslems, etc.—THEY KNOW THEY HAVE TO KILL OR FORCE INTO SUBMISSION BY THE GUN OR THE SWORD. WHY? BECAUSE THE SO-CALLED “CHRISTIANS” HAVE ALREADY BEEN “TAKEN” AND THOSE WHO ARE NOT BASICALLY “CHRISTIANS” BUT BASE RELIGION ON SUCH AS “CHRISTED” THOUGHT AND TEACHINGS BY ANY NAME THEY CHOOSE—WILL NOT LAY DOWN AND DIE LIKE YOU AMERICANS!

SAD PLIGHT? YES INDEED! Good morning.

Aton.
Editor’s note: The following writing is essentially the quoting of a letter titled “Facts Are Facts”. It is quite a comprehensive historical treatise on the history and behavior of the generally poorly understood Khazar Zionist “Jews”. It was written by Benjamin H. Freedman of New York City to Dr. David Goldstein of Boston and is dated October 10, 1954. This was originally presented back in 1991, in Phoenix Journal #25, called THE BITTER COMMUNION. More recently it was shared on pages 30-52 of the 1/20/98 issue of CONTACT.
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If you ones cannot come into understanding that it is the Khazar Zionists who have you by the throat—then the rest of the explanation can have no impact or meaning of value. YOU MUST GET THE PLAYERS STRAIGHT!

The following will be a direct quote (copy) from a Special Delivery letter to Dr. David Goldstein LL.D. FROM Benjamin H. Freedman, October 10, 1954.

In the interest of saving space and time, Dharma, simply put it to paper exactly as it is written. I shall begin with the word “QUOTE” and end the document with “END QUOTING”. If I make comment at any point, I shall indicate by use of my initial.

“FACTS ARE FACTS”

[QUOTING:]
My dear Dr. Goldstein,

Your very outstanding achievements as a convert to Catholicism impress me as without a comparable parallel in modern history. Your devotion to the doctrines and the dogmas of the Roman Catholic Church defy any attempt at description by me only with words. Words fail me for that.

As a vigorous protagonist persevering so persistently in propagating the principles of the Roman Catholic Church—its purpose, its policies, its programs—your dauntless determination is the inspiration for countless others who courageously seek to follow in your footsteps.

In view of this fact it requires great courage for me to write to you as I am about to do. So I pray when you receive this communication from me you will try to keep in mind Galatians 4:16, “Am I therefore become your enemy, because I tell you the truth?”. I hope you will so favor me.

It is truly a source of great pleasure and genuine gratification to greet you at long last although of necessity by correspondence. It is quite a disappointment to me to make your acquaintance in this manner. It would now afford me a far greater pleasure and a great privilege also if instead I could greet you on this occasion in person.

Our very good mutual friend has for long been planning a meeting with you in person for me. I still wish to do that. I look forward with pleasant anticipation to doing this in the not too distant future at a time agreeable to you.

You will discover in the contents of this long letter valid evidence for the urgency on my part to communicate with you without further delay. You will further discover this urgency reflected in the present gravity of the crisis which now jeopardizes an uninterrupted continuance of the Christian faith in its long struggle as the world’s most effective spiritual and social force in the Divine mission of promoting the welfare of all mankind without regard for their diversified races, religions, and nationalities.

Your most recent article coming to my attention appeared in the September issue of the A.P.J. Bulletin, the official publication of the organization calling themselves The Archconfraternity of Prayer for Peace and Goodwill to Israel. The headline of your article, News and Views of Jews, and the purpose of the organization stated in the masthead of the publication, “To Promote Interest in the Apostolate to Israel” prompts me to take Father time by his forelock and promptly offer my comments. I beg your indulgence accordingly.

It is with reluctance that I place my comments in letter form. I hesitated to do so but I find it the only expedient thing to do under the circumstances. I beg to submit them to you now without reservation of any nature for your immediate and earnest consideration. It is my very sincere wish that you accept them in the friendly spirit in which they are submitted. It is also my hope that you will give your consideration to them and favor me with your early reply in the same friendly spirit for which I thank you in advance.
In the best interests of that worthy objective to which you are continuing to dedicate the years ahead as you have so diligently done for many past decades, I most respectfully and sincerely urge you to analyze and to study carefully the data submitted to you here. I suggest also that you then take whatever steps you consider appropriate and necessary as a result of your conclusions. In the invisible and intangible ideological war being waged in defense of the great Christian heritage against its dedicated enemies your positive attitude is vital to victory. Your passive attitude will make a negative contribution to the total effort.

You assuredly subscribe fully to that sound and sensible sentiment that “it is better to light one candle than to sit in darkness”. My solitary attempts to date “to given light to them that sit in darkness, and in the shadow” may prove no more successful with you now than they have in so many other instances where I have failed during the past thirty years. In your case I feel rather optimistic at the moment.

Although not completely in vain I still live in the hope that one day one of these “candles” will burst into flame like a long smouldering spark and start a conflagration that will sweep across the nation like a prairie fire and illuminate vast new horizons for the first time. That unyielding hope is the source of the courage which aids me in my struggle against the great odds to which I am subjected for obvious reasons.

It has been correctly contended for thousands of years that “In the end Truth always prevails”. We all realize that Truth in action can prove itself a dynamic power of unlimited force. But alas Truth has no self-starter. Truth cannot get off dead-center unless a worthy apostle gives Truth a little push to overcome its inertia. Without that start Truth will stand still and will never arrive at its intended destination. Truth has often died aborning for the most logical reason. Your help in this respect will prove of great value.

On the other hand Truth has many times been completely “blacked out” by repeating contradictory and conflicting untruths over and over again, and again, and again. The world’s recent history supplies somber testimony of the dangers to civilization inherent in that technique. That form of treason to Truth is treachery to mankind. You must be very careful, my dear Dr. Goldstein, not to become unwittingly one of the many accessories before and after the fact who have appeared upon the scene of public affairs in recent years.

Whether unwittingly, unwillingly or unintentionally many of history’s most noted characters have misrepresented the truth to the world and they have been so believed that it puzzles our generation. As recently as 1492 the world was misrepresented as flat by all the best alleged authorities on the subject. In 1492 Christopher Columbs was able to demonstrate otherwise. There are countless similar other instances in the history of the world.

Whether these alleged authorities were guilty of ignorance or indifference is here besides the point. It is not important now. They were either totally ignorant of the facts or they knew the facts but chose to remain silent on the subject for reasons undisclosed by history. A duplication of this situation exists today with respect to the crisis which confronts the Christian faith. It is a vital factor today in the struggle for survival or the eventual surrender of the Christian faith to its enemies. The times in which we are living appears to be the “zero hour” for the Christian faith.

As you have observed no institution in our modern society can long survive if its structure is not from its start erected upon a foundation of Truth. The Christian faith was first erected upon a very solid foundation of Truth by its Founder. To survive it must remain so. The deterioration, the disintegration, and finally the
destruction of the structure of the Christian faith today will be accelerated in direct ratio to the extent that misrepresentation and distortion of Truth become the substitutes of Truth. Truth is an absolute quality. Truth can never be relative. There can be no degrees to Truth. Truth either exists or it does not exist. To be half-true is as incredible as to be half-honest or to be half-loyal.

As you have undoubtedly also learned, my dear Dr. Goldstein, in their attempt to do an “ounce” of good in one direction many well-intentioned persons do a “ton” of harm in another direction. We all learn that lesson sooner or later in life. Today finds you dedicating your unceasing efforts and your untiring energy to the task of bringing so-called or self-styled “Jews” into the Roman Catholic Church as converts. It must recall to you many times the day so many years ago when you embraced Catholicism yourself as a convert. More power to you, and the best of luck. May your efforts be rewarded with great success.

Without you becoming aware of the fact, the methods you employ contribute in no small degree to dilution of the devotion of countless Christians for their Christian faith. For each “ounce” of so-called good you accomplish by conversion of so-called or self-styled “Jews” to the Christian faith at the same time you do a “ton” of harm in another direction by diluting the devotion of countless Christians for their Christian faith. This bold conclusion on my part is asserted by me with the firm and fair conviction that the facts will support my contention. In addition it is a well known fact that many “counterfeit” recent conversions reveal that conversions have often proved to be but “infiltrations” by latent traitors with treasonable intentions.

[H: Please, just stay with us a while longer for it DOES get better. If you are “turned Off” by the association of “church” involvement please just read on—it is specific in intent but is “infiltration” into all clubs and organizations and all denominations and government, Congress and military. You will see the point as it unfolds herein—I ask that he not “lose you” by boredom of his upstart for it is so integrated into that which I have just given you that it is worth the input even at a level of boredom. If ye do not come into Truth—ye will spend countless years wishing you had been a “bit more patient through the boredom”.]

The attitudes you express today and your continued activity in this work require possible revision in the light of the facts submitted to you in this letter. Your present philosophy and theology on this subject seriously merit, without any delay, reconsideration on your part. What you say or write may greatly influence a “boom” or a “bust” for the Christian faith in the very near future far beyond your ability to accurately evaluate sitting in your high “white ivory tower”. The Christians implicitly believe whatever you write. So do the so-called or self-styled “Jews” whom you seek to convert. This influence you wield can become a danger. I must call it to your attention.

Your reaction to the facts called to your attention in this letter can prove to be one of the most crucial verdicts ever reached bearing upon the security of the Christian faith in recent centuries. In keeping with this great responsibility I sincerely commend this sentiment to you hoping that you will earnestly study the contents of this letter from its first word to its very last word. All who know you well are in the fortunate position to know how close this subject is to your heart. By your loyalty to the high ideals you have observed during the many years you have labored so valiantly on behalf of the Christian faith you have earned the admiration you enjoy. The Christian faith you chose of your own free will in the prime of life is very proud of you in more ways than as a convert.
Regardless of what anyone anywhere and anytime in this whole wide-world may say to the contrary events of recent years everywheres establish beyond any question of a doubt that the Christian faith today stands with one foot in the grave and the other on a banana peel figuratively speaking of course. Only those think otherwise who deliberately shut their eyes to realities or who do not choose to see even with their eyes wide open. I believe you to be too realistic to indulge yourself in the futile folly of fooling yourself.

It is clear that the Christian faith today stands at the cross-roads of its destiny. The Divine and sacred mission of the Christian faith is in jeopardy today to a degree never witnessed before in its long history of almost 2000 years. The Christian faith needs loyal friends now as never before. I somehow feel that you can always be counted upon as one of its loyal friends. You cannot over-simplify the present predicament of the Christian faith. The problem it faces is too self-evident to mistake. It is in a critical situation.

When the day arrives that Christians can no longer profess their Christian faith as they profess it today in the free world the Christian faith will have seen the beginning of its “last days”. What already applies to 50% of the world’s total population can shortly apply equally to 100% of the world’s total population. It is highly conceivable judging from present trends. The malignant character of this malady is just as progressive as cancer. It will surely prove as fatal also unless steps are taken now to reverse its course. What is now being done towards arresting its progress or reversing its trend?

Mr dear Dr. Goldstein, can you recall the name of the philosopher who is quoted as saying that “Nothing in this world is permanent except change”? That philosophy must be applied to the Christian faith also. The $64. question remains whether the change will be for the better or for the worse. The problem is that simple. If the present trend continues for another 37 years the Christian faith as it is professed today by Christians will have disappeared from the face of the Earth. In what form or by what instrumentality the mission of Jesus Christ will thereupon and thereafter continue to make itself manifest here on Earth is as unpredictable as it is inevitable. [H: IT HAS NOW BEEN EXACTLY 37 YEARS FROM THE TIME OF THE LETTER, SAVE A FEW SHORT MONTHS. PONDER IT MOST CAREFULLY!]

[END OF QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]

Dharma, allow us a break please for this is indeed a very long writing and it will serve better in bits rather than as a whole. Thank you. Please note the changes in your computer are a result of our own adjustments. If you are in continuing slow boot-up, etc., let one of the others look at it. I prefer, however, that it be left alone until we can come into some further adjustments ourselves. It took a real blast to its electronics day before yesterday but we don’t want to continue on alternate power for it only causes the “enemy” to tinker to regain input on his own systems and we care not that it is all monitored. Salu. Hatonn to stand-by.

2/16/91 #2  HATONN

CONTINUATION:
FREEDMAN-GOLDSTEIN

[QUOTING:]
In the existing crisis it is neither logical nor realistic to drive Christians out of the Christian “fold” in relatively large numbers for the dubious advantage to be obtained by bringing a comparatively small number of so-called or self-styled “Jews” into the Christian “fold”.

It is useless to try to deny the fact that today finds the Christian faith on the defensive throughout the world. This realization staggers the imagination of the few Christians who understand the situation. This status of the Christian faith exists in spite of the magnificent contributions of the Christian faith to the progress of humanity of civilization for almost 2000 years. It is not my intention in this letter to expose the conspirators who are dedicating themselves to the destruction of the Christian faith nor to the nature and extent of the conspiracy itself. That exposure would fill many volumes.

The history of the world for the past several centuries and current events at home and abroad confirm the existence of such a conspiracy. The worldwide net-work of diabolical conspirators implement this plot against the Christian faith while Christians appear to be sound asleep. The Christian clergy appear to be more ignorant or more indifferent about this conspiracy than other Christians. They seem to bury their heads in the sands like the legendary ostrich. This ignorance or indifference on the part of the Christian clergy has dealt a blow to the Christian faith already from which it may never completely recover, if at all. It seems so sad.

Christians deserve to be blessed in this crisis with a spiritual Paul Revere to ride across the nation warning Christians that their enemies are moving in on them fast. My dear Dr. Goldstein, will you volunteer to be that Paul Revere?

Of equal importance to pin-pointing the enemies who are making war upon the Christian faith from the outside is the necessity to discover the forces at work inside the Christian faith which make it so vulnerable to its enemies on the outside. Applying yourself to this specific phase of the problem can prove of tremendous value in rendering ineffective the forces responsible for this dangerous state of affairs.

The souls of millions of Christians who are totally unknown to you are quite uneasy about the status of the Christian faith today. The minds of countless thousands among the Christian clergy are troubled by the mysterious “pressure” from above which prevents them exercising their sound judgment in this situation. If the forces being manipulated against the Christian faith from the inside can be stopped the Christian faith will be able to stand upon its feet against its enemies as firmly as the Rock of Gibraltar. Unless this can be done soon the Christian faith appears destined to crumble and to eventually collapse. An ounce of prevention is far preferable to a pound of cure you can be sure in this situation as in all others.

With all respect rightly due to the Christian clergy and in all humility I have an unpleasant duty to perform. I wish to go on record with you here that the Christian clergy are primarily if not solely responsible for the internal forces within the Christian faith inimical to its best interests. The conclusion on my part indicates the sum total of all the facts in my book which add up to just that. If you truly desire to be realistic and constructive you must “hew to the line and let the chips fall where they may”. That is the only strategy that can save the Christian faith from a fate it does not deserve. You cannot pussy-foot with the truth any longer simply because you find that now “the truth hurts”,—someone you know or like.

At this late hour very little time is left in which to mend our fences if I can call it that. We are not in a position
to waste any of our limited time. “Beating it around the bush” now will get us exactly nowhere. The courageous alone will endure the present crisis when all the chips are down. Figuratively and possibly literally there will be live heroes and dead cowards when the dust of this secular combat settles and not dead heroes and live cowards as sometimes occurs under other circumstances. The Christian faith today remains the only “anchor to windward” against universal barbarism. The dedicated enemies of the Christian faith have sufficiently convinced the world by this time of the savage methods they will adopt in their program to erase the Christian faith from the face of the Earth.

Earlier in this letter I stated that in my humble opinion the apathy of the Christian clergy might be charged with sole responsibility for the increasing dilution of the devotion of countless Christians for the Christian faith. This is the natural consequence of the confusion created by the Christian clergy in the minds of Christians concerning certain fundamentals of the Christian faith. The guilt for this confusion rests exclusively upon Christian leadership not upon Christians generally. Confusion creates doubt. Doubt creates loss of confidence. Loss of confidence creates loss of interest. As confusion grows more, and more, and more, confidence grows less, and less, and less. The result is complete loss of all interest. You can hardly disagree with that my dear Dr. Goldstein, can you?

[H: Now it would appear from the pronouncement of “polls” and the rolls of the so-called Christian Churches that there is a great renewal of faith and seeking and coming back into the Christ-path in all “faiths” of whatever the “Christ” is called. This is not so in the “Western cultures”—THERE IS ONLY A RETURN INTO THE CHURCH HOUSES AND INTO NEW DOCTRINES WRITTEN FOR THIS NEW AND “MODERN RELIGION”—whatever that might be?!? Most of the church houses do not house Christianity. They DO hold bigoted, unforgiving and misled parishioners who anticipate a momentary “lift-off” to some nebulous being in the clouds the minute the Zionists get the temple going in Jerusalem and the temple is desecrated. Let me assure, good people—the temples of God have been so desecrated that there is naught left with which to desecrate them—and the Zionists have simply led you a merry chase through the prim-roses.]

The confusion in the minds of Christians concerning fundamentals of the Christian faith is unwarranted and unjustified. It need not exist. It would not exist if the Christian clergy did not aid and abet the deceptions responsible for it. The Christian clergy may be shocked to learn that they have been aiding and abetting the dedicated enemies of the Christian faith. Many of the Christian clergy are actually their allies but may not know it. This phase of the current world-wide campaign of spiritual sabotage is the most negative factor in the defense of the Christian faith.

Countless Christians standing on the sidelines in this struggle see their Christian faith “withering on the vine” and about ripe enough to “drop into the lap” of its dedicated enemies. They can do nothing about it. Their cup is made more bitter for them as they observe this unwarranted and this unjustified ignorance and indifference on the part of the Christian clergy. This apathetic attitude by the Christian clergy offers no opposition to the aggressors against the Christian faith. Retreat can only bring defeat. To obviate surrender to their dedicated enemies the Christian clergy must “about face” immediately if they expect to become the victors in the invisible and intangible ideological war now being so subversively waged against the Christian faith under their very noses. When will they wake up?
If I were asked to recite in this letter the many manners in which the Christian clergy are confusing the Christian concept of the fundamentals of the Christian faith it would require volumes rather than pages to tell the whole story. Space alone compels me here to confine myself to the irreducible minimum. I will limit myself here to the most important reasons for this confusion. Brevity will of necessity limit the references cited to support the matters presented in this letter. I will do my best under the circumstances to establish the authenticity of the incontestible historic facts I call to your attention here.

In my opinion the most important reason is directly related to your present activities. Your responsibility for this confusion is not lessened by your good intentions. As you have heard said so many times “Hell is paved with good intentions”. The confusion your articles create is multiplied a thousand-fold by the wide publicity given to them as a result of the very high regard in which you personally are held by editors and readers across the nation, Christian and non-Christian alike. Your articles constantly are continually re-printed and quoted from coast to coast.

[H: And thinking back to the PROTOCOLS, I am sure you will instantly remind me that “The press is controlled!” Ah, indeed, we are making progress! Only the infiltrators from the Zionist element would be given such ear and forum.]

The utterance by the Christian clergy which confuses Christians the most is the constantly repeated utterance that “Jesus was a Jew”. That also appears to be your favorite theme. [H: LISTEN UP PLEASE, TO THIS NEXT FOR IT IS FAR BEYOND JUST IMPORTANT!] That misrepresentation and distortion of an incontestible historic fact is uttered by the Christian clergy upon the slightest pretext. They utter it constantly, also without provocation. They appear to be “trigger happy” to utter it. They never miss an opportunity to do so. Informed intelligent Christians cannot reconcile this truly unwarranted misrepresentation and distortion of an incontestible historic fact by the Christian clergy with information known by them now TO THE CONTRARY WHICH COMES TO THEM FROM SOURCES BELIEVED BY THEM TO BE EQUALLY RELIABLE. (Emphasis mine.)

This poses a serious problem today for the Christian clergy. They can extricate themselves from their present predicament now only by resorting to “the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth”. That is the only formula by which the Christian clergy can recapture the lost confidence of Christians. As effective spiritual leaders they cannot function without this lost confidence. They should make that their first order of business.

My dear Dr. Goldstein, you are a theologian of high rank and a historian of note. Of necessity you also should agree with other outstanding authorities on the subject of whether “Jesus was a Jew”. These leading authorities agree today that there is no foundation in fact for the implications, inferences and the innuendoes resulting from the incorrect belief that “Jesus was a Jew”.

Incontestible historic facts and an abundance of other proofs establish beyond the possibility of any doubt the incredibility of the assertion so often heard today that “Jesus was a Jew”. [H: Now aren’t you glad you stuck with us through that boring introduction? PUT THE STONES BACK ON THE GROUND LEST YOU SHOW YOUR TOTAL IGNORANCE OF FACTS!]
Without any fear of contradiction based upon fact the most competent and best qualified authorities all agree today that Jesus Christ was not a so-called or self-styled “Jew”. They now confirm that during His lifetime Jesus was known as a “JUDEAN” and not as a “Jew”. Contemporary theologians of Jesus whose competence to pass upon this subject cannot be challenged by anyone today also referred to Jesus during His lifetime here on Earth as a “Judean” and not as a “Jew”.

[H: Satan always must wear a sign and our old fraud is beginning to show his a bit? Ah, you say, “Hatonn, but you said that once you experienced as a Jew!” Ah and so I did—both—I said it and I did so. I come this time in company with the one you should know as Emmanuel. Jesus is even incorrect for that label was given this perceived Christed being by Paul the apostle who was both confused and scrambled throughout his days and remains so in many ways, unto this very day and yet efforts to bring clarity to that confusion. So be it, let us continue.]

During his lifetime here on Earth Jesus was not regarded by Pontius Pilate nor by the Judeans among whom He dwelt as “King of the Jews”. The inscription on the Cross upon which Jesus was Crucified has been incorrectly translated into the English language only since the 18th century. [H: Now just who do you think would change such important facts to mislead the generations and species of human?) Pontius Pilate was ironic and sarcastic when he ordered inscribed upon the Cross the Latin words “Iesus Nazarenus Rex Judeorum”. About to be Crucified, with the approval of Pontius Pilate, Jesus was being mocked by Pontius Pilate. Pontius Pilate was well aware at that time that Jesus (Emmanuel, son of Mary) had been denounced, defied and denied by the Judeans who alas finally brought about His Crucifixion as related by history. (Hatonn: And incorrectly at that! I suggest you read, And They Called His Name Immanuel—I Am Sananda. The correct spelling would have been represented as Jmmanuel had it been correctly translated.]

Except for His few followers at that time in Judea all other Judeans abhored Jesus and detested His teachings and the things for which He stood. That deplorable fact cannot be erased from history by time. Pontius Pilate was himself the “ruler” of the Judeans at the time he ordered inscribed upon the Cross the Latin words “Iesus Nazarenus Rex Judeorum: in English “Jesus the Nazarene Ruler of the Judeans”. But Pontius Pilate never referred to himself as “ruler” of the Judeans. The ironic and sarcastic reference of Pontius Pilate to Jesus as “Ruler of the Judeans” can hardly be accepted as recognition by Pontius Pilate of Jesus as “Ruler of the Judeans”. That is inconceivable by any interpretation.

At the time of the Crucifixion of Jesus Pontius Pilate was the administrator in Judea for the Roman Empire. At that time in history the area of the Roman Empire included a part of the Middle East. As far as he was concerned officially or personally the inhabitants of Judea were “Judeans” to Pontius Pilate and not so-called “Jews” as they have been styled since the 18th century. In the time of Pontius Pilate in history there was no religious, racial or national group in Judea known as “Jews” nor had there been any group so identified anywhere else in the world prior to that time.

Pontius Pilate expressed little interest as the administrator of the Roman Empire officially or personally in the wide variety of forms of religious worship then practiced in Judea. These forms of religious worship extended from phallic worship and other forms of idolatry to the emerging spiritual philosophy of an eternal, omnipotent and invisible Divine deity, the emerging Yahve (Jehovah) concept which predated Abraham of Bible fame by approximately 2000 years. As the administrator for the Roman Empire in
Judea it was the official policy of Pontius Pilate never to interfere in the spiritual affairs of the local population. Pontius Pilate’s primary responsibility was the collection of taxes to be forwarded home to Rome, not the forms of religious worship practiced by the Judeans from whom these taxes were collected.

As you well know, my dear Dr. Goldstein, the Latin word “rex” means “ruler, leader” in English. During the lifetime of Jesus in Judea the Latin word “rex” meant only that to Judeans familiar with the Latin language. The Latin word “rex” is the form of the noun from the Latin verb “rego, regere, rexi, rectus”. The Latin verb “rego, regere, rexi, rectus” in English means as you also well know “to rule, to lead”. Latin was of course the official language in all the provinces administered by a local administrator of the Roman Empire. This fact accounts for the inscription on the Cross in Latin.

With the invasion of the British Isles by the Anglo-Saxons the English language substituted the Anglo-Saxon “king” for the Latin equivalent “rex” used before the Anglo-Saxon invasion. The adoption of “king” for “rex” at this late date in British history did not retroactively alter the meaning of the Latin “rex” to the Judeans in the time of Jesus. The Latin “rex” to them then meant only “ruler, leader” as it still means in Latin. Anglo-Saxon “king” was spelled differently when first used but at all times meant the same as “rex” in Latin, “leader” of a tribe.

During the lifetime of Jesus it was very apparent to Pontius Pilate that Jesus was the very last Person in Judea the Judeans would select as their “ruler” or their “leader”. In spite of this situation in Judea Pontius Pilate did not hesitate to order the inscription of the Cross “Iesus Nazarenus Rex Iudeorum”. By the wildest stretch of the imagination it is not conceivable that this sarcasm and irony by Pontius Pilate at the time of the Crucifixion was solely mockery of Jesus by Pontius Pilate and only mockery. After this reference to “Jesus the Nazarene Ruler of the Judeans” the Judeans forthwith preceeded to Crucify Jesus upon that very Cross.

In Latin in the lifetime of Jesus the name of the political subdivision in the Middle East known in modern history as Palestine was “Iudaea”. It was then administered by Pontius Pilate as administrator for the Roman Empire of which it was then a part. The English for the Latin “Iudaea” is “Judea”. In Latin “Iudaeus” is the adjective for the noun “Iudaea”. In English “Judean” is the adjective for the noun “Judea”. The ancient native population of the subdivision in the Middle East known in modern history as Palestine was then called “Iudaeus” in Latin and “Judean” in English. Those words identified the indigenous population of Judea in the lifetime of Jesus. Who can deny that Jesus was a member of the indigenous popula-
tion of Judea in His lifetime?

And of course you know, my dear Dr. Goldstein, in Latin the Genitive Plural of “Iudæus” is “Iudaeorum”. [H: I believe our “Friar Pope” will enjoy checking this out for all you non-Latin “Priests” of the Holy Church!] The English translation of the Genitive Plural of “Iudaeorum” is “of the Judeans”. Inscribed upon the Cross on which Jesus was Crucified was “Iudaeorum”. It is utterly impossible to give any other English translation to “Iudaeorum” than “of the Judeans”. Qualified and competent theologians and historians regard as incredible any other translation into English of “Iesus Nazarenus Rex Iudaeorum” than “Jesus the Nazarene Ruler of the Judeans”. [H: Further, there is no mistaking the label “Iesus” by which he was called in many places as in “Esu, Issa, Iisa,” etc., Christ, Christos, Christed, etc.—you can even now get away with Iesus Sananda and be in the correct “ball-park”.] You must agree that this is literally correct.

At the time Pontius Pilate was ordering the “Iesus Nazarenus Rex Iudaeorum” inscribed upon the Cross the spiritual leaders of Judea were protesting to Pontius Pilate “not to write that Jesus was the ruler of the Judeans” but to inscribe instead that Jesus “had said that he was the ruler of the Judeans”. The spiritual leaders of Judea made very strong protests to Pontius Pilate against his reference to Jesus as “Rex Iudaeorum” insisting that Pontius Pilate was not familiar with or misunderstood the status of Jesus in Judea. These protests are a matter of historical record, as you know.

The spiritual leaders in Judea protested in vain with Pontius Pilate. They insisted that Jesus “had said that He was the ruler of the Judeans” but that Pontius Pilate was “not to write that Jesus was the ruler of the Judeans”. For after all Pontius Pilate was a foreigner in Judea who could not understand the local situations as well as the spiritual leaders. The intricate pattern of the domestic political, social and economic cross-currents in Judea interested Pontius Pilate very little as Rome’s administrator.

The Gospel by John was written originally in the Greek language according to the best authorities. In the Greek original there is no equivalent for the English that Jesus “had said that He was the ruler of the Judeans”. The English translation of the Greek original of the Gospel by John, XIX, 19, reads “Do not inscribe ’the monarch (basileus) of the Judeans (Ioudaios), but that He Himself said I am monarch (basileus) of the Judeans (Ioudaios)’”. “Ioudaia” is the Greek for the Latin “Iudæa” and the English “Judea”. “Basileus” is the Greek “monarch” in English. “Rex” is the nearest word in Latin for “basileus” in Greek. The English “ruler”, or its alternative “leader”, define the sense of Latin “rex” and Greek “basileus” as they were used in the Greek and Latin Gospel by John.

Pontius Pilate “washed his hands” of the protests by the spiritual leaders in Judea who demanded of him that the inscription on the Cross authored by Pontius Pilate be corrected in the manner they insisted upon. Pontius Pilate very impatiently replied to their demands “What I have written, I have written”. The inscription on the Cross remained what it had been, “Iesus Nazarenus Rex Iudaeorum”, or “Jesus the Nazarene Ruler of the Judeans” in English.

The Latin quotations and words mentioned in this letter are verbatim quotations and the exact words which appear in the 4th century translation of the New Testament into Latin by St. Jerome. This translation is referred to as the Vulgate Edition of the New Testament. It was the first official translation of the New Testament into Latin made by the Christian Church. Since that time it has remained the official New Testament version used by the Catholic Church. The translation of the Gospel by John into Latin by St.
Jerome was made from the Greek language in which the *Gospel of John* was originally written according to the best authorities on this subject.

The English translation of the *Gospel of John*, XIX, 19. from the original text in the Greek language reads as follows, “Pilate wrote a sign and fastened it to the Cross and the writing was ‘Jesus the Nazarene the monarch of the Judeans’”. In the original Greek manuscript there is mention also made of the demands upon Pontius Pilate by the spiritual leaders in Judea that Pontius Pilate alter the reference on the Cross to Jesus as “Ruler of the Judeans”. The Greek text of the original manuscript of the *Gospel of John* establishes beyond any question or doubt that the spiritual leaders in Judea at that time had protested to Pontius Pilate that Jesus was “not the ruler of the Judeans” but only “had said that He was the ruler of the Judeans”.

There is no factual foundation in history or theology today for the implications, inferences and innuendoes that the Greek “Ioudaios”, the Latin “Iudaeus”, or the English “Judean” ever possessed a valid religious connotation. In their three respective languages these three words have only indicated a strictly topographical or geographic connotation. In their correct sense these three words in their respective languages were used to identify the members of the indigenous native population of the geographic area known as Judea in the lifetime of Jesus. During the lifetime of Jesus there was not a form of religious worship practiced in Judea or elsewhere in the known world which bore a name even remotely resembling the name of the political subdivision of the Roman Empire, i.e. “Judaism” from “Judea”. No cult or sect existed by such a name.

[END OF QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]

Please allow to break the writing at this place. I ask that as the portions are given forth, please make sure that our beloved RK be given them in the segments produced.

We realize this is very heavy to accept and absorb but none-the-less the time of Truth is upon the lands and so shall it be written for the hourglass lies empty if Man sees not the errors of his journey and acceptance of the lies.

So be it and may the blessings of peace which passes your understanding see you through this time of confrontation. Saalomé

Hatonn to stand-by.
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CONTINUATION OF
THE FREEDMAN LETTER

I desire to take no time in current comments until we have finished this portion and presented the work in point. There IS NOTHING more important for, if you do not set your thinking to straight, there is no point in anything else about your physical circumstance.

Yes, it will be through those who are considered “JEWS” who will make sure truth prevails from out of the
lies of the Zionists for it is they who have suffered most and have been sorely treated by those they were
taught were their elders and truth-bearers. It will be these beloved ones from the Judean races who
MUST set it to right that Man can see the truth and facts of the deceit. As ones of God’s people check into
the information as given, the confirmation will flow as from the lifespring.

Let us continue:

TERM “JEW” CREATED
IN 1775—A.D.

[QUOTING:]

It is an incontestible fact that the word “Jew” did not come into existence until the year 1775. Prior to 1775 the word “Jew” did not exist in any language. The word “Jew” was introduced into the English for the first time in the 18th century when Sheridan used it in his play “The Rivals”, II,i, “She shall have a skin like a mummy, and the beard of a Jew”. Prior to this use of the word “Jew” in the English language by Sheridan in 1775 the word “Jew” had not become a word in the English language. Shakespeare never saw the word “Jew” as you will see. Shakespeare never used the word “Jew” in any of his works, the common general belief to the contrary notwithstanding. In his “Merchant of Venice”, V.III.i.61, Shakespeare wrote as follows: “What is the reason? I am a Iewe; hath not a Iewe eyes?”.

In the Latin St. Jerome 4th century Vulgate Edition of the New Testament Jesus is referred to by the Genitive Plural of “Iudaeus” in the Gospel by John reference to the inscription on the Cross,—”Iudaeorum”. It was in the 4th century that St. Jerome translated into Latin the manuscripts of the New Testament from the original languages in which they were written. This translation by St. Jerome is referred to still today as the Vulgate Edition by the Roman Catholic Church authorities, who use it today.

Jesus is referred to as a so-called “Jew” for the first time in the New Testament in the 18th century. Jesus is first referred to as a so-called “Jew” in the revised 18th century editions in the English language of the 14th century first translations of the New Testament into English. The history of the origin of the word “Jew” in the English language leaves no doubt that the 18th century “Jew” is the 18th century contracted and corrupted English word for the 4th century Latin “Iudaeus” found in St. Jerome’s Vulgate Edition. Of that there is no longer doubt.

The available original manuscripts from the 4th century to the 18th century accurately trace the origin and give the complete history of the word “Jew” in the English language. In these manuscripts are to be found all the many earlier English equivalents extending through the 14 centuries from the 4th to the 18th century. From the Latin “Iudaeus” to the English “Jew” these English forms included successively “Gyu”, “Giu”, “Iu”, “Iuu”, “Iuw”, “Ieu”, “Iewe”, “Ieue”, “Iue”, “Ive”, “Iew”, and then finally in the 18th century, “Jew”. The many earlier English equivalents for “Jews” through the 14 centuries are “Giwis”, “Giws”, “Gyues”, “Gywes”, “Giwes”, “Geus”, “Iuys”, “Iows”, “Iouis”, “Iews”, and then also finally in the 18th century, “Jews”.

With the rapidly expanding use in England in the 18th century for the first time in history of the greatly improved printing presses unlimited quantities of the New Testament were printed. These revised 18th
century editions of the earlier 14th century first translations into the English language were then widely
distributed throughout England and the English speaking world among families who had never possessed a
copy of the New Testament in any language. In these 18th century editions with revisions the word “Jew”
appeared for the first time in any English translations. The word “Jew” as it was used in the 18th century
editions has since continued in use in all editions of the New Testament in the English language. The use of
the word “Jew” thus was stabilized.

As you know, my dear Dr. Goldstein, the best known 18th century editions of the New Testament in
English are the Rheims (Douai) Edition and the King James Authorized Edition. The Rheims (Douai)
translation of the New Testament into English was first printed in 1582 but the word “Jew” did not appear
in it. The King James Authorized translation of the New Testament into English was begun in 1604 and
first published in 1611. The word “Jew” did NOT appear in it either. The word “Jew” appeared in both
these well known editions in their 18th century revised versions for the first time.

Countless copies of the revised 18th century editions of the Rheims (Douai) and the King James transla-
tions of the New Testament into English were distributed to the clergy and the laity throughout the English
speaking world. They did not know the history of the origin of the English word “Jew” as the only and as
the accepted form of the Latin “Iudaeus” and the Greek “Ioudaios”. How could they be expected to have
known otherwise? The answer is they could not and they did not. It was a new English word to them.

When you studied Latin in your school days you were taught that the letter “I” in Latin when used as the
first letter in a word is pronounced like the letter “Y” in English when it is the first letter in words like “yes”,
“youth” and “yacht”. The “I” in “Iudaeus” is pronounced like the “Y” in “yes”, “youth”, and “yacht” in
English. In all the 4th century to 18th century forms for the 18th century “Jew” the letter “I” was pro-
nounced like the English “Y” in “yes”, “young”, and “yacht”. The same is true of the “Gi” or the “Gy”
where it was used in place of the letter “I”.

The present pronunciation of the word “Jew” in modern English is a development of recent times. In the
English language today the “J” in “Jew” is pronounced like the “J” in the English “justice”, “jolly”, and
“jump”. This is the case only since the 18th century. Prior to the 18th century the “J” in “Jew” was
pronounced exactly like the “Y” in the English “yes”, “youth”, and “yacht”. Until the 18th century and
perhaps even later the English “you” or “hew”, and the word “Jews” like “youse” or “hews”. The present
pronunciation of “Jew” in English is a new pronunciation acquired after the 18th century.

The German language still retains the Latin original pronunciation. The German “Jude” is the German
equivalent of the English “Jew”. The “J” in the German “Jude” is pronounced exactly like the English “Y”
in “yes”, “youth”, and “yacht”. The German “J” is the equivalent of the Latin “I” and both are pronounced
exactly like the English “Y” in “yes”, “youth” and “yacht”. The German “Jude” is virtually the first syllable
in the Latin “Iudaeus” and is pronounced exactly like it. The German “Jude” is the German contraction and
corruption of the Latin “Iudaeus” just as the English “Jew” is the contraction and corruption of the Latin
“Iudaeus”. The German “J” is always pronounced like the English “Y” in “yes”, “youth”, and “yacht” when
it is the first letter of a word. The pronunciation of the “J” in German “Jude” is not an exception to the
pronunciation of the “J” in German.

The English language as you already know, my dear Dr. Goldstein, is largely made up of words adopted
from foreign languages. After their adoption by the English language foreign words were then adapted by contracting their spelling and corrupting their foreign pronunciation to make them more easily pronounced in English from their English spelling. This process of first adopting foreign words and then adapting them by contracting their spelling and corrupting their pronunciation resulted in such new words in the English language as “cab” from their original foreign spelling. Hundreds of others must come to your mind.

By this adopting-adapting process the Latin “Iudaeus” and the Greek “Ioudaios” finally emerged in the 18th century as “Jew” in the English language. The English speaking peoples struggled through 14 centuries seeking to create for the English language and English equivalent for the Latin “Iudaeus” and the Greek “Ioudaios” which could be easily pronounced in English from its English spelling. The English “Jew” was the resulting 18th century contracted and corrupted form of the Latin “Iudaeus” and the Greek “ioudaios”. The English “Jew” is easily pronounced in English from its English spelling. The Latin “Iudaeus” and the Greek “Ioudaios” cannot be as easily pronounced in English from the Latin and Greek spelling. They were forced to coin a word.

The earliest version of the New Testament in English from the Latin Vulgate Edition is the Wiclif, or Wickliffe Edition published in 1380. In the Wiclif Edition Jesus is there mentioned as One of the “iiewes”. That was the 14th century English version of the Latin “Iudaeus” and was pronounced “hew-weeze”, in the plural, and “iewe” pronounced “hew-wee” in the singular. In the 1380 Wiclif Edition in English and Gospel by John, XIX.19, reads “ihesus of nazareth kyng of the iiewes”. Prior to the 14th century the English language adopted the Anglo-Saxon “kyng” together with many other Anglo-Saxon words in place of the Latin “rex” and the Greek “basileus”. The Anglo-Saxon also meant “tribal leader”.

In the Tyndale Edition of the New Testament in English published in 1525 Jesus was likewise described as One of the “Iewes”. In the Coverdale Edition published in 1535 Jesus was also described as One of the “Iewes”. In the Coverdale Edition of the Gospel by John, XIX.19, reads “Iesus of Nazareth, kynge of the Iewes”. In the Cranmer Edition published in 1539 Jesus was again described as One of the “Iewes”. In the Geneva Edition published in 1540-1557 Jesus was also described as One of the “Iewes”. In the Rheims Edition published in 1582 Jesus was described as One of the “Ievves”. In the King James Edition published in 1404-1611 also known as the Authorized Version Jesus was described again as one of the “Iewes”. The forms of the Latin “Iudaeus” were used which were current at the time these translations were made.

The translation into English of the Gospel by John, XIX.19, from the Greek in which it was originally written reads “Do not inscribe `the monarch of the Judeans’ but that He Himself said `I am monarch’”. In the original Greek manuscript the Greek “basileus” appears for “monarch” in the English and the Greek “Ioudaios” appears for “Judeans” in the English. “Ioudaia” in Greek is “Judea” in English. “Ioudaios” in Greek is “Judeans” in English. There is no reason for any confusion.

My dear Dr. Goldstein, if the generally accepted understanding today of the English “Jew” and “Judean” conveyed the identical implications, inferences and innuendoes as both rightly should, it would make no difference which of these two words was used when referring to Jesus in the New Testament or elsewhere. But the implications, inferences, and innuendoes today conveyed by these two words are as different as black is from white. The word “Jew” today is never regarded as a synonym for “Judean” nor is “Judean” regarded as a synonym for “Jew”.

74
As I have explained, when the word “Jew” was first introduced into the English language in the 18th century its one and only implication, inference and innuendo was “Judean”. However during the 18th, 19th and 20th centuries a well-organized and well-financed international “pressure group” created a so-called “secondary meaning” for the word “Jew” among the English-speaking peoples of the world. This so-called “secondary meaning” for the word “Jew” bears no relation whatsoever to the 18th century original connotation of the word “Jew”. It is a misrepresentation.

The “secondary meaning” of the word “Jew” today bears as little relation to its original and correct meaning as the “secondary meaning” today for the word “camel” bears to the original and correct meaning for the word “camel”, or the “secondary meaning” today for the word “ivory” bears to the original and correct meaning of the word “ivory”. The “secondary meaning” today for the word “camel” is a cigarette by that name but its original and correct meaning is a desert animal by that ancient name. The “secondary meaning” of the word “ivory” today is a piece of soap but its original and correct meaning is the tusk of a male elephant.

The “secondary meanings” of words often become the generally accepted meanings of words formerly having entirely different meanings. This is accomplished by the expenditure of great amounts of money for well-planned publicity. Today if you ask for a “camel” someone will hand you cigarette by that name. Today if you ask for a piece of “ivory” someone will hand you a piece of soap by that name. You will never receive either a desert animal or a piece of the tusk of a male elephant. That must illustrate the extent to which these “secondary meanings” are able to practically eclipse the original and correct meanings of words in the minds of the general public. The “secondary meaning” for the word “Jew” today has practically totally eclipsed the original and correct meaning of the word “Jew” when it was introduced as a word in the English language. This phenomena is not uncommon.

The United States Supreme Court has recognized the “secondary meaning” of words. The highest court in the land has established as basic law that “secondary meanings” can acquire priority rights to the use of any dictionary word. Well-planned and well-financed world-wide publicity through every available media by well-organized groups of so-called or self-styled “Jews” for three centuries has created a “secondary meaning” for the word “Jew” which has completely “blacked out” the original and correct meaning of the word “Jew”. There can be no doubt about that.

There is not one person in the whole English-speaking world today who regards a “Jew” as a “Judean” in the literal sense of the word. That was the correct and only meaning in the 18th century. The generally accepted “secondary meaning” of the word “Jew” today with practically no exceptions is made up of four almost universally-believed theories. These four theories are that a so-called or self-styled “Jew” is (1) a person who today professes the form of religious worship known as “Judaism”, (2) a person who claims to belong to a racial group associated with the ancient Semites, (3) a person directly the descendant of an ancient nation which thrived in Palestine in Bible history, (4) a person blessed by Divine intentional design with certain superior cultural characteristics denied to other racial, religious or national groups, all rolled into one.

The present generally accepted “secondary meaning” of the word “Jew” is fundamentally responsible for the confusion in the minds of Christians regarding elementary tenets of the Christian faith. It is likewise responsible today to a very great extent for the dilution of the devotion of countless Christians for their
Christian faith. The implications, inferences and innuendoes of the word “Jew” today, to the preponderant majority of intelligent and informed Christians, is contradictory and in complete conflict with incontestible historic fact. Christians who cannot be fooled any longer are suspect of the Christian clergy who continue to repeat, and repeat, and repeat ad nauseam their pet theme song “Jesus was a Jew”. It actually now approaches psychosis.

Countless Christians know today that they were “brain washed” by the Christian clergy on the subject “Jesus was a Jew”. The resentment they feel is not yet apparent to the Christian clergy. Christians now are demanding from the Christian clergy “the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth”. It is now time for the Christian clergy to tell Christians what they should have told them long ago. Of all religious groups in the world Christians appear to be the least informed of any on this subject. Have their spiritual leaders been reckless with the truth?

Countless intelligent and informed Christians no longer accept unchallenged assertions by the Christian clergy that Jesus in His lifetime was a Member of a group in Judea which practised a religious form of worship then which is today called “Judaism”, or that Jesus in His lifetime here on Earth was a Member of the racial group which today includes the preponderant majority of all so-called or self-styled “Jews” in the world, or that the so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world today are the lineal descendants of the nation in Judea of which Jesus was a national in His lifetime here on Earth, or that the cultural characteristics of so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world today correspond with the cultural characteristics of Jesus during His lifetime here on Earth and His teachings while He was here on Earth for a brief stay. Christians will no longer believe that the race, religion, nationality and culture of Jesus and the race, religion, nationality and culture of so-called or self-styled “Jews” today or their ancestors have a common origin or character.

The resentment by Christian is more ominous than the Christian clergy suspect. Under existing conditions the Christian clergy will find that ignorance is not bliss, nor wisdom folly. Christians everywhere today are seeking to learn the authentic relationship between the so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world today and the “Judeans” who populated “Judea” before, during and after the time of Jesus. Christians now insist that they be told correctly by the Christian clergy about the racial, religious, national and cultural background of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world today and the basis for associating these backgrounds with the racial, religious, national and cultural background of Jesus in His lifetime in Judea. The intelligent and informed Christians are alerted to the exploded myth that the so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world today are the direct descendants of the “Judeans” amongst whom Jesus lived during His lifetime here on Earth.

Christians today are also becoming more and more alerted day by day why the so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world for three centuries have spent uncounted sums of money to manufacture the fiction that the “Judeans” in the time of Jesus were “Jews” rather than “Judeans”, and that “Jesus was a Jew”. Christians are becoming more and more aware day by day of all the economic and political advantages accruing to the so-called or self-styled “Jews” as a direct result of their success in making Christians believe that “Jesus was a Jew” in the “secondary meaning” they have created for the 18th century word “Jew”. The so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world today represent themselves to Christians as “Jews” only in the “secondary meaning” of the word “Jew”. They seek to thereby prove their kinship with Jesus. They emphasize this fiction to the Christians constantly. That fable is fast fading and losing its
former grip upon the imaginations of Christians.

To allege that “Jesus was a Jew” in the sense that during His lifetime Jesus professed and practised the form of religious worship known and practised under the modern name of “Judaism” is false and fiction of the most blasphemous nature.

If to be a so-called or self-styled “Jew” then or now the practise of “Judaism” was a requirement then Jesus certainly was not a so-called “Jew”. Jesus abhorred and denounced the form of religious worship practised in Judea in His lifetime and which is known and practised today under its new name “Judaism”. That religious belief was then known as “Pharisaism”. The Christian clergy learned that in their theological seminary days but they have never made any attempt to make that clear to Christians.

[END OF QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]

Dharma, here is a good point at which to break the writing. We will continue at the section regarding the Jewish Theological Seminary of America—often referred to as “The Vatican of Judaism”. Thank you.

Salu.
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THE VATICAN OF JUDAISM
JUDAISM/PHARISAISM

[CONTINUATION OF QUOTING:]

The eminent Rabbi Louis Finkelstein, the head of the The Jewish Theological Seminary of America, often referred to as the “The Vatican of Judaism”, in his Forward to his First Edition of this world-famous classic “The Pharisees, The Sociological Background of Their Faith”, on page XXI states:

“. . .Judaism. . .Pharisaism became Talmudism, Talmudism became Midieval Rabbinism, and Midieval Rabbinism became Modern Rabbinism. But throughout these changes in name. . .the spirit of the ancient Pharisees survives, unaltered. . .From Palestine to Babylonia; from Babylonia to North Africa, Italy, Spain, France and Germany; from these to Poland, Russia, and eastern Europe generally, ancient Pharisaism has wandered. . .demonstrates the enduring importance which attaches to Pharisaism as a religious movement. . .”

The celebrated Rabbi Louis Finkelstein in his great classic quoted from above traces the origin of the form of religious worship practiced today under the present name “Judaism”, to its origin as “Pharisaism” in Judea in the time of Jesus. Rabbi Louis Finkelstein confirms what the eminent Rabbi Adolph Moses stated in his great classic “Yahvism, and Other Discourses”, in collaboration with the celebrated Rabbi H.G. Enelow, published in 1903 by the Louisville Section of the Council of Jewish Women, in which Rabbi Adolph Moses, on page 1 states:

“Among the innumerable misfortunes which have befallen. . .the most fatal in its consequences is the name Judaism. . .Worse still, the Jews themselves, who have gradually come to call their religion Judaism. . .Yet, neither in biblical nor post-biblical, neither in talmudic, nor in much later times, is the term Judaism ever
heard. The Bible speaks of the religion as ‘Torath Yahve’, the instruction, or the moral law revealed by Yahve. In other places, as ‘Yirath Yahve’, the fear and reverence of Yahve. These and other appellations continued for many ages to stand for the religion. To distinguish it from Christianity and Islam, the Jewish philosophers sometimes designate it as the faith or belief of the Jews. It was Flavius Josephus, writing for the instruction of Greeks and Romans, who coined the term Judaism, in order to pit it against Hellenism. By Hellenism was understood the civilization, comprising language, poetry, religion, art, science, manners, customs, institutions, which had spread from Greece, its original home, over vast regions of Europe, Asia and Africa. The Christians eagerly seized upon the name. The Jews themselves, who intensely detested the traitor Josephus, refrained from reading his works. Hence the term Judaism coined by Josephus remained absolutely unknown to them. It was only in comparatively recent times, after the Jews became familiar with modern Christian literature, that they began to name their religion Judaism.” (emphasis supplied)

This statement by the world’s two leading authorities on this subject clearly establishes beyond any question or any doubt that so-called “Judaism” was not the name of any form of religious worship practiced in Judea in the time of Jesus. The Flavius Josephus referred to in the above quotation lived in the 1st century. It was he who coined the word “Judaism” in the 1st century explicitly for the purpose recited clearly above. Religious worship known and practiced today under the name “Judaism” by so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world was known and practiced in Judea in the time of Jesus under the name “Pharisaism” according to Rabbi Louis Finkelstein, head of The Jewish Theological Seminary of America, and all the other most competent and qualified recognized authorities on the subject.

The form of religious worship known as “Pharisaism” in Judea in the time of Jesus was a religious practice based exclusively upon the Talmud. The Talmud in the time of Jesus was the Magna Charta, the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, and the Bill of Rights, all rolled into one, of those who practiced “Pharisaism”. The Talmud today occupies the same relative position with respect to those who profess “Judaism”. The Talmud today virtually exercises totalitarian dictatorship over the lives of so-called or self-styled “Jews” whether they are aware of that fact or not. Their spiritual leaders make no attempt to conceal the control they exercise over the lives of so-called or self-styled “Jews”. They extend their authority far beyond the legitimate limits of spiritual matters. Their authority has no equal outside religion.

The role the Talmud plays in “Judaism” as it is practiced today is officially stated by the eminent Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer, the Director of Interreligious Activities of the American Jewish Committee and the President of the Jewish Chaplains Association of the Armed Forces of the United States. In his present capacity as official spokesman for The American Jewish Committee, the self-styled “Vatican of Judaism”, Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer wrote a most revealing and comprehensive article with the title “What is a Jew” which was published as a feature article in Look Magazine in the June 17, 1952 issue. In that article Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer evaluated the significance of the Talmud to “Judaism” today. In that illuminating treatise on that important subject by the most qualified authority, at the time, Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer stated:

“The Talmud consists of 63 books of legal, ethical and historical writings of the ancient rabbis. It is a compendium of law and lore. It is the legal code which forms the basis of Jewish religious law and it is the textbook used in the training of rabbis.”

H:
Please obtain a copy of RAPE OF JUSTICE by Eustace Mullins—which can, I believe, be obtained through Phoenix Source Distributors—to see just how far the judicial system is entangled and practices “law” by the rules of the “Talmud”.

In view of this official evaluation of the importance of the Talmud in the practice of “Judaism” today by the highest body of so-called or self-styled “Jews” in the world it is very necessary at this time, my dear Dr. Goldstein, to inquire a little further into the subject of the Talmud. In his lifetime the eminent Michael Rodkinson, the assumed name of a so-called or self-styled “Jew” who was one of the world’s great authorities on the Talmud, wrote “History of the Talmud”. This great classic on the subject was written by Michael Rodkinson in collaboration with the celebrated Rabbi Isaac M. Wise. In his “History of the Talmud” Michael Rodkinson, on page 70, states:

“How is the literature that Jesus was familiar with in his early years yet in existence in the world? Is it possible for us to get at it? Can we ourselves review the ideas, the statements, the modes of reasoning and thinking, ON MORAL AND RELIGIOUS SUBJECTS, which were current in his time, and MUST HAVE BEEN REVOLVED BY HIM DURING THOSE THIRTY SILENT YEARS WHEN HE WAS PONDERING HIS FUTURE MISSION? To such inquiries the learned class of Jewish rabbis ANSWER BY HOLDING UP THE TALMUD. Here, say they, is THE SOURCE FROM WHENCE JESUS OF NAZARETH DREW THE TEACHINGS WHICH ENABLE HIM TO REVOLUTIONIZE THE WORLD; and the question becomes, therefore, an interesting one TO EVERY CHRISTIAN. What is the Talmud? THE TALMUD, THEN IS THE WRITTEN FORM OF THAT WHICH, IN THE TIME OF JESUS WAS CALLED THE TRADITIONS OF THE ELDERS AND TO WHICH HE MAKES FREQUENT ALLUSIONS. What sort of book is it?”.

Stimulated by that invitation every Christian worth of the name should immediately take the trouble to seek the answer to that “interesting” question “to every Christian”. My dear Dr. Goldstein, your articles do not indicate whether you have taken the time and the trouble to personally investigate “what sort of book” the Talmud is either before or after your conversion to Catholicism. Have you ever done so? If you have done so what is the conclusion you have reached regarding “what sort of book” the Talmud is? What is your personal unbiased and unprejudiced opinion of the Talmud? Is it consistent with your present views as a devout Roman Catholic and a tried and true Christian? Can you spare a few moments to drop me a few lines on your present views?

In case you have never had the opportunity to investigate the contents of the “63 books” of the Talmud so well summarized by Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer in his illuminating article “What is a Jew”, previously quoted, may I here impose upon your precious time and quote a few passages for you until you find the time to conveniently investigate the Talmud’s contents personally. If I can be of any assistance to you in doing so please do not hesitate to let me know in what manner you can use my help.

From the Birth of Jesus until this day there have never been recorded more vicious and vile libelous blasphemies of Jesus, of Christians and the Christian faith by anyone, anywhere, or anytime than you will find between the covers of the infamous “63 books” which are “the legal code which forms the basis of Jewish religious law” as well as the “textbook used in the training of rabbis”. The explicit and implicit irreligious character and implications of the contents of the Talmud will open your eyes as they have never been opened before. The Talmud reviles Jesus, Christians and the Christian faith as the priceless spiritual
and cultural heritage of Christians has never been reviled before or since the *Talmud* was completed in the 5th century. You will have to excuse the foul, obscene, indecent, lewd and vile language you will see here as verbatim quotations from the official unabridged translation of the *Talmud* into English. **BE PREPARED FOR A SURPRISE.**

In the year 1935 the international hierarchy of so-called or self-styled “Jews” for the first time in history published an official unabridged translation of the complete *Talmud* in the English language with complete footnotes. What possessed them to make this translation in English is one of the unsolved mysteries. It was probably done because so many so-called or self-styled “Jews” of the younger generation were unable to read the *Talmud* in the many ancient languages in which the original “63 books” of the *Talmud* were first composed by their authors in many lands between 200 B.C. and 500 A.D.

The international hierarchy of so-called or self-styled “Jews” selected the most learned scholars to make this official translation of the *Talmud* into English. These famous scholars also prepared official footnotes explaining passages of the *Talmud* where they were required. This official unabridged translation of the *Talmud* into English with the official footnotes was printed in London in 1935 by the Soncino Press. It has been always referred to as the *Soncino Edition* of the *Talmud*. A very limited number of the *Soncino Edition* were printed. They were not made available to any purchaser. The *Soncino Edition* of the *Talmud* is to be found in the Library of Congress and the New York Public Library. A set of the *Soncino Edition* of the *Talmud* has been available to me for many years. They have become rare “collector’s items” by now.

[H: Do you also see that it is up to you-the-people as to whether or not these Journals end up removed from the hands of the world population and fall among the “rare” publications accidentally missed in the mass destruction of the information? It is up to you, citizens of the world, as the world nears destruction at the hands of those who have stolen your very “Truth of God Creator”. How can you know Truth if all documentation thereof is destroyed by the would be KINGS AND CONTROLLERS OF THE PLANET?]

The *Soncino Edition* of the *Talmud* with its footnotes is like a double-edged sword. It teaches the *Talmud* to countless millions of the younger generation of so-called or self-styled “Jews” who are not able to read the *Talmud* in the many ancient languages in which the *Talmud* was written by its authors between 200 B.C. and 500 A.D. It also teaches Christians what the *Talmud* has to say about Jesus, about Christians and about the Christian faith. Someday this is bound to back-fire. Christians will some day challenge the assertion that the *Talmud* is the “sort of book” from which Jesus allegedly “drew the teachings which enabled him to revolutionize the world” on “moral and religious subjects”. The rumbling is already heard in places.

[H: As you read the quotations, I want (especially you ones who objected to Germain and Hatonn using Bull-shit to see if a scribe would edit it out) to have you REALLY PAY ATTENTION AS WE PUT THIS INFORMATION INTO YOUR HANDS AND SEE IF YOU STILL BELIEVE THE CHRIST FRAGMENT OF GOD/CREATOR/CREATION WOULD LIKELY UTILIZE THESE TERMS.]

The official unabridged *Soncino Edition* of the *Talmud* published in 1935 was “Translated into English
with Notes, Glossary and Indices” by such eminent Talmudic scholars as Rabbi Dr. I. Epstein, Rabbi Dr. Samuel Daiches, Rabbi Dr. Israel W. Slotki, M. A., Litt, D., The Reverend Dr. A. Cohen, M.A., Ph.D., M.Sc., Jacob Schater, A. Mishcon, A. Cohen, M.A., Ph.D., Maurice Simon M.A., and the Very Reverend The Chief Rabbi Dr., J. H. Hertz wrote the “Foreword” for the Soncino Edition of the Talmud. The Very Reverend Rabbi Hertz was at the time the Chief Rabbi of England.

The following are but a few of the many similar quotations with footnotes from the Soncino Edition of the Talmud, the “sort of book” form which Jesus allegedly “drew the teachings which enable him to revolutionize the world” on “moral and religious” subjects:

(Book) Sanhedrin, 54b-55a: “What is meant by this?—Rab said: Pederasty with a child below nine years of age is not deemed as pederasty with a child above that. Samuel said: Pederasty with a child below three years is not treated as with a child above that (2). What is the basis of their dispute?—Rab maintains that only he who is able to engage in sexual intercourse, may, as the passive subject of pederasty throw guilt (upon the actual offender); whilst he who is unable to engage in sexual intercourse cannot be a passive subject of pederasty (in that respect) (3). But Samuel maintains: Scriptures writes, (And thou shalt not lie with mankind) as with the lyings of a woman (4). It has been taught in accordance with Rab: Pederasty at the age of nine years and a day; (55a) (he) who commits bestiality, whether naturally or unnaturally: or a woman who causes herself to be bestiality abused, whether naturally or unnaturally, is liable to punishment (5).”

Footnotes:

(1) The reference is to the passive subject of sodomy. As stated in supra 54a, guilt is incurred by the active participant even if the former be a minor, i.e. less than thirteen years old. Now, however, it is stated that within this age a distinction is drawn. (emphasis is in original, Ed.)

(2) Rab makes nine years the minimum; but if one committed sodomy with a child of lesser age, no guilt is incurred. Samuel makes three the minimum.

(3) At nine years a male attains sexual matureness.

(4) Lev. XVIII,22.

(5) Rashi reads (“xxx”) (Hebrew characters, Ed.) instead of (“zzz”) (Hebrew characters, Ed.) in our printed texts. A male, aged nine years and a day, who commits etc. There are thus three distinct clauses in this Baraitha. The first—a male aged nine years and a day—refers to the passive subject of pederasty, the punishment being incurred by the adult offender. This must be its meaning: because firstly, the active offender is never explicitly designated as a male, it being understood, just as the Bible states, Thou shalt not lie with mankind, where only the sex of the passive participant is mentioned; and secondly, if the age reference is to the active party, the guilt being incurred by the passive adult party, why single out pederasty: in all crimes of incest, the passive adult does not incur guilt unless the other party is at least nine years and a day? Hence the Baraitha supports Rab’s contention that nine years (and a day) is the minimum age of the passive partner for the adult to be liable.” (emphasis in original, Ed.)

Before giving any more verbatim quotations from the “sort of book” from which it is falsely alleged Jesus “drew the teachings which enable him to revolutionize the world” on “moral and religious subjects” I wish
to here again recall to your attention the official statement by Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer in Look Magazine for June 17, 1952. In that official statement made by Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer on behalf of the American Jewish Committee, self-styled “The Vatican of Judaism”, informed the 20,000,000 readers of Look Magazine that the Talmud “IS THE LEGAL CODE WHICH FORMS THE BASIS OF JEWISH RELIGIOUS LAW AND IT IS THE TEXTBOOK USED IN THE TRAINING OF RABBIS”. Please bear this mind as you read further.

Before continuing I wish also to call your attention to another feature. Confirming the official view of Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer, the New York Times on May 20, 1954 ran a news item under the headline “Rabbis Plan a Fund to Endow Two Chairs”. The news item itself ran as follows: “Special to the New York Times, Uniontown, Pa. May 19—Plans for raising $500,000. for the creation of two endowed chairs at the Jewish Theological Seminary of America were announced today at the fifty-fourth annual convention of the Rabbinical Assembly of America. THE PROFESSORSHIPS WOULD BE KNOWN AS THE LOUIS GINSBERG CHAIR IN TALMUD...! This is further proof that the Talmud is not yet quite a dead-letter in the “TRAINING OF RABBIS”. Is further proof needed on that question?

The world’s leading authorities on the Talmud confirm that the official unabridged Soncino Edition of the Talmud translated into English follows the original texts with great exactness. It is almost a word-for-word translation of the original texts. In his famous classic “The History of the Talmud” Michael Rodkinson, the leading authority on the Talmud, in collaboration with the celebrated Reverend Dr. Isaac Wise, states:

“With the conclusion of the first volume of this work at the beginning of the twentieth century, we would invite the reader to take a glance over the past of the Talmud, in which he will see...that not only was the Talmud not destroyed, but was so saved that NOT A SINGLE LETTER OF IT IS MISSING; and now IT IS FLOURISHING TO SUCH A DEGREE AS CANNOT BE FOUND IN ITS PAST HISTORY...THE TALMUD IS ONE OF THE WONDERS OF THE WORLD. During the twenty centuries of its existence...IT SURVIVED IN ITS ENTIRETY, and not only has the power of its foes FAILED TO DESTROY EVEN A SINGLE LINE, but it has not even been able materially to weaken its influence for any length of time. IT STILL DOMINATES THE MINDS OF A WHOLE PEOPLE, WHO VENERATE ITS CONTENTS AS DIVINE TRUTH...The colleges for the study of the Talmud are increasing almost in every place where Israel dwells, especially in this country where millions are gathered for the funds of the two colleges, the Hebrew Union College of Cincinnati and The Jewish Theological Seminary of America in New York, in which the chief study is the Talmud...There are also in our city houses of learning (Jeshibath) for the study of the Talmud in the lower East Side, where many young men are studying the Talmud every day.”

[END OF QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]

***Dharma, there is something wrong with your computer keyboard—write no more until it is checked and cleared. We will take a respite while this is taken care of, please.***
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[QUOTING CONTINUED:]
This “divine truth” which “a whole people venerate” of which “not a single letter of it is missing” and today “is flourishing to such a degree as cannot be found in its history” is illustrated by the additional verbatim quotations which follow:

(Book) Sanhedrin, 55b: “A maiden three years and a day may be acquired in marriage by coition, and if her deceased husband’s brother cohabits with her, she becomes his. The penalty of adultery may be incurred through her; (if a niddah) she defiles him who has connection with her, so that he in turn defiles that upon which he lies, as a garment which has lain upon (a person afflicted with gonorrhea).’ (emphasis in original text of Soncino Edition, Ed.)

(Book) Sanhedrin, 58b. “R. Eleazar said in R. Hanina’s name; If a heathen had an unnatural connection with his wife, he incurs guilt; for it is written, and he shall cleave, which excludes unnatural intercourse (2). Raba objected: Is there anything for which a Jew is not punishable and a heathen is? (3). But Raba said thus: A heathen who violates his neighbor’s wife is free from punishment. Why so?—(Scripture saith) To his wife, but not to his neighbor’s; and he shall cleave, which excludes unnatural intercourse (4).

Footnotes: (2) His wife derives no pleasure from this, and hence there is no cleaving.

(3) A variant reading of this passage is: Is there anything permitted to a Jew which is forbidden to a heathen. Unnatural connection is permitted to a Jew.

(4) By taking the two in conjunction, the latter as illustrating the former, we learn that the guilt of violating the injunction ‘to his wife but not to his neighbor’s wife’ is incurred only for natural but not for unnatural intercourse.” (emphasis in original, Ed.)

(Book) Sanhedrin, 69a. “‘A man’: from this I know the law only with respect to a man: whence do I know it of one aged nine years and a day who is capable of intercourse? From the verse, And ‘if a man’?—He replied: Such a minor can produce semen, but cannot beget therewith; for it is like the seed of cereals less than a third grown (3).”

(footnotes) “(2) ‘And’ (‘) indicates an extension of the law, and is here interpreted to include a minor aged nine years and a day.

(3) Such cereals contain seed, which if sown, however, will not grow.

(Book) Sanhedrin, 69b. “Our rabbis taught: If a woman sported lewdly with her young son (a minor), and he committed the first stage of cohabitation with her,—Beth Shammai say, he thereby renders her unfit for the priesthood (1). Beth Hillel declare her fit. . .All agree that the connection of a boy nine years and a day is a real connection; whilst that of one less than eight years is not (2); their dispute refers only to one who is eight years old.

(footnotes “(1) i.e., she becomes a harlot whom a priest may not marry (Lev. XXL7.).

(2) So that if he was nine years and a day or more, Beth Hillel agree that she is invalidated from the priesthood; whilst if he was less than eight, Beth Shammai agree that she is not.”
(Book) Kethuboth, 5b. “The question was asked: Is it allowed (15) to perform the first marital act on the Sabbath? (16). Is the blood (in the womb) stored up (17), or is it the result of a wound? (18).

(footnotes) “(15) Lit., ‘how is it’?
(16) When the intercourse could not take place before the Sabbath (Tosaf).
(17) And the intercourse would be allowed, since the blood flows out of its own accord, no wound having been made.
(18) Lit., or is it wounded? And the intercourse would be forbidden.”

(Book) Kethuboth, 10a-10b. “Someone came before Rabban Gamaliel the son of Rabbi (and) said to him, ‘my master I have had intercourse (with my newly wedded wife) and I have not found any blood (7). She (the wife) said to him, ‘My master, I am still a virgin’. He (then) said to them: Bring me two handmaids, one (who is) a virgin and one who had intercourse with a man. They brought to him (two such handmaids), and he placed them on a cask of wine. (In the case of) the one who was no more a virgin its smell (1) went through (2), (in the case of) the virgin the smell did not go through (3). He (then) placed this one (the young wife) also (on a cask of wine), and its smell (4) did not go through. He (then) said to him: Go, be happy with thy bargain (7). But he should have examined her from the beginning (8).”

(footnotes) “(1) i.e., the smell of wine.
(2) One could smell the wine from the mouth (Rashi).
(3) One could not smell the wine from the mouth.
(4) i.e., the smell of wine.
(5) Rabban Gamaliel.
(6) To the husband.
(7) The test showed that the wife was a virgin.
(8) Why did he first have experiment with the two handmaids.”

(Book) Kethuboth, 11a-11b. “Raba said, It means (5) this: When a grown up man has intercourse with a little girl it is nothing, for when the girl is less than this (6), it is as if one puts the finger in the eye (7); but when a small boy has intercourse with a grown up woman, he makes her as ‘as a girl who is injured by a piece of wood’”.

(footnotes) “(5). Lit., ‘says’.
(6) Lit., ‘here’, that is, less than three years old.
(7) Tears come to the eyes again and again, so does virginity come back to the little girl under three years.”

(Book) Kethuboth, 11a-11b. “Rab Judah said that Rab said: A small boy who has intercourse with a grown up woman makes here (as though she were) injured by a piece of wood (1). Although the intercourse of a small boy is not regarded as a sexual act, nevertheless the woman is injured by it as by a piece of wood.”

(footnotes) “(1) Although the intercourse of a small boy is not regarded as a sexual act, nevertheless the woman is injured by it as by a piece of wood.”

(Book) Hayorath, 4a. “We learnt: (THE LAW CONCERNING THE) MENSTRUANT OCCURS IN THE TORAH BUT IF A MAN HAS INTERCOURSE WITH A WOMAN THAT AWAITS A DAY CORRESPONDING TO A DAY HE IS EXEMPT. But why? Surely (the law concerning) a woman that
awaits a day corresponding to a day is mentioned in the Scriptures: He hath made naked her fountain. But, surely it is written, (1)—They might rule that in the natural way even the first stage of contact is forbidden; and in an unnatural way, however, consummation of coition only is forbidden but the first stage of contact is permitted. If so, (the same might apply) even (to the case of) a menstruant also! (2)—The fact, however, is (that the ruling might have been permitted) (3) even in the natural way (4) alleging (that the prohibition of) the first stage (5) has reference to a menstruant woman only (6). And if you prefer I might say: The ruling may have been that a woman is not regarded as a zabah (7) except during the daytime because it is written, all the days of her issue (8).” (emphasis appears in Soncino Edition original, Ed.)

(footnotes) “(13) Lev. XV,28.
(14) Cf. supra p.17,n.10. Since she is thus Biblically considered unclean how could a court rule that one having intercourse with her is exempt?
(15) Lev.XX,18.

(1) Ibid.13. The plural “xxxx” (Hebrew characters, Ed.) implies natural, and unnatural intercourse.
(2) Why then was the case of ‘a woman who awaits a day corresponding to a day’ given as an illustration when the case of a menstruant, already mentioned, would apply the same illustration.
(3) The first stage of contact.
(4) In the case of one ‘who awaits a day corresponding to a day’; only consummation of coition being forbidden in her case.
(5) Cf. Lev.XX,18.
(6) Thus permitting a forbidden act which the Sadducees do not admit.
(7) A woman who has an issue of blood not in the time of her menstruation, and is subject to certain laws of uncleanness and purification (Lev.XV,25ff).
(8) Lev.XV,26. Emphasis being laid on days.”

(Book) Abodah Zarah, 36b-37a. “R. Naham b.Isaac said: They decreed in connection with a heathen child that it would cause defilement by seminal emission (2) so that an Israelite child should not become accustomed to commit pederasty with it. . .From what age does a heathen child cause defilement by seminal emission? From the age of nine years and one day. (37a) for inasmuch as he is then capable of the sexual act he likewise defiles by emission. Rabina said: It is therefore to be concluded that a heathen girl (communicates defilement) from the age of three years and one day, for inasmuch as she is then capable of the sexual act she likewise defiles by a flux.

(footnotes) “(2). Even though he suffered from no issue.”

(Book) Sotah, 26b. “R. Papa said: It excludes an animal, because there is not adultery in connection with an animal (4). Raba of Parazika (5) asked R. Ashi, Whence is the statement which the Rabbis made that there is no adultery in connection with an animal?—Because it is written, Thou shalt not bring the hire of a harlot or the wages of a dog etc.; (6) and it has been taught: The hire of a dog (7) and the wages of a harlot (8) are permissible, as it is said, Even both of these (9)—the two (specified texts are abominations) but not four (10). . .As lying with mankind. (12) But, said Raba, it excludes the case where he warned her against contact of the bodies (13). Abaye said to him, That is merely an obscene act (and not adultery), and did the All-Merciful prohibit (a wife to her husband) for and obscene act?” (emphasis in original text, Ed.)
“(4) She would not be prohibited to her husband for such an act.

(5) Farausag near Baghdad v.BB. (Sonic.Ed.) p.15,n.4. He is thus distinguished from the earlier Rabbi of that name.

(6) *Deut*. XXIII,19.

(7) Money given by a man to a harlot to associate with his dog. Such an association is not legal adultery.

(8) If a man had a female slave who was a harlot and he exchanged her for an animal, it could be offered.

(9) Are an abomination unto the Lord ibid.

(10) Viz., the other two mentioned by the Rabbi.

(11) In *Num*. V,13. since the law applies to a man who is incapable.

(12) *Lev*. XVIII,22. The word for ‘lying’ is in the plural and is explained as denoting also unnatural intercourse.

(13) With the other man, although there is no actual coition.” (emphasis appears in original *Soncino Edition, Ed.*)

(Book) *Yebamoth*, 55b. “Raba said; For what purpose did the All-Merciful write ‘carnally’ in connection with the designated bondmaid (9), a married woman (10), and a sotah (11)? This in connection with the designated bondmaid (is required) as has just been explained (12). That in connection with a married woman excludes intercourse with a relaxed membrum (13). This is a satisfactory interpretation in accordance with the view of him who maintains that if one cohabited with forbidden relatives with relaxed membrum he is exonerated (14); what, however, can be said, according to him who maintains (that for such an act one is) guilty?—The exclusion is rather that of intercourse with a dead woman (15). Since it might have been assumed that, as (a wife), even after her death, is described as his kin (16), one should be guilty for (intercourse with) her (as for that) with a married woman, hence we are taught (that one is exonerated).


(10) Ibid.XVIII,20.


(12) *Supra* 55a.

(13) Since no fertilization can possibly occur.

(14) *Shebu*., 18a.*Sanh*. 55a.

(15) Even though she dies as a married woman.

(16) In *Lev*. XXI,2. where the text enumerates the dead relatives for whom a priest may defile himself. As was explained, supra 22b, his kin refers to one’s wife.” (emphasis in *Soncino Edition* original, Ed.)

(Book) *Yebamoth*, 103a-103b. “When the serpent copulated with Eve (14) he infused her (15) with lust. The lust of the Israelites who stood at Mount Sinai (16) came to an end, the lust of idolators who did not stand at Mount Sinai did not come to an end.”

(footnotes) “(14) In the garden of Eden, according to tradition.

(15) i.e., the human species.

(16) And experienced the purifying influence of divine Revelation.”
R. Eleazar further stated: What is meant by the Scriptural text, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh (5)? This teaches that Adam had intercourse with every beast and animal but found no satisfaction until he cohabited with Eve.

Gen.II,23. emphasis on This is now.” (emphasis appears in original Soncino Edition, Ed.)

As R. Joshua b. Levi related: ‘There was a certain town in the Land of Israel the legitimacy of whose inhabitants was disputed, and Rabbi sent R. Ramanos who conducted an enquiry and found it in the daughter of a proselyte who was under the age of three years and one day (14), and Rabbi declared her eligible to live with a priest (15).”

(13) A proselyte under the age of three years and one day may be married by a priest.
(14) And was married to a priest.
(15) i.e., permitted to continue to live with her husband.”

A woman who had intercourse with a beast is eligible to marry a priest (4). Likewise it was taught: A woman who had intercourse with that which is no human being (5), though she is in consequence subject to the penalty of stoning (6), is nevertheless permitted to marry a priest (7).

(4) Even a High Priest. The result of such intercourse being regarded as a mere wound, and the opinion that does not regard an accidently injured hymen as a disqualification does not so regard such an intercourse either.
(5) A beast.
(6) If the offense was committed in the presence of witnesses after due warning.
(7) In the absence of witnesses and warning.”

Three (catagories of) women may (7) use an absorbent (8) in their marital intercourse (9), a minor, a pregnant woman and a nursing woman. The minor (10) because (otherwise) she might (11) become pregnant, and as a result (11) might die...And what is the age of such a minor? (14). From the age of eleven years and one day until the age of twelve years and one day. One who is under (15), or over this age (16) must carry on her marital intercourse in the usual manner.”

(7) (So Rashi.R.Tam: Should use, v.Tosaf s.v.)
(8) Hackled wool or flax.
(9) To prevent conception.
(10) May use an absorbent.
(11) Lit., ‘perhaps’.
(14) Who is capable of conception but exposed thereby to the danger of death.
(15) When no conception is possible.
(16) when pregnancy involves no fatal consequences.”
(Book) Yebamoth, 59b. “When R.Dimi came (8) he related; It once happened at Haitalu (9) that while a young woman was sweeping the floor (10) a village dog (11) covered her from the rear (12) and Rabbi permitted her to marry a priest. Samuel said: Even a High Priest.

(footnotes) “(8) From Palestine to Babylon.
(10) Lit., ‘house’.
(11) Or ‘big hunting dog’ (Rashi), ‘ferocious dog’ (Jast.), ‘small wild dog’ (Aruk).
(12) A case of unnatural intercourse.

[H: Is any of this beginning to be a bit outlandish to any of you? Dogs? “A village `dog’ covered her from the rear.”? Is this not the most confusing bunch of nonsense you have ever seen? Does it cross anyone’s mind that you might be dealing with rules set up by ones totally unfamiliar with much of anything suitable to behavior by Earth Human? Oh yes, you have bestiality but hardly anything so allowable as “trivial” in being covered from the rear by a dog—while sweeping the floor yet? Would you believe such a tale if anyone walked up to you and told you this—today? Does anyone begin to relate anything in these outlandish displays of obscenities with what you have heard of the activities of “little gray aliens”?]

To continue:

(Book) Kethuboth, 6b. “Said he to him: Not like those Babylonians who are not skilled in moving aside (7), but there are some who are skilled in moving aside (8). If so, why (give the reason of) ‘anxious’?(10)—For one who is not skilled. (Then) let them say: One who is skilled is allowed (to perform the first intercourse on Sabbath), one who is not skilled is forbidden?—Most (people) are skilled (11). Said Raba the son of R. Hanan to Abaye: If this were so, then why (have) groomsmen (12) why (have) a sheet?(13)—He (Abaye) said to him: There (the groomsmen and the sheet are necessary) perhaps he will see and destroy (the tokens of her virginity) (14).

(footnotes) “(7) i.e., having intercourse with a virgin without causing a bleeding. Thus no blood need come out, and ‘Let his head be cut off and let him not die!’ does not apply.
(9) If the bridegroom is skilled in ‘moving sideways’.
(10) He need not be anxious about the intercourse and should not be free from reading Shema’ on account of such anxiety.
(11) Therefor the principle regarding ‘Let his head be cut off and let him not die!’ does not, as a rule, apply.
(12) The groomsmen testify in case of need to the virginity of the bride. V. infra 12a. If the bridegroom will act in a manner that will cause no bleeding, the groomsmen will not be able to testify on the question of virginity.
(13) To provide evidence of the virginity of the bride. Cf.Deut.XXII,17.
(14) It may happen that he will act in the normal manner and cause bleeding but he will destroy the tokens and maintain that the bride was not a virgin; for this reason the above mentioned provisions are necessary. Where however he moved aside and made a false charge as to her virginity, the bride can plead that she is still a virgin (Rashi).”

After reading these verbatim quotations from the countless other similar quotations which you will find in the official unabridged Soncino Edition of the Talmud in the English language are you of the opinion, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that the Talmud was the “sort of book” from which Jesus “drew the teachings which
enable him to revolutionize the world” on “moral and religious subjects”? You have read here verbatim quotations and official footnotes on a few of the many other subjects covered by the “63 books” of the Talmud. When you read them you must be prepared for a shock. I am surprised that the United States Post Office does not bar the Talmud from the mails. I hesitated to quote them in this letter.

[H: I also hesitated to quote them herein because the next barrage of accusations and denouncing will pile upon my people—but truth is truth and if you ones will not take time to look it up for self then hope for your journey is slim indeed. I MOST CERTAINLY DO NOT EXPECT YOU TO FIND TRUTH BY SIMPLY ASKING A NICE RABBI OR CLERGYMAN. I would like, herein, to remind you of something regarding these Zionists; Your own Jerry Falwell stood forth as leader of your “Moral Majority” and stated before the world: “I am proud to say that I am a Zionist!” Does it mean that he KNEW all these things of heinous content? No, he is simply another of the ignorant and intentionally uninformed!]

In support of the contention by the top echelon among the outstanding authorities on this phase of the present status of the Talmud, further proof of the wide influence exerted by the Talmud upon the so-called or self-styled “Jews” is supplied by Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer’s article “What is a Jew” in the June 17, 1952 issue of Look Magazine. Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer’s article contains a lovely picture of a smiling man seated in a chair with a large opened book upon his lap. Seated around him on the floor are about a dozen smiling men and women. They are paying close attention to the smiling man in the chair with the opened book upon his lap. He is reading to the persons on the floor. He emphasizes what he is reading by gestures with one of his hands. Beneath this photograph of the group is the following explanation:

“ADULTS STUDY ANCIENT WRITINGS, TOO. RABBI IN THIS PICTURE, SEATED IN CHAIR, LEADS GROUP DISCUSSION OF TALMUD BEFORE EVENING PRAYER.” (emphasis supplied)

This picture and explanation indicate the extent the Talmud is the daily diet of so-called or self-styled “Jews” in this day and age. The Talmud is first taught to children of so-called or self-styled “Jews” as soon as they are able to read. Just as the Talmud is the “textbook by which rabbis are trained” so is the Talmud also the textbook by which the rank-and-file of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” are “trained” to think from their earliest age. In the translation of the Talmud with its texts edited, corrected and formulated by the eminent Michael Rodkinson, Reverend Dr. Isaac M. Wise, on page XI, it states:

“THE MODERN JEW IS THE PRODUCT OF THE TALMUD”. (emphasis supplied)

To the average Christian the word “Talmud” is just another word associated by them with the form of religious worship practised in their synagogues by so-called or self-styled “Jews”. Many Christians have never heard of the Talmud. Very few Christians are informed on the contents of the Talmud. Some may believe the Talmud to be an integral part of the religious worship known to them as “Judaism”. It suggests a sort of bible or religious text book. It is classed as a spiritual manual. But otherwise few if any Christian has an understanding of the contents of the Talmud and what it means in the daily lives of so-called or self-styled “Jews”. As an illustration, my dear Dr. Goldstein, how many Christians have any conception of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer recited in synagogues on the Day of Atonement?

[H: For you readers of AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL, allow me to point out that the original release of this information was titled TALMUD JMMANUEL. I think it is now evident as to WHY Sananda chose to relabel it. There is now a new copy of the book translated by Billy Meier—again called
the TALMUD IMMANUEL. I suggest you be most careful in the reading thereof for it is printed solely for the monetary value and these ones who are reproducing the work have done everything they could do to STOP our publishing of the truth. “Talmud” is a perfectly good word but as is always the case with the great deceiver, you do not get goodness and light—but lies and deceit. Therefore, God will refrain from utilizing terms which will mislead you who are efforting to find Truth. A word placed so blatantly upon the cover indicates misuse of the intent if it is there to connote TRUTH!]

In Volume VIII of the Jewish Encyclopedia on page 539 found in the Library of Congress, the New York Public Library and libraries of all leading cities, will be found the official translation into English of the prayer known as the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer. It is the prologue of the Day of Atonement services in the synagogues. It is recited three times by the standing congregation in concert with chanting rabbis at the altar. After the recital of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer the Day of Atonement religious ceremonies follow immediately. The Day of Atonement religious observances are the highest holy days of so-called or self-styled “Jews” and are celebrated as such throughout the world. The official translation into English of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer follows:

“All Vows, Obligations, Oaths, Anathemas, whether called ‘konam’, ‘konas’, or by any other name, WHICH WE MAY VOW, OR SWEAR, OR PLEDGE, OR WHEREBY WE MAY BE BOUND, FROM THIS DAY OF ATONEMENT UNTO THE NEXT, (whose happy coming we await), we do repent. MAY THEY BE DEEMED ABSOLVED, FORGIVEN, ANNULLED, AND VOID AND MADE OF NO EFFECT; THEY SHALL NOT BIND US NOR HAVE POWER OVER US. THE VOWS SHALL NOT BE RECKONED VOWS; THE OBLIGATIONS SHALL NOT BE OBLIGATORY; NOR THE OATHS BE OATHS.” (emphasis supplied).

[H: Go right back now and REALLY READ THAT PRAYER FOR YOU DID NOT PICK UP THE POINT THE FIRST TIME!]

The implications, inferences and innuendoes of the “Kol Nidre (All Vows) prayer are referred to in the Talmud in the Book of Nedarim, 23a-23b as follows:

(Book) “And he who desires that NONE OF HIS VOWS MADE DURING THE YEAR SHALL BE VALID, let him stand at the beginning of the year and declare, ‘EVERY VOW WHICH I MAY MAKE IN THE FUTURE SHALL BE NULL (1). (HIS VOWS ARE THEN INVALID,) PROVIDING THAT HE REMEMBERS THIS AT THE TIME OF THE VOW.” (emphasis in original and supplied, Ed.) (footnotes) “(1) This may have provided a support for the custom of reciting Kol Nidre (a formula for dispensation of vows) prior to the Evening Service of the Day of Atonement (Ran). . .Though the beginning of the year (New Year) is mentioned here, the Day of Atonement was probably chosen on account of its great solemnity. But Kol Nidre as part of the ritual IS LATER THAN THE TALMUD, and, as seen from the following statement of R. Huna b. Hinene, THE LAW OF REVOCATION IN ADVANCE WAS NOT MADE PUBLIC. (emphasis supplied and in original text,Ed.)

The greatest study of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer was made by the eminent psycho-analyst Professor Theodor Reik, the celebrated pupil of the famous Dr. Sigmund Freud. The analysis of the historic, religious and psychological background of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer by Professor Reik presents the Talmud in its true perspective. This important study is contained in Professor Reik’s “The Ritual,
Psycho-Analytical Studies”. In the chapter on the Talmud, on page 168, Professor Reik states:

“THE TEXT WAS TO THE EFFECT THAT ALL OATHS WHICH BELIEVERS TAKE BETWEEN ONE DAY OF ATONEMENT AND THE NEXT DAY OF ATONEMENT ARE DECLARED INVALID.” (emphasis supplied)

Before explaining to you how the present wording of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer was introduced into the Day of Atonement synagogue ceremonies, my dear Dr. Goldstein, I would like to quote a passage to you from the Universal Jewish Encyclopedia.

The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia confirms the fact that the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer has no spiritual value as might be believed because it is recited in synagogues on the Day of Atonement as the prologue of the religious ceremonies which follow it. The secular significance of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer is indicated forcefully by the analysis in the Universal Jewish Encyclopedia. In Volume VI, on page 441, it states:

“The Kol Nidre HAS NOTHING WHATEVER TO DO WITH THE ACTUAL IDEA OF THE DAY OF ATONEMENT. . .it attained to extraordinary solemnity and popularity by reason of the fact that it was THE FIRST PRAYER RECITED ON THIS HOLIEST OF DAYS.”

My dear Dr. Goldstein, prepare for the shock of your life. Compelled by what you have now read here about the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer you must be shocked to learn that many Christian churches actually “pealed their bells” on the Day of Atonement in celebration of that holy day for so-called or self-styled “Jews”. How stupid can the Christian clergy get? From what I have learned after a cursory inquiry I am unable to say whether it was a case of stupidity or cupidity. With what you already know, together with what you will additionally know before you finish this letter, you will be able to judge for yourself whether it was stupidity or cupidity. There is not one single fact in this entire letter which every graduate of a theological seminary did not have the opportunity to learn.

The following news item was featured in the New York on October 7th only a few days ago. Under a prominent headline “JEWISH HOLIDAYS TO END AT SUNDOWN” the New York World Telegram gave great prominence to the following story:

“Synagogues and temples throughout the city were crowded yesterday as the 24 hour fast began. Dr. Norman Salit, head of the Synagogue Council of America, representing the three major Jewish bodies, had called on other faiths TO JOIN THE FAST. . .Cutting across religious lines, MANY PROTESTANT CHURCHES IN THE CITY PEALLED THEIR BELLS LAST NIGHT TO SOUND THE KOL NIDRE, TRADITIONAL MELODY USED AT THE START OF YOM KIPPUR. THE GESTURE OF GOOD-WILL WAS RECOMMENDED BY THE MANHATTAN OFFICE OF THE PROTESTANT COUNCIL.” (emphasis supplied)

That just about “tops” anything I have ever had come to my attention revealing the ignorance and indifference of the Christian clergy to the hazards today facing the Christian faith. From my personal contacts with the Manhattan Office of the Protestant Council in the recent past I hold out very little hope for any constructive contribution they can make to the common defense of the Christian faith against its dedicated enemies. In each instance they buckled under the “pressure” exerted upon them by the “contacts” for so-
called or self-styled “Jews”. If it was not so tragic it would be comic. It was a joke indeed but the joke was on the Christian clergy. Ye Gods! “Many” Christian churches “pealed their bells”, as the Protestant Council reports the event, “TO SOUND THE KOL NIDRE, TRADITIONAL MELODY USED AT THE START OF YOM KIPPUR”. Just where does betrayal of a trust and breach of faith begin?

The present wording of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer dates from the 11th century. A political reversal in eastern Europe compelled the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe to adopt the present wording of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer. That story involves the history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe.

Before relating here as briefly as possible the history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” of eastern Europe I would like to quote here another short passage from the Jewish Encyclopedia in Volume VII, on page 540, states:

“AN IMPORTANT ALTERATION IN THE WORDING of the ‘Kol Nidre’ was made by Rashi’s son-in-law, Meir ben Samuel, WHO CHANGED THE ORIGINAL PHRASE ‘FROM THE LAST DAY OF ATONEMENT TO THIS ONE’ to ‘FROM THIS DAY OF ATONEMENT UNTIL THE NEXT’ “.

(emphasis supplied)

[END OF QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]

We will herein stop quoting and for that matter, stop the writing at this point for this sitting. Thank you for the long hours of service, Dharma. I ask you to be particularly attuned to my call for you are in danger and hence is why we had to disengage your prior computer. We will simply have to work our way through the next few days of bringing forth this information for as you might well note—THE EVIL BROTHERHOOD DOES NOT WANT IT BROUGHT FORTH! When human realizes how he has been duped he shall rise up and stop this madness. Ah, and may it be “in time”.

Hatonn to stand-by. I shall keep the shielding in place but I must ask that you remain within my commands lest you be damaged. The Truth is going to come forth now and it has confirmation and credentials of proof—just as you were told at onset by “The Command”—”that you would be given credentials and credibility from that which is the Silver Clouds and would be forthcoming from Earth-place.” And so it shall be put to print that Man may see how sadly he has been made the dupe.

Good evening. God grants his protection of his servants. Salu.

2/18/91 #2 HATONN

TODAY’S WATCH

Briefly we will attend today’s nonsense for I wish to move forward with material which may save your souls—loss of your bodies is simply “collateral damage” as I believe your military and administration refer to death of “innocent civilians”.
Several points to note and then we will move on. Firstly, note the involvement of the top Russian leaders with the Iraq “set-up”. Then also note the exchanges of notes between countries. Then note the “sudden” discovery of massive gold deposits in the Soviet Union—which will “save the Soviet economy”. Come now, you can tell from the assay office pictures that the gold has long been known about and mined. YOUR WORLD IS BEING TOLD THAT RUSSIA IS VERY MUCH ALIVE AND WELL AND YOU HAVE BEEN DUPED INTO SENDING BILLIONS TO HER AID—BUT, THE GAME IS BEING PLAYED ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF THE ELITE. KEEP YOUR EYES OPEN!

One of the most IMPORTANT references today regarding Russia is the fact that “...the Russians are concerned about the gathering storm and anger of the 60 MILLION MOSLEMS within Russia who are on the march to uprising against the Middle East attack by the United States.” It will take more than walking gently and carrying a big stick, America, when you add 60 million upset Moslems to the Millions and Millions of upset Moslems elsewhere and all the Millions of Islamics in the world. Then, you had better really watch the Chinese for—even though they claim communism as politics—they are Buddha followers for the most part and Buddha taught Christian commandments. This event is getting large indeed, and out of control, World!

You will have far more dramatics regarding the ground war or the propaganda won’t be worth anything. I suggest you listen to your media “authorities” as they come forth on TV to “discuss the outlay of news”. There is no earthly way you can tell a thing about the news outlay except that you CAN’T BELIEVE A WORD OF IT. The head of CNN has said that what is produced on the news is to simply get instant “coded messages” to one another and the REAL negotiations go on behind the scenes in absolute secrecy while allowing “leaks” as intended to distract. Do you know why you continue to watch the lies and believe them? Because you actually don’t believe a thing anyone tells you so you select that which pleases your own opinion—no more and no less. You simply, as does the UN councils and national leaders, parrot whatever is said, even unto the same terminology as handed out in the scripting prior to any “official statements”.

Next—look at your President Bush today. He is dressed in black military-appearing garb and is carrying a very big stick during his “POWER WALK” as the press called it. This follows a day in Church wherein a citizen objects to Bush’s massacre and the man is hauled out by police and arrested and charged with public disturbance. The man has a child in Saudi Arabia and is against the killing of innocent citizens—AND YOU ARREST HIM! YOU ARREST THE MAN WHO BELONGS TO THE GROUP, FOR SPEAKING OUT, AND ALLOW THE ONE WHO COMMITS GENOCIDE AND GLOBAL ANNIHILATION TO GO IN PROTECTION.

You had better further note that this “elderly man Bush” is acting in advance of an athlete in Olympic competition—he can out-jog any of his secret service men and at a “walk” can out-run all of the press. THIS IS THE STRATEGIC CLUE TO IDENTIFY A REPRODUCTION IN EFFORT TO HAVE HIM APPEAR SUPER-HUMAN. THE LIES ARE SO GREAT AND THE DECEPTIONS SO INTENSE AND SO GREAT THAT IT IS INDEED DIFFICULT TO SEE TRUTH THROUGH THE BARRAGE OF BLOODSTAINED DRAPERY.

Let us please return to the Journal in penning.
CONTINUATION OF
FREEDMAN’S LETTER TO GOLDSTEIN

Yes, you will note that the names are of Judean lineage—you will also note that almost all of the ones who speak on your TV and in the press are of “Jewish” labels. If you miss that point, then you have missed everything. It will be you of Judean roots who will put a stop to the insanity of the Zionists or you will be trampled into the earth by their iron boots. This is, of course, not easy for the lies have been so massive and you of the heritage of the “holy lands” have sought so long and diligently for your roots. Yes, it shall be you of the real Judean roots who will bring this to a halt or it shall not be stopped. For you know the truth of what is being laid bare herein.

[QUOTING CONTINUED:]

You will agree, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that Meir ben Samuel knew what he was doing. The wording of that altered version of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer makes the recital of the prayer a release during the coming year from any obligation to respect any oath, vow or pledge made during the coming year. Like any one-year license obtained from the Federal, State or Municipal governments, the altered version of the “Kol Nidre” prayer is also a “license” for one year only. “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer extends immunity in advance for one year from all obligations to observe the terms of oaths, vows and pledges made in the year following the date of the Day of Atonement when the prayer was recited. Each year however it becomes necessary to renew this “license” which automatically revokes in advance any oath, vow or pledge made during the next twelve months, by again appearing in a synagogue on the next Day of Atonement and reciting the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer again. Do you approve of this?

The passage in the Talmud referring to “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer certifies to several serious situations. It certifies that “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer was added as a prologue to the Day of Atonement religious services long after the completion of the Talmud between 500 A.D.-1000 A.D. by the statement, “as part of the ritual is later than the Talmud”. It confirms that Meir ben Samuel who authored the present altered version of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer lived in the 11th century. Furthermore, the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe believed it served their purpose better to keep secret from their Christian conquerors their attitude on oaths, vows and pledges, “the law of revocation in advance was not made public.”

Without a complete and accurate knowledge of the origin and history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe, my dear Dr. Goldstein, it is quite impossible for yourself or for anybody to intelligently understand the harmful influence the Talmud has exerted for ten centuries, and the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer for seven centuries upon the course of world history. These two little known factors are the hub and the spokes of the “big wheel” rolling merrily along the road to complete world domination in the not distant future, without arousing suspicion, and wearing the innocent disguise of an alleged religious belief as their only defense mechanism. This insidious intrigue creates a most effective camouflage for the conspirators. The virility of their plot presents a problem in the defense of the political, economic, social and cultural ideologies developed under a Christian civilization.
You will probably also be as astonished as the 150,000,000 Christians years ago when I electrified the nation with the first publication by me of the facts disclosed by my many years of research into the origin and the history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe. My many years of intensive research established *beyond any question of any doubt, contrary to the generally accepted belief held by Christians, that the SO-CALLED OR SELF-STYLED “JEWS” IN EASTERN EUROPE AT ANY TIME IN THEIR HISTORY IN EASTERN EUROPE WERE NEVER THE LEGENDARY “LOST TEN TRIBES” OF BIBLE LORE. THAT HISTORIC FACT IS INCONTROVERTIBLE.*

[H: Please note that the above said “lost ten tribes”. This is why in referring to the Khazars (imitation Jews) we do refer to them as the Thirteenth—simply, furthermore, as designation and identification to separate them from the accepted myths. Remember, as we unfold this information, we are limited to that which is in your capability of receiving in understanding and the only means we have in printed or spoken material is through use of your own concepts and terminology. This is the mastery of the deceiver—to change meanings of terms and give you “readings” in secret authority and you know not the difference. YOU MUST LEARN THE DIFFERENCE, BROTHERS FOR YOU HAVE ALLOWED YOURSELVES TO BE VICTIMS UNTO YOUR VERY DOOM.]

Relentless research established as equally true that the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe at no time in their history could be correctly regarded as the direct lineal descendants of the legendary “lost ten tribes” of *Bible* lore. The so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe in modern history cannot legitimately point to a single ancient ancestor who ever set even a foot on the soil of Palestine in the era of *Bible* history. Research also revealed that the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe were never “Semites”, are not “Semites” now, nor can they ever be regarded as “Semites” at any future time by any stretch of the imagination. Exhaustive research also irrevocably rejects as a fantastic fabrication the generally accepted belief by Christians that the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe are the legendary “Chosen People” so very vocally publicized by the Christian clergy from their pulpits.

Maybe you can explain to me, my dear Dr. Goldstein, the reason why and just how the origin and the history of the Khazars and Khazar Kingdom was so well concealed from the world for so many centuries? What secret mysterious power has been able for countless generations to keep the origin and the history of the Khazars and Khazar Kingdom out of history text-books and out of class-room courses in history throughout the world? The origin and history of the Khazars and Khazar Kingdom are certainly incontestible historic facts. These incontestible historic facts also establish beyond any question of doubt the origin and history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe. The relationship to the origin and early history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe was one of history’s best kept secrets until wide publicity was given in recent years on the subject. Do you not think, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that it is time the whole subject was dragged out of its hiding place?

In the year 1948 in the Pentagon in Washington I addressed a large assembly of the highest ranking officers of the United States Army principally in the G2 branch of Military Intelligence on the highly explosive geopolitical situation in eastern Europe and the Middle East. Then as now that area of the world was a potential threat to the peace of the world and to the security of this nation. I explained to them fully the origin of the Khazars and Khazar Kingdom. I felt then as I feel now that without a clear and comprehen-
sive knowledge of that subject it is not possible to understand or to evaluate properly what has been taking place in the world since 1917, the year of the Bolshevik revolution in Russia. It is the “key” to that problem.

Upon the conclusion of my talk a very alert Lieutenant Colonel present at the meeting informed me that he was the head of the history department of one of the largest and highest scholastic rated institutions of higher education in the United States. He had taught history there for 16 years. He had recently been called back to Washington for further military service. To my astonishment he informed me that he had never in all his career as a history teacher or otherwise heard the word “Khazar” before he heard me mention it there. That must give you some idea, my dear Dr. Goldstein, of how successful that mysterious secret power was with their plot to “black out” the origin and the history of the Khazars and Khazar Kingdom in order to conceal from the world and particularly Christians the true origin and history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe.

The Russian conquest in the 10th-13th centuries of the little-known-to-history Khazars apparently ended the existence for all time of the little-known-to-history 800,000 square mile sovereign kingdom of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe, known then as the Khazar Kingdom. Historians and theologians now agree that this political development was the reason for the “IMPORTANT CHANGE IN THE WORDING OF THE' KOLNIDRE'” by Meir ben Samuel in the 11th century, and for the policy adopted by the so-called or self-styled “Jews” that “THE LAW OF REVOCATION IN ADVANCE WAS NOT MADE PUBLIC”. Will you be patient with me while I review here as briefly as I can the history of that political emergence and disappearance of a nation from the pages of history?

Prior to the 10th century the Khazar Kingdom had already been reduced by Russian conquests to an area of about 800,000 square miles. (See enclosed copy of map [on next page].) As you will observe on this map reproduced from the Jewish Encyclopedia the territory of the Khazar Kingdom in the 10th century was still by far the largest of any nation in Europe. The population of the Khazar Kingdom was made up for the most part of Khazars with the addition of the remnants of the populations of the 25 peaceful agricultural nations which had inhabited this approximate 1,000,000 square miles before their conquest by the invading Khazars. In the 1st century B.C. the Khazars had invaded eastern Europe from their homeland in Asia. The Khazars invaded eastern Europe via the land route between the north end of the Caspian Sea and the south end of the Ural Mountains. (see map)

The Khazars were not “Semites”. They were an Asiatic Mongoloid nation. They are classified by modern anthropologists as Turco-Finn racially. From time immemorial the homeland of the Khazars was in the heart of Asia. They were a very warlike nation. The Khazars were driven out of Asia finally by the nations in Asia with whom they were continually at war. The Khazars invaded eastern Europe to escape further
defeats in Asia. The very warlike Khazars did not find it difficult to subdue and conquer the 25 peaceful agricultural nations occupying approximately 1,000,000 square miles in eastern Europe. In a comparatively short period the Khazars established the largest and most powerful kingdom in Europe, and probably the wealthiest also.

The Khazars were a pagan nation when they invaded eastern Europe. Their religious worship was a mixture of phallic worship and other forms of idolatrous worship practiced in Asia by pagan nations. This form of worship continued until the 7th century. The vile forms of sexual excesses indulged in by the Khazars as their form of religious worship produced a degree of moral degeneracy the Khazar’s king could not endure. In the 7th century King Bulan, ruler at that time of the Khazar Kingdom, decided to abolish the practice of phallic worship and other forms of idolatrous worship and make one of the three monotheistic religions, about which he knew very little, the new state religion. After a historic session with representatives of the three monotheistic religions King Bulan decided against Christianity and Islam and selected as the future state religion of the Khazar Kingdom the religious worship then known as “Talmudism”, and now known and practiced as “Judaism”. This event is well documented in history.

King Bulan and his 4000 feudal nobles were promptly converted by rabbis imported from Babylonia for the event. Phallic worship and other forms of idolatry were thereafter forbidden. The Khazar kings invited large numbers of rabbis to come and open synagogues and schools to instruct the population in the new form of religious worship. It was now the state religion. The converted Khazars were the first population of so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe. So-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe after the conversion of the Khazars are the descendants of the Khazars converted to “Talmudism”, or as it is now known “Judaism”, by the 7th century mass conversion of the Khazar population.

After the conversion of King Bulan none but a so-called or self-styled “Jew” could occupy the Khazar throne. The Khazar Kingdom became a virtual theocracy. The religious leaders were the civil administrators also. The religious leaders imposed the teachings of the Talmud upon the population as their guide to living. The ideologies of the Talmud became the axis of political, cultural, economic and social attitudes and activities throughout the Khazar kingdom. The Talmud provided civil and religious law.

It might be very interesting for you, my dear Dr. Goldstein, if you have the patience, to allow me to quote for you here from Volume IV, pages 1 to 5, of the Jewish Encyclopedia. The Jewish Encyclopedia refers to the Khazars as “Chazars”. The two spellings are optional according to the best authorities. The two are pronounced alike. Either Khazar or “Chazar” is pronounced like the first syllable of “costume” with the word “Czar” added onto it. It is correctly pronounced “cos(tume)Czar. The Jewish Encyclopedia has five pages on the Khazars but I will skip through them:

“CHAZARS: A people of Turkish origin whose life and history are interwoven with THE VERY BEGINNINGS OF THE HISTORY OF THE JEWS OF RUSSIA...driven on by the nomadic tribes of the steppes and by THEIR OWN DESIRE FOR PLUNDER AND REVENGE...In the second half of the sixth century the Chazars moved westward...The kingdom of the Chazars was firmly established in MOST OF SOUTH RUSSIA LONG BEFORE THE FOUNDATION OF THE RUSSIAN MONARCHY BY THE VARANGIANS (855)...At this time the kingdom of the Chazars moved westward...The kingdom of the Chazars stood at the height of its power AND WAS CONSTANTLY AT WAR...At the end of the eighth century...the chagan (king) of the Chazars and his grandees, TOGETHER WITH A
A LARGE NUMBER OF HIS HEATHEN PEOPLE, EMBRACED THE JEWISH RELIGION. . .The Jewish population in the entire domain of the Chazars, in the period between the seventh and tenth centuries, MUST HAVE BEEN CONSIDERABLE. . .about the NINTH CENTURY, IT APPEARS AS IF ALL THE CHAZARS WERE JEWS AND THAT THEY HAD BEEN CONVERTED TO JUDAISM ONLY A SHORT TIME BEFORE. . .It was one of the successors of Bulan named Obadiah, who regenerated the kingdom and STRENGTHENED THE JEWISH RELIGION. He invited Jewish scholars to settle in his dominions, and founded SYNAGOGUES AND SCHOOLS. The people were instructed in the Bible, Mishnah, and the TALMUD and in the ‘divine service of the hazzanim’ . . . In their writings the CHAZARS USED THE HEBREW LETTERS . . . THE CHAZAR LANGUAGES PREDOMINATED.

Obadiah was succeeded by his son Hezekiah; the latter by his son Manasseh; Manasseh by Hanukkah, a brother of Obadiah; Hanukkah by his son Isaac; Isaac by his son Moses (or Manasseh II); the latter by his son Nisi; and Nisi by his son Aaron II. King Joseph himself was a son of Aaron, AND ASCENDED THE THRONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW OF THE CHAZARS RELATING TO SUCCESSION. . . The king had twenty-five wives, all of royal blood, and sixty concubines, all famous beauties. Each one slept in a separate tent and was watched by an enuch. . . THIS SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN THE BEGINNING OF THE DOWNFALL OF THE CHAZAR KINGDOM. . . The Russian Varangians established themselves at Kiev. . . until the final conquest of the Chazars by the Russians. . . After a hard fight the Russians conquered the Chazars. . . Four years later the Russians conquered all the Chazarian territory east of the Azov. . . Many members of the Chazarian royal family emigrated to Spain. . . Some went to Hungary, BUT THE GREAT MASS OF THE PEOPLE REMAINED IN THEIR NATIVE COUNTRY."

The greatest historian on the origin and the history of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe was Professor H. Graetz, himself a so-called or self-styled “Jew”. Professor H. Graetz points out in his famous “History of the Jews” that when so-called or self-styled “Jews” in other countries hear a rumor about so-called or self-styled “Jews” in the Khazar Kingdom they believe these converted Khazars to be the “lost ten tribes”.

These rumors were no doubt responsible for the legend which grew up that Palestine was the “homeland” of the converted Khazars. On page 141 in his “History of the Jews” Professor H. Graetz states:

“The Chazars professed a coarse religion, which was combined with sensuality and lewdness. . . After Obadiah came a long series of Jewish Chagans (kings), for ACCORDING TO A FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE STATE ONLY JEWISH RULERS WERE PERMITTED TO ASCEND THE THRONE. . . For some time THE JEWS OF OTHER COUNTRIES HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONVERSION OF THIS POWERFUL KINGDOM TO JUDAISM, and when at last a vague rumor to this effect reached them, THEY WERE OF THE OPINION THAT CHAZARIA WAS PEOPLED BY THE REMNANT OF THE FORMER TEN TRIBES.”

When the Khazars in the 1st century B.C. invaded eastern Europe their mother-tongue was an Asiatic language, referred to in the Jewish Encyclopedia as the “Khazar languages”. They were primitive Asiatic dialects without any alphabet or any written form.

When King Bulan was converted in the 7th century he decreed that the Hebrew characters he saw in the Talmud and other Hebrew documents was thereafter to become the alphabet for the Khazar language.
The Hebrew characters were adapted to the phonetics of the spoken Khazar language in order to provide a means for providing a written record of their speech. The adoption of the Hebrew characters had no racial, political or religious implication.

The western European uncivilized nations which had no alphabet for their spoken language adopted the alphabet of the Latin language under comparable circumstances. With the invasion of western Europe by the Romans the civilization and the culture of the Romans was introduced into these uncivilized areas.

Thus the Latin alphabet was adopted for the language of the French, Spanish, English, Swedish and many other western European languages.

These languages were completely foreign to each other yet they all used the same alphabet. The Romans brought their alphabet with their culture to these uncivilized nations exactly like the rabbis brought the Hebrew alphabet from Babylonia to the Khazars when they introduced writing to them in the form of the Talmud’s alphabet.

Since the conquest of the Khazars by the Russians and the disappearance of the Khazar Kingdom the language of the Khazars is known as Yiddish.

For about six centuries the so-called or self-styled “Jews” of eastern Europe have referred to themselves while still resident in their native eastern European countries as “Yiddish” by nationality. They identified themselves as “Yiddish” rather than as Russian, Polish, Galician, Lithuanian, Rumanian, Hungarian or by the nation of which they were citizens. They also referred to the common language they all spoke as “Yiddish” also. There are today in New York City as you know, my dear Dr. Goldstein, many “Yiddish” newspapers, “Yiddish” theatres, and many other cultural organization of so-called or self-styled “Jews” from eastern Europe which are identified publicly by the word “Yiddish” in their title.

[END OF QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]

Dharma, allow us a rest please. We will take up with the “Yiddish” language when we return so please mark the Journal.

2/18/91 #3 HATONN

TODAY’S WATCH

Hatonn present in the light of Holy God, in service. We shall understand frustrations and denial, chelas, for how can Man know that which has been denied his eyes and ears? Further, we shall keep the humor in all circumstance for it helps lend balance to a most unbalanced experience.

I shall respond to the young man in Saudi Arabia at a later time for I must continue with our work at hand. In all instances you ones must look at the truth of words as they come forth; i.e. “I’ve skimmed through your articles…” How can anyone come to any valid conclusions regarding anything by skimming, things totally out of context? But the great point we must have finally made is he says, “…and have come to the
conclusion that you are from another planet.” This is the first person who has acknowledged that point so vehemently. I am honored, son, since I am the Commander of a Pleiadian vessel called the Phoenix.

My suggestion, however, is to allow that to cause you no discomfort for you will be given to KNOW quite soon now exactly how things ARE.

Now, as the young man burning the Journal Expresses to warm himself at night and complaining about using up his matches and lighters—so be it. Man burned the holy books, Newton’s works and even burned up the people who brought the Truth—IT CHANGED NOT ONE IOTA OF THE TRUTH. Did the burning at the stake, Bruno, who pronounced and proved the Earth was not the center of the Universe, in conjunction with Copernicus, make the Truth less valid? Did the destruction of the works and men who proved the world to be round make the world less round?

There is however, one point of troubling information in the material, the young man says he majored in Criminal Law, etc. His level of “education” however, indicates that there is even more wrong with your judicial system than even I would outline.

I am also very relieved for you nice Americans that this young man is in Saudi Arabia “defending America and all it stands for?” What does it stand for, son? Further, do you defend a group of citizens by going thousands of miles away to re-seat a monarch with 80 wives while your own home and nation sits naked to any who wish to attack? How is it that it has come to the point where if you object to the war in principle that you “do not support the troops”! Is it not possible to support the troops and the nation and that for which it stands and still object to a distant war and murder? If I be brainwashed in this matter, I believe that I shall resort to staying cleansed for I care not for the blood of anyone upon my soul. At least fifty children under the age of five-years died in one bombing in Baghdad of a citizen shelter which is now admitted by the allies to have been non-military. Is this what you are defending? So be it for I believe this young man might well be as misinformed as the majority of all ones on your globe.

This young man also said that God should decide and is the only one who knows what is to happen in these ending days! Ah, would ye not, then, think it possible God is sending his emissaries to assist HIS wondrous people from the “damnation of Hell” where the young man has judged me to be placed—without trial even by his “criminal justice system”. Let me remind you of some most important data: “For God so loved the world that He sent His Son unto you—and ye crucified Him” and when He ascended unto The Father He said He would go forth and prepare a place for YOU—and HE has done so! Perhaps ones should not burn the documents for in the ending the truth might have served better than the watching of a glowing ember or fragment of heat—but IT MOST CERTAINLY IS ONE SURE WAY NOT TO HAVE TO SEE TRUTH—JUST BURN IT! SO BE IT! My committed task it to get the truth to you—YOU MAY DO ANYTHING YOU WISH WITH IT! I remain grateful that you have been touched by the words to the extent of bothering to respond—much the less with five pages of written material—that indicates to me that you were touched far more deeply by the “skimming” than thine words project! Life is a most wondrous gift to be not sure WHY ye lay it down! Do ye lay it down for your Mother and lover who weeps for you? Or do you lay it down for a man who takes his “POWER” walks with the big stick each morning and treats your blood as but nothing but a number in his “acceptable losses”? Ponder it, ye readers—most carefully indeed for if this madness be stopped—it shall be ye who do of it!
Now, as to my stupidity and not admitting ever being “wrong”, I would suggest that I certainly am first to admit incorrect perceptions—i.e., I thought YOU, SIR were mature, educated and humanitarian enough to understand efforts of love and compassion—I am obviously “wrong” for ye have outgrown any need of further education or insight to possible misconceptions or historical information. I was not aware that the school-systems of this day so thoroughly covered historical and geographical subject materials so extensively that none need further input. Let me assure you, son, that it is the brilliant and educated man who will garner all possible information from whatever comes into his searching hands for he knows he cannot know it all—he does not burn it upon the sands of some desert of which he knew not the location prior to his participation in the “war to defend America”.

[QUOTING CONTINUED:]

“YIDDISH”

Before it became known as the “Yiddish” language, the mother-tongue of the Khazars added many words to its limited ancient vocabulary as necessity required. These words were acquired from the languages of its neighboring nations with whom they had political, social or economic relations. Languages of all nations add to their vocabularies in the same way. The Khazars adapted words to their requirements from the German, the Slavonic and the Baltic languages. The Khazars adopted a great number of words from the German languages. The Germans had a much more advanced civilization than their Khazar neighbors and the Khazars sent their children to German schools and universities.

The “Yiddish” language is not a German dialect. Many people are led to believe so because “Yiddish” has borrowed so many words from the German language. If “Yiddish” is a German dialect acquired from the Germans then what language did the Khazars speak for the 1000 years they existed in eastern Europe before they acquired culture from the Germans? The Khazars must have spoken some language when they invaded eastern Europe. What was that language? When did they discard it? How did the entire Khazar population discard one language and adopt another all of a sudden? The idea is too absurd to discuss. “Yiddish” is the modern name for the ancient mother-tongue of the Khazars with added German, Slavonic and Baltic adopted and adapted numerous words.

“Yiddish” must not be confused with “Hebrew” because they both use the same characters as their alphabets. There is not one word of “Yiddish” in ancient “Hebrew” nor is there one word of ancient “Hebrew” in “Yiddish”. As I stated before, they are as totally different as Swedish and Spanish which both likewise use the same Latin characters for their alphabets. The “Yiddish” language is the cultural common denominator for all the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in or from eastern Europe. To the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in and from eastern Europe “Yiddish” serves them like the English language serves the populations of the 48 states of the United States. Their cultural common denominator throughout the 48 states is the English language, or wherever they may emigrate and resettle. The English language is the tie which binds them to each other. It is the same with the “Yiddish” language and so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world.

“Yiddish” serves another very useful purpose for so-called or self-styled “Jews” throughout the world.
They possess in “Yiddish” what no other national, racial or religious group can claim. Approximately 90% of the world’s so-called or self-styled “Jews” living in 42 different countries of the world today are either emigrants from eastern Europe, or their parents emigrated from eastern Europe. “Yiddish” is a language common to all of them as their first or second language according to where they were born. It is an “international” language to them. Regardless of what country in the world they may settle in they will always find co-religionists who also speak “Yiddish”. “Yiddish” enjoys other international advantages too obvious to describe here. “Yiddish” is the modern language of a nation which has lost its existence as a nation. “Yiddish” never had a religious implication, although using Hebrew characters for its alphabet. It must not be confused with words like “Jewish”. But it is very much.

Directly north of the Khazar Kingdom at the height of its power a small Slavic state was organized in 820 A.D. on the south shore of the Gulf of Finland where it flows into the Baltic Sea. This small state was organized by a small group of Varangians from the Scandinavian peninsula on the opposite shore of the Baltic Sea. The native population of this newly formed state consisted of nomad Slavs who had made their home in this area from earliest recorded history. This infant nation was even smaller than our state of Delaware. This newly-born state however was the embryo which developed into the great Russian Empire. In less than 1000 years since 820 A.D. this synthetic nation expanded its borders by ceaseless conquests until it now includes more than 9,500,000 square miles in Europe and Asia, or more than three times the area of continental United States, and they have not stopped.

During the 10th, 11th, 12th and 13th centuries the rapidly expanding Russian nation gradually swallowed up the Khazar kingdom, its neighbor directly to the south. The conquest of the Khazar Kingdom by the Russians supplies history with the explanation for the presence after the 13th century of the large number of so-called or self-styled “Jews” in Russia. The large number of so-called or self-styled “Jews” in Russia and in eastern Europe after the destruction of the Khazar Kingdom were thereafter no longer known as Khazars but as the “Yiddish” populations of these many countries. They so refer to themselves today.

In the many wars with her neighbors in Europe after the 13th century Russia was required to cede to her victors large areas which were originally part of the Khazar Kingdom. In this manner Poland, Lithuania, Galicia, Hungary, Rumania, and Austria acquired from Russia territory originally a part of the Khazar Kingdom. Together with this territory these nations acquired a segment of the population of so-called or self-styled “Jews” descended from the Khazars who once occupied the territory. These frequent boundary changes by the nations in eastern Europe explains the presence today of the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in all these countries who all trace their ancestry back to the converted Khazars. Their common language, their common culture, their common religion, and their common racial characteristics classify them all beyond any question of doubt with the Khazars who invaded eastern Europe in the 1st century B.C. and were converted to “Talmudism” in the 7th century.

[H: Interruption for comment. I am asked why these people are continually referred to by Freedman, and me for that matter, as “so-called” or “self-styled”? Because, dear ones, that is how they refer to themselves—”self-styled” for they practice none of the original Judean practices but totally follow the Talmud.]
King Bulan and the Khazar nations in the 7th century accomplished for “Talmudism”, or for “Judaism” as “Talmudism” is called today, what the conversion of Constantine and the western European nations accomplished for Christianity. Christianity was a small comparatively unimportant religious belief practiced principally in the eastern Mediterranean area until the conversion to the Christian faith of the large populations of the western European pagan nations after the conversion of Constantine. “Talmudism”, or “Judaism” as “Talmudism” is known today, was given its greatest stimulus in all its history with the conversion of the large pagan Khazar population in the 7th century. Without the conversion of the Khazar population it is doubtful if “Talmudism”, or “Judaism” as “Talmudism” is known today, could have survived. “Talmudism”, the civil and religious code of the Pharisees, most likely would have passed out of existence like the many other creeds and cults practiced by the peoples in that area before, during and after “Pharisaism” assumed its prominent position among these creeds and cults in the time of Jesus. “Talmudism”, as “Pharisaism” was called later, would have disappeared with all its contemporary creeds and cults but for the conversion of the Khazars to “Talmudism” in the 7th century. At that time “Talmudism” was well on its way towards complete oblivion.

In the year 986 A.D. the ruler of Russia, Vladimir III, became a convert to the Christian faith in order to marry a Catholic Slavonic princess of a neighboring sovereign state. The marriage was otherwise impossible. Vladimir III thereupon also made his newly-acquired Christian faith the state religion of Russia replacing the pagan worship formerly practiced in Russia since it was founded in 820 A.D. Vladimir III and his successors as the rulers of Russia attempted in vain to convert his so-called or self-styled “Jews”, now Russian subjects, to Russia’s Christian state religion, and to adopt the customs and culture of the numerically predominant Russian Christian population. The so-called or self-styled “Jews” in Russia refused and resisted this plan vigorously. They refused to adopt the Russian alphabet in place of the Hebrew characters used in writing their “Yiddish” language. They resisted the substitution of the Russian language for “Yiddish” as their mother-tongue. They opposed every attempt to bring about the complete assimilation of the former sovereign Khazar nation into the Russian nation. They resisted with every means at their disposal. The many forms of tension which resulted produced situations described by history as “massacres”, “pogroms”, “persecution”, discrimination, etc.

In Russia at that period in history it was the custom as in other Christian countries in Europe at that time to take an oath, vow or pledge of loyalty to the rulers, the nobles, the feudal landholders and others in the name of Jesus Christ. It was after that conquest of the Khazars by the Russians that the wording of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer was altered. The new altered version of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer is referred to in the Talmud as “the law of revocation in advance”. The “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer was regarded as a “law”. The effect of this “LAW OF REVOCA

TION IN ADVANCE” obtained for all who recited it each year on the eve of the Day of Atonement divine dispensation from all obligations acquired under “oaths, vows and pledges” to be made or taken in the COMING YEAR. The recital of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer on the eve of the Day of Atonement released those so-called or self-styled “Jews” from any obligation under “oaths, vows or pledges” entered into during the NEXT TWELVE MONTHS. The “oaths, vows and pledges” made or taken by so-called or self-styled “Jews” were made or taken “with tongue in cheek”, for twelve months.

[H: Now I am barraged with, “...well, what about the Christians—were they so perfect?” No indeed—they certainly were NOT and now have taken up the slop-over of the “Talmudic” teachings and are calling it “New Teachings for a Modern Society”. However, my scribe can only
type so fast and we can only do one thing at a time in your time and space limitations. Further, it does no good to say, “Go read the history books and save us this work,” for you won’t do so—you even “skim” that which we give you and pronounce judgment with practically no study whatsoever. We have to take it according to the blueprint of God’s sequence projections—therefore, NOW, at this sitting we are speaking of Khazar Talmudists—now calling themselves Zionists!

The altered version of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer created serious difficulties for the so-called or self-styled “Jews” when its wording became public property. It apparently did not remain a secret very long, although the Talmud states “the law of revocation in advance was not made public”. The altered version of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer soon became known as the “Jews Vow” and cast serious doubt upon “oaths, vows or pledges” given to Christians (or anyone else) by so-called or self-styled “Jews”. Christians soon believed that “oaths, vows or pledges” were quite worthless when given by so-called or self-styled “Jews”. This was the basis for so-called “discrimination” by governments, nobles, feudal landholders, and others who required oaths of allegiance and loyalty from those who entered their service.

An intelligent attempt was made to correct this situation by a group of German rabbis in 1844. In that year they called an international conference of rabbis in Brunswick, Germany. They attempted to have the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer completely eliminated from the Day of Atonement ceremonies, and entirely abolished from any religious service of their faith. They felt that this secular prologue to the Day of Atonement ceremonies was void of any spiritual implication and did not belong in any synagogue ritual. However the preponderant majority of the rabbis attending that conference in Brunswick came from eastern Europe. They insisted that the altered version of the “Kol Nidre” (All Vows) prayer be retained exactly as it was then recited on the Day of Atonement. They demanded that it be allowed to remain as it had been recited in eastern Europe since the change by Meir ben Samuel six centuries earlier. It is today recited in exactly that form throughout the world by so-called or self-styled “Jews”. Will the 150,000,000 Christians in the United States react any differently when they become more aware of its insidious implications?

How genuine can the implications, inferences and innuendoes of the so-called “brotherhood” and “interfaith” movements be under these circumstances? These so-called movements are sweeping the nation like prairie fires. If the Talmud is the axis of the political, economic, cultural and social attitudes and activities of so-called or self-styled “Jews” participating in these two so-called movements, how genuine are the “oaths, vows or pledges” taken or given in connection with these so-called movements by so-called or self-styled “Jews”? It would be a superlative gesture of “brotherhood” or of “interfaith” if the National Conference of Christians and Jews succeeded in expunging from the Talmud all anti-Christ, anti-Christian, and anti-Christianity passages. At a cost of many millions of dollars the National Conference of Christians and Jews succeeded in expunging from the New Testament passages which so-called or self-styled “Jews” regarded as offensive to their faith. A great portion of the cost was supplied by so-called or self-styled “Jews”. Christians might now supply funds to expunge from the Talmud passages offensive to the Christian faith. Otherwise the so-called “brotherhood” and “interfaith” movements are merely mockeries.

[END QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]
humanity you face when you march off on this ground war in the Middle East and if the Islamic and Moslem world rise against you. You see, what you don’t understand is that the “Christ” teachings are a way of life—not A MAN. Christ is a “state of being”, not a Man’s name. Whether or not the practice of “religion” of the Isams and Moslems is valid or invalid makes no difference at all—you have “modernized” your “Christianity” to suit the Satanic input by “voting in” all sorts of forbidden practices against the commandments as given forth—and naming it “modernization”. The old world in the Middle East has not and they will rise up against you in the perception that YOU are the anti-christ Satan. Right or wrong will cease to have any meaning as the blood begins to flow for there will be no sitting to a table to disagree and produce documents to debate the point. Those ones in the Middle East of those stoic religions, right or wrong, KNOW THAT FOR WHICH THEY STAND—HOW MANY OF YOU KNOW ABSOLUTELY THAT FOR WHICH YOU STAND AND LAY DOWN FORFEIT THE LIVES OF YOUR CHILDREN? Tell me that for which you stand and I will show you that it is not that which is taking place in Saudi Arabia this day! Salu.

2/18/91 #4 HATONN

MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

[QUOTING CONTINUED:]

The National Conference of Christians and Jews might look into the millions of dollars being invested today by so-called or self-styled “Jews” to insure that the Talmud shall remain the axis of political, economic, cultural and social attitudes and activities of so-called or self-styled “Jews” today, and future generations. Violating the basic principle of “brotherhood” and “Interfaith” so-called or self-styled “Jews” are spending millions of dollars each year to establish and equip quarters where the teachings of the Talmud can be indoctrinated into the minds of children from the time they are able to read and write. These few news items were selected from hundreds like them which are appearing daily in newspapers clear across the nation:

“Two new Jewish Centers, built at a cost of $300,000. will be opened to a 1000 students for daily and Sunday school activities next month, it was announced today by the Associated Talmud Torahs.” (Chicago Herald-Tribune, 8/19/50.)

“The Yeshiva School Department now provides daytime an approved English-Hebrew curriculum for grades 1 to 5 (aged 5 1/2 to 10). The afternoon Talmud Torah has opened a new beginner’s class and is accepting enrollment of advanced as well as beginner students.” (Jewish Voice, 9/18/53)

“RABBI TO TALK ON TALMUD TO SHOLEM MEN. Dr. David Graubert presiding rabbi of Bet Din, and professor of rabbinical literature at the College of Jewish Studies, will present the first of his series of four lectures, `The World of the Talmud’. (Chicago Tribune, 10/29/53.)

“MARYLAND GRANTS DEGREE IN TALMUD. Baltimore, (JTA). New Israel Rabbinical College has been granted here authority by the Maryland State Board of Education to issue degrees of Master of Talmudic Law and Doctor of Talmudic Law.” (Jewish Voice, 1/9/53.)
“TALMUD LESSONS ON AIR FROM JERUSALEM. Weekly radio lectures on the Talmud, in English, will be available shortly on tape recordings for local stations in the United States and Canada, it was announced today.” (California Jewish Voice, 1/11/52.)

Earlier in this letter, my dear Dr. Goldstein, you remember reading a quotation by the most eminent authority on the Talmud to the effect that “THE MODERN JEW IS A PRODUCT OF THE TALMUD. Would it surprise you to learn that many Christians also are the “PRODUCT OF THE TALMUD”. The teachings of the Talmud are accepted by Christians in the highest echelons. I will only quote one on the subject of the Talmud, the former President of the United States. In 1951 President Truman was presented with his second set of the “63 books” of the Talmud. On the occasion of this acceptance the newspapers carried the following news item:

“Mr. Truman thanked us for the books and said that he was glad to get them as `I have read many more of the ones presented four years ago than a lot of people think.’ He said that he did read a lot and that the book he read the most is the Talmud which contains much sound reasoning and good philosophy of life.”

Former President Truman says he benefits by “much sound reasoning” and his brand of “good philosophy of life” which absorbs from the “book that he reads the most.” His recent term in office reflected his study of the Talmud. No one familiar with the Talmud will deny that. But does our former President Truman know that Jesus did not feel the way he feels about the Talmud? The “much sound reasoning” and the “good philosophy of life” in the Talmud were constantly and consistently denounced by Jesus in no uncertain terms. Former President Truman should refresh his memory by reading the New Testament passages where Jesus expresses Himself on the question of the Pharisees and their Talmud. Will Mr. Truman state that in his opinion the Talmud was the “sort of book” from which Jesus “drew the teachings which enabled him to revolutionize the world” on “moral and religious subjects”?

Before leaving the Talmud as my subject I would like to refer to the most authentic analysis of the Talmud which has ever been written. You should obtain a copy of it and read it. You will be amply rewarded for your trouble in finding a copy of it, I can doubly assure you. The name of the book is “The Talmud”. It was written almost a century ago in French by Arsene Darmesteter. In 1897 it was translated into English by the celebrated Henrietta Szold and published by the Jewish Publication Society of America in Philadelphia. Henrietta Szold was an outstanding educator and Zionist and one of the most notable and admirable so-called or self-styled “Jews” of this century. Henrietta Szold’s translation of Arsene Darmesteter’s “The Talmud” is a classic. You will never understand the Talmud until you have read it. I will quote from it sparingly:

“Now Judaism finds its expression in the Talmud, which is not a remote suggestion and a faint echo thereof, but in which it has become incarnate, in which it has taken form, passing from a state of the abstraction into the domain of real things. THE STUDY OF JUDAISM IS THAT OF THE TALMUD. AS THE STUDY OF THE TALMUD IS THAT OF JUDAISM . . . THEY ARE TWO INSEPARABLE THINGS, OR BETTER, THEY ARE ONE AND THE SAME. . . Accordingly, the Talmud is the completest expression of religious movement, and this code of endless prescriptions and minute ceremonials represents in its perfection the total work of the religious idea. . . The miracle was accomplished by a book, the Talmud. . . The Talmud, in turn is composed of two distinct parts, the Mishna and the Gemara; the former the text, the latter the commentary upon the text. . . By the term Mishna we designate A COLLEC-
TION OF DECISIONS AND TRADITIONAL LAWS, EMBRACING ALL DEPARTMENTS OF LEGISLATION, CIVIL AND RELIGIOUS...This code, which was the work of several generations of Rabbis...Nothing, indeed can equal the importance of the Talmud, unless it be the ignorance that prevails concerning it...This explains how it happens that a single page of the Talmud contains three or four different languages, or rather specimens of one language at three or four stages of degeneracy...Many a Mishna of five or six lines is accompanied by fifty or sixty pages of explanation...is Law in all its authority; it constitutes dogma and cult; it is the fundamental element of the Talmud...The DAILY STUDY OF THE TALMUD, WHICH AMONG JEWS BEGAN WITH THE AGE OF TEN TO END WITH LIFE ITSELF, necessarily was a severe gymnastic for the mind, thanks to which IT ACQUIRED INCOMPARABLE SUBTLETY AND ACUMEN...SINCE IT ASPIRES TO ONE THING: TO ESTABLISH FOR JUDAISM A ‘CORPUS JURIS ECCLESIASTICI’.”

The above quotations were culled from a treatise intended to sugar-coat the Talmud. In painting a nice word-picture of the Talmud that author could not escape mentioning the above facts also. Coming from this source under the circumstances the facts stated above do not add glory to the Talmud.

“The Talmud Unmasked, the Secret Rabbinical Teachings Concerning Christians” was written by Rev. I. B. Pranaitis, master of Theology and Professor of the Hebrew Language at the Imperial Ecclesiastical Academy of the Roman Catholic Church in Old St. Petersburg, Russia. The Rev. Pranaitis was the greatest of the students of the Talmud. His complete command of the Hebrew language qualified him to analyze the Talmud as few men in history.

The Rev. Pranaitis scrutinized the Talmud for passages referring to Jesus, Christians and the Christian faith. These passages were translated by him into Latin. Hebrew lends itself to translation into Latin better than it does directly into English. The translation of the passages of the Talmud referring to Jesus, Christians and Christian faith were printed in Latin by the Imperial Academy of Sciences in St. Petersburg in 1893 with the Imprimatur of his Archbishop. The translation from the Latin into English was made by great Latin scholars in the United States in 1939 with funds provided by wealthy Americans for that purpose.

In order not to leave any loose ends on the subject of the Talmud’s references to Jesus, to Christians and to the Christian faith I will below summarize translation into English from the Latin texts of Rev. Pranaitis’ “The Talmud Unmasked, The Secret Rabbinical Teachings Concerning Christians”. It would require too much space to quote these passages verbatim with their foot-notes from the Soncino Edition in English.

First I will summarize the references by Rev. Pranaitis referring to Jesus in the Talmud in the original texts translated by him into Latin, and from Latin into English:

*Sanhedrin*, 67a. Jesus referred to as the son of Pandira, a soldier.
*Kallah*, 1b,(18b). Illegitimate and conceived during menstruation.
*Sanhedrin*, 67a. Hanged on the eve of Passover.
*Toldath Jeschu*. Birth related in most shameful expressions.
*Abhodah Zarah*, II. Referred to as the son of Pandira, a Roman soldier.
*Schabbath* XIV. Again referred to as the son of Pandira, the Roman.
*Sanhedrin*, 43a. On the eve of Passover they hanged Jesus.
*Schabbath*, 104b. Called a fool and no one pays attention to fools.
Toldoth Jeschu. Judas and Jesus engage in quarrel with filth.
Sanhedrin, 103a. Suggested corrupts his morals and dishonors self.
Sanhedrin, 107b. Seduced, corrupted and destroyed Israel.
Zohar III, (282). Died like a beast and buried in animal’s dirt heap.
Hilkoth Melakhim. Attempt to prove Christians err in worship of Jesus.
Abhodah Zarah, 21a. Reference to worship of Jesus in homes unwanted.
Orach Chaiim, 113. Avoid appearance of paying respect to Jesus.
Iore Dea, 150,2. Do not appear to pay respect to Jesus by accident.
Abhodah Zarah (6a)). False teaching to worship on first day of Sabbath.

The above are a few selected from a very complicated arrangement in which many references are obscured by intricate reasoning. The following are a few summarized references to Christians and the Christian faith although not always expressed in exactly that manner. There are eleven names used in the Talmud for non-Talmud followers, by which Christians are meant. Besides Notsrim, from Jesus the Nazarene, Christians are called by all the names used in the Talmud to designate all non-”Jews”: Minim, Edom, Abhoday Zarah, Akum, Obhde Elilim, Nokrim, Amme Haaretz, Kuthrim, Apikorosim, and Goim. Besides supplying the names by which Christians are called in the Talmud, the passages quoted below indicate what kind of people the Talmud pictures the Christians to be, and what the Talmud says about the religious worship of the Christians.

Hilkhoth Maakhaloth. Christians are idolators, must not associate.
Abhodah Zarah (22a). Do not associate with gentiles they shed blood.
Iore Dea 153,2). Must not associate with the Christians, shed blood.
Abhodah Zarah (15b). Suggest Christians have sex relations with animals.
Abhodah Zarah (22a). Suspect Christians of intercourse with animals.
Schabbath (145B). Christians unclean because they eat accordingly.
Abhoday Zarah (22a). Christians unclean because they are not at Mount Sinai.
Iore Dea (198,48). Clean female Jews contaminated meeting Christians.
Kerithuth (6b p.78). Jews called men, Christians not called men.
Makkoth (7b). Innocent of murder if intent was to kill Christian.
Orach Chaiim (225,10). Christians and animals grouped for comparisons.
Midrasch Talpioth (225). Christians created to minister to Jews always.
Orach Chaiim, 57,6a). Christians to be pitied more than sick pigs.
Zohar II (64b). Christian idolators likened to cows and asses.
Kethuboth (110b). Psalmist compares Christians to unclean beasts.
Sanhedrin (74b)Tos. Sexual intercourse of Christian like that of beast.
Kethuboth (3b). The seed of Christian is valued as seed of beast.
Kidduschim (68a). Christians like the people of an ass.
Eben Haezar (44,8). Marriages between Christians and Jews null.
Zohar (II,64b). Christian birth rate must be diminished materially.
Zohar (1,28b). Christian idolators children of Eve’s serpent.
Zohar (1,131a). Idolatrous people (Christian) befoul the world.
Emek Hammelech (23d). Non-Jews’ souls come from death and death’s shadow.
Zohar (1,46b,47a). Souls of gentiles have unclean divine origins.
Rosch Haschanach (17a). Non-Jews souls go down to hell.
Replace dead Christians like lost cow or ass.

Jews called men, but not Christians called men.

Forbidden to sell religious works to Christians.

Christian churches are places of idolatry.

Must keep far away physically from churches.

Must not listen to church music or look at idols.

Must not rebuild homes destroyed near churches.

Jews must not resell broken chalices to Christians.

Gospels called volumes of iniquity, heretical books.

Talmudists agree that books of Christians be burned.

Festivals of Christians called days of calamity.

Christian feast days despicable, vain and evil.

Forbidden to observe Christian Christmas Day.

Forbidden to celebrate Easter and Christmas.

Jews possess dignity even an angel cannot share.

To strike Israelite like slapping face of God.

A Jew considered good in spite of sins he commits.

Jew stay away from Christian homes on holidays.

Jew must not return greeting Christian, do it first.

Jew must not sue before a Christian judge or laws.

Christian or servant cannot become witnesses.

Avoid eating with Christians, breeds familiarity.

Do not drink milk from a cow milked by Christian.

Never imitate customs of Christians, even hair-combs.

Wine touched by Christians must be thrown away.

Bought-dishes from Christians must be well washed.

For three days before Christians festivals, avoid all.

Festivals of followers of Jesus regarded as idolatry.

Avoid things used by Christians in their worship.

Forbidden to sell Christians articles for worship

Do not sell water to Christians for baptisms.

Do not trade with Christians on their feast days.

Now permitted to trade with Christians on such days.

Trade with Christians because they have money to pay.

If Christian is not devout may send him gifts.

Send gifts to Christians only if they are irreligious.

Christian wet-nurses to be avoided because dangerous.

Christian nurse will lead children to heresy.

Avoid Christian doctors not well known to neighbors.

Avoid medical help from idolators, Christians meant.

Avoid Christian barbers unless escorted by Jews.

Avoid Christian midwives as dangerous when alone.

Those who do good to Christians never rise when dead.

Help needy Christians if it will promote peace.

Hide hatred for Christians at their celebrations.
Abhodah Zarah (20a). Never praise Christians lest it be believed true.
Iore Dea (151,14). Not allowed to praise Christians to add to glory.
Hilkoth Akum (V,12). Quote Scriptures to forbid mention of Christian god.
Iore Dea (146,15). Refer to Christian religious articles with contempt.
Iore Dea (147,5). Deride Christian religious articles without wishes.
Hilkoth Akum (X,5). No gifts to Christians, gifts to converts.
Iore Dea (151,11). Gifts forbidden to Christians encourages friendship.
Iore Dea (334,43). Exile for that Jew who sells farm to Christian.
Iore Dea (154,2). Forbidden to teach a trade to a Christian.
Babha Bathra (54b). Christian property belongs to first person claiming.
Choschen Ham. (126,1). Jew may keep lost property of Christian found by Jew.
Babha Kama (113b). Do not cure Christians unless it makes enemies.
Choschen Ham. (183,7). Jews must divide what they overcharge Christians.
Choschen Ham. (156,5). Jews must not take Christian customers from Jews.
Iore Dea (157,2 H). May deceive Christians that believe Christian tenets.
Abhodah Zarah (54a). Usury may be practiced upon Christians or apostates.
Iore Dea (159,1). Usury permitted now for any reason to Christians.
Babha Kama (113a). Jew may lie and perjure to condemn a Christian.
Babha Kama (113b). Name of God not profaned when lying to Christians.
Kallah (1b,p.18). Jew may perjure himself with a clear conscience.
Schabbouth Hag. (6d). Jew may swear falsely by use of subterfuge wording.
Zohar (I,160a). Jews must always try to deceive Christians.
Iore Dea (158,1). Do not assist Christian’s childbirth on Saturday.
Choschen Ham. (425,5). Unless believes in Torah do not prevent his death.
Iore Dea (158,1). Christians not enemies must not be saved either.
Hilkoth Akum (X,1). Do not save Christians in danger of death.
Choschen Ham. (388,15). Kill those who give Israelites’money to Christians.
Sanhedrin (59a). Prying into Jews’ “Law” to get death penalty.
Hilkoth Akum (X,2). Baptized Jews are to be put to death.
Iore Dea (158,2 H). Kill renegades who turn to Christian rituals.
Choschen Ham. (425,5). Those who do not believe in Torah are to be killed.
Hilkoth tesch. III,8. Christians and others deny the “Law” of the Torah.
Zohar (I,25a). Christians are to be destroyed as idolators.
Zohar (I,219b). Princes of Christians are idolators, must die.
Obadiam. When Rome is destroyed Israel will be redeemed.
Abhodah Zarah (26b)T. “Even the best of the Goings should be killed”.
Sepher Or Israel 177b. If Jew kills Christian commits no sin.
Ialkut Simoni (245c). Shedding blood of impious offers sacrifice to God.
Zohar (II,43a). Extermination of Christians necessary sacrifice.
Zohar (I,39b,39a). High place in heaven for those who kill idolators.
Hilkoth Akum (X,1). Make no agreements and show no mercy to Christians.
Hilkoth Akum (X,1). Either turn them away from their idols or kill.
Hilkhoth Akum (X.7). Allow no idolators to remain where Jews are strong.
Choschen Ham, (338,16). All contribute to expense of killing traitor.
Pesachim (49b). No need of prayers while beheading on Sabbath.
Schabbath (118a). Prayers to save from punishment of coming Messiah.

In the Library of Congress and the New York Public Library, unless recently removed, you can find a copy of “The Talmud Unmasked, The Secret Rabbinical Teachings Concerning Christians” by the Rev. I. B. Pranaitis. A copy of the original work printed in St. Petersburg, Russia in 1892 can be made available to you by our mutual friend if you are interested in reading the above passages in the original Hebrew text with their Latin translation. I trust my summaries correctly explain the original text. I believe they do. If I am in error in any way please be so kind as to let me know. It was very difficult to reduce them to short summaries.

The National Conference of Christians and Jews need not scrutinize the “63 books” of the Talmud to discover all the anti-Christ, anti-Christian, and anti-Christian faith passages in the books which are “THE LEGAL CODE WHICH FORMS THE BASIS OF JEWISH RELIGIOUS LAW” and which is “THE TEXTBOOK USED IN THE TRAINING OF RABBIS”. They can also keep in mind that, as Rabbi Morris Kertzer also points out, as explained earlier, that “ADULTS STUDY ANCIENT WRITINGS TOO. . . IN. . . GROUP DISCUSSION OF TALMUD BEFORE EVENING PRAYER.” If the National Conference of Christians and Jews are genuinely interested in “interfaith” and “brotherhood” do you not think, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that they should compel a start at once to expunge from the Talmud the anti-Christ, anti-Christian and anti-Christianity passages from the Talmud in the “brotherly” way they expunged passages from the New Testament? Will you ask them?

Throughout the world the Oxford English Dictionary is accepted as the most authoritative and authentic source for information on the origin, definition and use of words in the English language. Authorities in all fields everywhere accept the Oxford English Dictionary as the most trustworthy fountain of enlightenment on all phases of the English language. The Oxford English Dictionary brings out clearly that “Judaist” and “Judaic” are the correct forms for the improper and incorrect misused and misleading “Jew” and “Jewish”. You will agree completely with the Oxford English Dictionary if you consider the matter carefully. “Judaist” and “Judaic” are correct. “Jew” and “Jewish” are incorrect. “Jew” and Jewish do not belong in the English language if the use of correct words is of interest to the English-speaking peoples.

The so-called or self-styled “Jews” cannot truthfully describe themselves as “Jews” because they are not in any sense “Judeans”. They can correctly identify themselves by their religious belief if they so wish by identifying themselves as “Judaists”. A “Judaist” is a person who professes so-called “Judaism” as his religious belief, according to the Oxford English Dictionary. The origin of “Jew” has not its roots in “Judaism”, as explained. The adjective form of “Judaist” is “Judaic”. “Jewish” as an adjective is just as incorrect as “Jew” is as a noun. “Jewish” has no reason to exist.

Well-planned and well-financed publicity by so-called or self-styled “Jews” in English-speaking countries in the 18th, 19th and 20th centuries created a wide acceptance and use for “Jewish”. “Jewish” is being used today in many ways that are no less fantastic and grotesque than incorrect and inaccurate. “Jewish” is used today to describe everything from “Jewish blood”, whatever that may be, to “Jewish Rye bread”, strange as that may sound. The many implications, inferences and innuendoes of “Jewish” today resulting from its commercial uses beggar description.
At the 1954 annual meeting of the St. Paul Guild in the Plaza Hotel in New York City before more than 1000 Catholics, a Roman Catholic priest who was the main speaker and the guest of honor referred to “my Jewish blood”. It just happens that this priest was born a so-called or self-styled “Jew” in eastern Europe and was converted to Catholicism there about 25 years ago. It seems unique that a priest who has professed Catholicism that length of time should mention “my Jewish blood” to Catholics. The radio blasts and the out-door signs blazon “Levy’s Jewish Rye Bread”, in the same city at the same time. Between these two extremes are countless other products and other services which advertize themselves in print, on radio and television, as “Jewish”.

This priest who talks to Catholics about “my Jewish blood” when he addresses audiences also refers to the “Jewish blood” of Mary, Holy Mother of Jesus, to the “Jewish blood” of the Apostles, and to the “Jewish blood” of the early Christians. What he means by “Jewish blood” mystifies those Catholics who hear him. They query “what is ‘Jewish blood’”? They ask what happens to “Jewish blood” when so-called or self-styled “Jews” are converted to Catholicism? And in the extreme case when a so-called or self-styled “Jew” becomes a Roman Catholic priest? How is “Jewish blood” biologically different from the blood of persons who profess other religious faiths, they ask. It is hard for me to believe that there is anything biologically different which determines characteristics typical of a specific religious belief. Are the inherent racial and national characteristics determined by religious dogma or doctrine?

The word “Jewess” raises a similar question. If “Jewess” is the female for the male “Jew” I must admit that I have been unable to find female as well as male designations for persons professing any religious belief other than so-called “Judaism”. Are there any other that you know? I have searched for the female of Catholicism, Protestantism, Hindu, Moslem, and others but without success. It seems very popular now to refer to Mary, Holy Mother of Jesus, as a “Jewess”. It does seem unrealistic to identify the sex of members of any religious belief by appropriate designations. If the word “Jew” is regarded as descriptive of a race or a nation, as is often the case, it is equally unrealistic to indicate the sex of members of a race or a nation by a suffix used for that purpose. I know of no case in that respect except “Negress”, and the Negro race strongly objects to the use of that designation, and strongly.

Another word is creating more problems among Christians. I refer to “Judeo-Christian”. You see it more and more day by day. Based on our present knowledge of history, and on good sense applied to theology, the term “Judeo-Christian” presents a strange combination. Does “Judeo” refer to ancient “Pharaism”, or to “Talmudism”, or to so-called “Judaism”? In view of what we know today, how can there be “Judeo-Christian” anything? Based upon what is now known “Judeo-Christian” is as unrealistic as it would be to say anything is “hot-cold”, or “old-young”, or “heavy-light”, or that a person was “healthy-sick”, or “poor-rich”, or “dumb-smart”, or “ignorant-educated”, or “happy-sad”. These words are antonyms, not synonyms. “Judeo-Christian” in the light of incontestible facts are also antonyms, not synonyms as so-called or self-styled “Jews” would like Christians to believe. More sand for Christian’s eyes.

An “Institute of Judeo-Christian Studies” has been established by Seton Hall University. It is actually a “one-man Institute”. Father John M. Oesterreicher is the “one-man Institute”. The “Institute of Judeo-Christian Studies” occupies a small office in a down-town office building in Newark, N.J. This “one-man Institute”, according to their literature, has no faculty except Father Oesterreicher, and no students. Father Oesterreicher was born a so-called or self-styled “Jew” and became a convert to Catholicism. I have had
the pleasure of hearing him talk on many occasions. Addresses by Father Oesterreicher and literature by mail are the principal activities of the “institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies”. Father Oesterreicher also plans to publish books and circulate them throughout the world, in large quantities.

Father Oesterreicher leaves no stones unturned to convince Catholics that “Judaeo-Christian” is a combination of two words that are synonyms theologically. Nothing could be further from the truth. Father Oesterreicher impresses that viewpoint upon his Catholic audiences. Father Oesterreicher talks to Catholic audiences only, so far as I am able to tell. In his addresses Father Oesterreicher impresses upon Catholics the opinion he personally holds on the question of the dependence of the Christian faith upon so-called “Judaism”. His audiences depart Father Oesterreicher’s addresses very much confused.

It would make better Catholics out of Father Oesterreicher’s audiences if he would “sell” Jesus and the Catholic Church rather than try to “sell” so-called “Judaism” to his audiences. Well-planned and well-financed publicity by so-called or self-styled “Jews” manages to keep Christians well informed on the subject of so-called “Judaism”. If Father Oesterreicher would concentrate upon “selling” Jesus and the Christian faith to audiences of so-called or self-styled “Jews” he would be doing more towards realizing the objective of Christian effort. The activities of this “one-man Institute” are somewhat of a deep mystery. But I am certain that Monsignor McNulty will never allow the “Institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies” to bring discredit upon the fine record of Seton Hall as one of the foremost Catholic universities anywhere. But it will bear watching, and Monsignor McNulty will always appreciate constructive comment.

The word “anti-Semitism” is another word which should be eliminated from the English language. “Anti-Semitism” serves only one purpose today. It is used as a “smear word”. When so-called or self-styled “Jews” feel that anyone opposes any of their objectives they discredit their victim by applying the word “anti-Semitic” through all the channels they have at their command and under their control I can speak with great authority on that subject. Because so-called or self-styled “Jews” were unable to disprove my public statements in 1946 with regard to the situation in Palestine, they spent millions of dollars to “smear” me as an “anti-Semite” hoping thereby to discredit me in the eyes of the public who were very much interested in what I had to say. Until 1946 I was a “little saint” to all so-called or self-styled “Jews”. When I disagreed with them publicly on the Zionist intentions in Palestine I became suddenly “anti-Semite No. 1”.

It is disgraceful to watch the Christian clergy take up the use of the word “anti-Semitism”. They should know better. They know that “anti-Semitism” is a meaningless word in the sense it is used today. They know the correct word is “Judaeophobe”. “Anti-Semitic” was developed into the “smear-word” it is today because “Semitic” is associated with Jesus in the minds of Christians. Christians are accessories in the destruction of the Christian faith by tolerating the use of the smear-word “anti-Semitic” to silence by the most intolerable forms of persecution employing that smear-word Christians who oppose and oppose the evil conspirators.

[DEND QUOTING FOR THIS SEGMENT]

Dharma, thank you for days of very diligent work and long hours at the keyboard. We can finish this subject material in the morning for you are weary and I need to be at other tasks. There is much to be monitored on your place during these critical hours. Let us pray that sanity prevails for if we have a bit more time we can bring a lot of persons into consciousness of the plight in which they have mired them-
selves through the vicious intent of the few who would be Kings. Of course, the would-be Kings know it also and that is one reason for the push into all-out war so that a confrontation is precipitated before it can be averted through diplomacy. Your troops are weary and frustrated while waiting and waiting in the desert sands and endless hours. This too, has been planned to wear them down and make them ready for anything just to get it over with and hopefully, home again. It must be obvious that “Peace” will have to be insured through military force—so, who wins?

Good night and may peace go within as we move along upon this journey. Salu.

2/19/91 #1 HATONN

TODAY’S WATCH

Let us move right on with our Journal for the best and quickest way to end this holocaust is to bring unto you ones TRUTH of who and how it is that you are in this situation. Then, if ye wish and come together again into the laws of God ye shall be delivered out of this lie. Dear ones, Satan has removed the portion of Truth from your prophecies which condemn you and you have seen it not. That is WHY no-one save the ones given to bring Truth unto you have seen it as it is—you try to decipher something as “Heavenly” from something which was written by greedy, terroristic MEN.

This is why, now, we must pull together the Truth of that which has come about in order that you can recognize of it and change of it—OR, allow it to proceed into Armageddon’s final altar of death and sacrifice—UNTOSATAN—NOT UNTO GOD. The “Sacred Clown” (Heyoka-‘Sioux’) has had his fling upon your planet and you have all allowed him to make the fool of you. If you would but awaken and come back within the Laws of God (Wakan Tanka) and rebalance that which ye have put into disarray—ye could give your wondrous species again into lighted radiance for the generations which would follow.

Do you not see that the politicians of the Zionists are inch by inch devouring your very existence? Yes, whether or not you wish to believe of it—THIS IS THAT WHICH IS THE ANTI-CHRIST COMES TO ABSORB AND DESTROY THAT WHICH HE NO LONGER NEEDS. FURTHER, THIS IS WHY KNOWING THE TRUTH OF IT WILL SET YE FREE FOR YOU WILL DEMAND THAT THE SUBTERFUGE CEASE AND THE BEAST BE REVEALED. EVIL CANNOT STAND IN THE LIGHT OF TRUTH AND THUS, HE WOULD FALL! DO YOU NOT FURTHER SEE THAT THERE IS NOTHING ‘WOWAKAN’ (SUPERNATURAL) ABOUT ANY OF THIS CIRCUMSTANCE—IT HAS BEEN THE LIARS “FROM THE BEGINNING” WHO HAVE DUPED YOU INTO GIVING UNTO THEM YOUR VERY SOULS! THUSLY YOU HAVE COME INTO SATAN’S TRAP OF THE PHYSICAL DIMENSION AND HE HAS IMPRISONED YOU TO THE PHYSICAL ASPECT OF YOUR WONDROUS JOURNEY. MAY THE GREAT SPIRIT SEE FIT TO GIVE YOU INSIGHT FOR IT MUST BE OBVIOUS THIS DAY THAT YOUR NATIONS WHICH YOU CALL HOLY ARE NOT AND YOUR LEADERS ARE BUT PUPPETS OF THE MASTERS OF DECEIT AND YOU ARE THE PAWNS! SO BE IT.

Dharma, allow us to finish the letter to Dr. Goldstein for if man cannot come into knowledge of this Truth as others have efforted to give unto him—so that NOW THERE IS PROOF IN YOUR OWN DIMENSION OF ASPECT, THEN WE MUST MOVE ON AS TO THAT WHICH THE PEOPLE OF GOD
CAN DO IN PREPARATION FOR THE REMNANT—MAN WILL HAVE FREE-WILL OF ACTION UNTO THE VERY ENDING OF THIS EXPERIENCE AND THEN WILL BE DECIDED—THE FINAL ACT OF THE PLAY.

You of human self-appointed God-judges have pronounced over the sacred people of God, the ancient ‘natives’ of your world, that they are Pagan and you must denounce them and destroy them—WHY? Because the Anti-Christ knew that if you would come into the Truth and balance as projected by those great teachers—HE WOULD BE ANNIHILATED. So you have turned from the Red Road and unto the darkness of the lies and the liar who romps down the Black Road—doing that which is only physical in experience while the Spirit withers in its confines of non-balance and disharmony. Do not think for one moment longer that there will be a lovely RAPTURE and lift-off of a planet overfilled with humans to whisk ye of darkness and ill-intent into some cozy hogan in the sky with crystal streets and rainbow lights. THOSE ARE ASSEMBLED FOR THE PEOPLE OF GOD—"THAT" IS THE PLUM OF THE “RED ROAD OF TRUTH”. YOU HAVE BEEN LIED TO AND THAT WHICH HAS BEEN WRITTEN AND VOTED INTO YOUR LAWS AND INSTRUCTION BOOKS IS EXACTLY THOSE THINGS WHICH SHALL DEPRIVE YOU OF THE WONDROUS GLORY OF THE RADIANCE OF THE SACRED PLACES. EARTH IS A PLACE OF TESTING AND EXPERIENCE IN A PHYSICAL FORM—NO MORE AND NO LESS—HAVE “YOU” PASSED THE EXAMINATION OR DO YOU FOLLOW THE EVIL LEADER WHO HAS TRICKED YOU? SO BE IT.

FREEDMAN/GOLDSTEIN—

ZIONISTS UNCOVERED

[QUOTING CONTINUED:]
unborn generations for our generation’s breach of the faith and betrayal of our trust to posterity. The sabotage of our nation’s moral standards is more incidental to the program of that inimical conspiracy than accidental in the continued march of mankind towards an easier existence. The guidance and control of this nation’s place in history has gravitated by default into the hands of those persons least worthy of the trusteeship. This notable achievement by them is their reward for their success in obtaining effective and numerous Christian “male prostitutes” to “front” for them. Too many of these efficacious Christian “male prostitutes” are scattered throughout the nation in public affairs for the security of the Christian faith and the nation’s political, social and economic stability.

A “male prostitute” is a male who offers the faculties of his anatomy from the neck up for hire to anyone who will pay his “asking price” exactly as the female of the same species offers the facilities of her anatomy from the neck down to anyone who will pay her “asking price”. Thousands of these pseudo-Christian “male-prostitutes” circulate freely unrecognized in all walks of life proudly pandering pernicious propaganda for pecuniary profit and political power. They are the “dog in the manger”. The corroding effect of their subtle intrigue is slowly but surely disintegrating the moral fibre of the nation. This danger to the Christian faith cannot be overestimated. This peril to the nation should not be underestimated. The Christian clergy must remain alerted to it.

The international “crime of crimes” of all history, that reprehensible iniquity in which this nation played the major role, was committed in Palestine almost totally as a result of the interference of the United States in the situation on behalf solely of the Zionist world-wide organization with its headquarters in New York City. The interference of the United States in that situation on behalf of the aggressors illustrates the power by the “male prostitutes” fearlessly functioning on behalf of the Zionist conspirators. It is the blackest page in our history.

The responsibility for that un-Christian, non-Christian and anti-Christian “cause” can be honestly deposited on the door-step of the Christian clergy. They must assume the full guilt for that inhuman and unholy crime committed in the name of Christian “charity”. Sunday after Sunday, year in and year out, the Christian clergy dinned into the ears of 150,000,000 Christians who go to church regularly that Christians must regard it as their “Christian duty” to support the Zionist conspiracy for the conquest of Palestine. Well, we “sowed a wind”, now we will “reap a whirlwind”.

The 150,000,000 Christians in the United States were “high pressured” by the Christian clergy to give their unqualified support to the Zionist program to “repatriate” to their “homeland” in Palestine the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe who were the descendants of the Khazars. Christians were exhorted by the Christian clergy to regard the so-called or self-styled “Jews” in eastern Europe as God’s “chosen people” and Palestine as their “Promised Land”. But they knew better all the time. It was a case of cupidity (cupidity: Eager desire for possessions especially of wealth; avarice; greed.) NOT stupidity you can be sure.

As a direct result of the activities of the “male prostitutes” on behalf of the Zionist program, and contrary to all the international law, to justice and to equity, anything to the contrary notwithstanding, the 150,000,000 Christians in the United States, with few exceptions, demanded that the Congress of the United States use the prestige and the power of this nation, diplomatic, economic and military, to guarantee the successful outcome of the Zionist program for the conquest of Palestine. This was done and the Zionists conquered
Palestine. We are responsible.

It is well established and an undeniable historic fact that the active participation of the United States in the conquest of Palestine, on behalf of the Zionists, was the factor responsible for the conquest of Palestine by the Zionists. Without the active participation of the United States on behalf of the Zionists it is certain that the Zionists would never have attempted the conquest of Palestine by force of arms. Palestine today would be an independent sovereign country under a form of government established by self-determination of the lawful and legal Palestinians. This was aborted by the payment of countless millions of dollars to Christian “male prostitutes” by Zionists on a scale difficult for the uninitiated to even imagine.

With your kind permission anticipated, I beg to respectfully and sincerely now submit to you here my comments on several passages in your latest article which appeared in the September issue of the A.P.J. Bulletin under the headline “News and Views of Jews”. Deep down in my heart, my dear Dr. Goldstein, I truly feel that I can make a modest contribution towards the big success I wish you in the valuable work you are attempting, under such discouraging handicaps. My reactions to what you state in your article may prove helpful to you. My comments here were conceived in that spirit. May I suggest that you favor them with your consideration accordingly. I feel that you may be so close to the “trees” that you cannot see the “forest” in its true perspective. You may find a genuinely sincere outsider’s point of view helpful to you in orienting your yesterday’s attitudes to today’s realities and to tomorrow’s seemingly certain probabilities. I believe you will.

You realize, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that all “Laws of Nature” are irrevocable. “Laws of Nature” can neither be amended, suspended or repealed regardless how we feel about them. One of these “Laws of Nature” is fundamentally the basic reason “WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS”, the subtitle in your article which attracted my attention. The “Law of Nature” to which I refer is the law that “TO EVERY ACTION THERE IS AN EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTION”. In my respectful opinion that “Law of Nature” is the alpha and omega of all questions as to “WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS”.

In your article you make this mystery sound very complicated. However, it really is very simple. The so-called or self-styled “Jews” who become Catholics today are subconsciously reacting to that “Law of Nature”. The conversion to Catholicism of so-called or self-styled “Jews” is the “EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTION”. THEIR CONVERSION IS A “REACTION” NOT AN “ACTION”. CAN YOU ANY LONGER DOUBT THAT AFTER READING THESE FACTS?

Catholicism has proven itself spiritually the “EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTION” of the religious worship practised today under the name “Judaism”, and prior to that name under the names “Talmudism” and “Pharisaism”. What is spiritually conspicuous in Catholicism is conspicuous by its absence in so-called “Judaism”. What is spiritually conspicuous in so-called “Judaism” is conspicuous by its absence in Catholicism, thank God. Anything which may be said by anyone to the contrary notwithstanding, Catholicism and so-called “Judaism” are at the opposite extremes of the spiritual spectrum.

Our subconscious mind never sleeps. It remains awake all the while the conscious mind is asleep. This subconscious mind of so-called or self-styled “Jews” is “WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS”. The more spiritually sensitive subconscious minds of so-called or self-styled “Jews” for 2000 years have been seeking a spiritually secure beach-head as a refuge from the terror of the Talmud. After a lifetime
breathing the atmosphere of the Talmud so-called or self-styled “Jews” found Catholicism a wholesome and refreshing change of spiritual climate. They could not resist the spiritual force of the “EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTION” WHICH ATTRACTED THEM TO CATHOLICISM.

Catholicism supplied a sacred sanctuary for the more spiritually sensitive subconscious mind of the so-called or self-styled “Jew” seeking security in his escape from the Talmud. [H: Please understand that which is “inferred” herein regarding the Holy Catholic Church—for it was assumed that the one converting would find that which he was seeking in truth of Godness. However, what is actually found is collusion and total usurpation of the truth in favor of the same lie—the deception has been most insidious but effective indeed!] Before sailing into the safe port of Catholicism the subconscious mind of the more spiritually sensitive so-called or self-styled “Jew” is tossed like a ship in a storm which has lost its rudder. When the ship anchors safely in the port of Catholicism spiritual peace of mind is restored and the ship remains securely at anchor in that safe port. Many millions of so-called or self-styled “Jews” would embark upon that voyage of their more courageous co-religionists but for one reason. They fear reprisals by their co-religionists.

In your article you mention just a few of the many penalties imposed by reactionary so-called or self-styled “Jews” upon their co-religionists who become converts to Catholicism. Conversion to Catholicism has even deprived many former so-called or self-styled “Jews” from earning their living. Many families faced starvation for that reason. A convert to Catholicism must be ready and willing to suffer the economic, social and political hardships his former co-religionists will make him pay as the price for the spiritual wealth he will acquire with conversion to Catholicism.

Investigation by you will convince you that so-called or self-styled “Jews” never turn spiritually to Catholicism “BECAUSE SUCH WAS THE JEWISH RELIGION: BECAUSE SUCH IS THE CATHOLIC RELIGION”, as you state in your article. A so-called or self-styled “Jew” might question the wisdom of conversion from the Original to a copy of the original. Inasmuch as so-called “Judaism” is a modern name for “Talmudism”, and “Talmudism is a name given to the ancient practise of “Pharisaism”, how can you reconcile what you state that “...SUCH WAS THE JEWISH RELIGION;...SUCH IS THE CATHOLIC RELIGION”.

Several so-called or self-styled “Jews” who were recently converted to Catholicism are my personal friends. Not one of those whom I have asked became a Catholic because they felt “THE CATHOLIC CHURCH IS THE JEWISH CHURCH GLORIFIED”, as you state in your article. What “JEWISH CHURCH” they ask me? I am unable to answer. What “JEWISH CHURCH” I ask you? “Pharisaism”? “Talmudism”? Surely you would not venture the opinion that the Catholic Church is “Pharisaism” or “Talmudism” now “GLORIFIED” as Catholicism, would you?

It must be quite apparent to you now that so-called or self-styled “Jews” who become converts to Catholicism do not believe that the Catholic Church, as you state in your article, “IS THE CHURCH OF JEWISH CONVERTS AND THEIR DESCENDANTS”. They do not regard Jesus as a “CONVERT” to the Catholic Church. You include Jesus with others you describe as “JEWISH CONVERTS” to the Catholic Church, in your article. In your article you state, “FIRST CAME CHRIST, THE JEW OF JEWS”. I never heard that designation before. Is it original? Nor will converted so-called or self-styled “Jews” concur at all with “THEN CAME THE APOSTLES, ALL JEWS”, as you also state in your article. There
is unquestionably too big an area of disagreement here to disregard the views of those who have become converts to Catholicism. Nor can these converts to Catholicism be made to believe as truth “THEN CAME THE THOUSANDS OF THE FIRST MEMBERS OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, WHO WERE JEWS”, as you state in your article under discussion here.

My dear Dr. Goldstein, as a former so-called or self-styled “Jew” for almost half your life, when you became a convert to Catholicism did you do so for the reasons you state in your article “WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS”? That would be difficult for me to believe in spite of the further statement you make in your article “IN FACT THERE WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN A CATHOLIC CHURCH WERE IT NOT FOR THE JEWS”. That statement appears incredible in view of incontestible facts, but these facts may not have been available to you when you made it.

[H: I believe the facts are quite obvious, in this instance, wherein you have a man who has risen to position of influencing the masses of people through a controlled media and he is simply a tool of the Zionist Elite who said: “A FIFTEENTH CENTURY ‘PROTOCOL’: (No. 4.) As for what you say of their destroying your synagogues: MAKE YOUR SONS CANONS AND CLERICS IN ORDER THAT THEY MAY DESTROY THEIR CHURCHES.” You who continue to be deceived with the facts before thine eyes shall reap the like rewards of thine ignorance by remaining in the trap whilst the master-deceivers pull you all the way into the PIT!]

If so-called or self-styled “Jews” believed what you state in your article they would undoubtedly prefer to stay put spiritually in their “JEWISH CHURCH”, by which you mean no doubt so-called “Judaism”. They would query why Catholics expected them to leave their “JEWISH CHURCH” to enter the Catholic Church (or any other “church”). It might appear more logical to expect Catholics to return to the original of the Catholic Church, the “JEWISH CHURCH”, or so-called “Judaism”. On the basis of what you state, that would not be inconsistent.

You take away my breath when you further state, “CATHOLICISM WOULD NOT EXIST WERE IT NOT FOR JUDAISM”. That leaves very little for me to say after writing these 62 pages of facts and comments. In a certain sense there is certain sense to what you state if you feel that the existence of so-called “Judaism”, in the time of Jesus and since then, created the necessity for the existence of Catholicism. But in no sense can the Catholic Church be adjudicated the projection of “Pharisaism”, “Talmudism”, or so-called “Judaism”.

We should get together in person to go into this matter more fully. I hope you will extend that privilege to me in the not too distant future. In closing this letter I sincerely request that you bear in mind while reading this letter Galatians, 4:16, “Am I therefore become your enemy, because I tell you the truth?” And to this I add, “I hope not”. I hope that we shall continue to be the very best of friends. If the Christian faith is to be rescued from its dedicated enemies we must all join hands and form a “human life-line”. We must pull together, not in different direction. We must “bury the hatchet” but not in each others heads.

Looking forward with pleasant anticipation to the delight of a meeting with you in person whenever you find it convenient and agreeable for yourself, and awaiting your early reply for which I take this opportunity to thank you in advance, and with best wishes for your continued good health and success, please believe me to be,
Most respectfully and very sincerely,
Benjamin H. Freedman.

[END OF QUOTING]

I believe I will just leave you with this to ponder at this point.

Try on for size, the moccasins that fit. The Christian Church in its lies unto the Native Americans in practice of that Christ projected action in every facet of the lie has driven thousands into confusion and ridicule of that very thing you attempted to bring unto them—or did you? It is fact and TRUTH from onset that your antecedants intended to defraud them and “save them” from that which was balanced and harmonious within the Truth of God and Planet and mold them into your own sickness. You have a long way to go, those of you who CALL yourselves “CHRISTIANS”, for it is long since you acted as “CHRISTED” beings. Ponder it for the time of confrontation is upon you and the choosing and sorting is under way—NOW!

In deference to you ones who will pick this material to pieces with toothpicks and magnifying glasses—ah, would that all of you would make such effort to find the proof and confirmation—please realize that we have utilized another’s material and I give great honor and would not change it. I will make comments and if a thing be in gross error I will most surely change of it—otherwise, it is given that you might SEE THE TRUTH AS PRESENTED IN YOUR DIMENSION BY YOUR OWN HISTORIANS.

IMPORTANT ANNOUNCEMENT

Hatonn has asked us to run the Freedman letter to Goldstein, OFTEN [A letter from a Jew to a Jew covering information that is critical for all to know if we are to restore any political freedom to our dying world]. It offers good information along with excellent reference material. HE FEELS IT URGENTLY NECESSARY TO KEEP CONSTANT REMINDERS BEFORE OUR READERS, AND THE JEWS, THAT WE ARE ONLY REPRINTING INFORMATION. THIS IS OUR KEY TO SURVIVAL AS A PAPER AND AS PEOPLE.

In this letter Mr. Freedman covers many topics several of which are: Jesus Was Not A Jew—Some Of The History Of The Modern Day Jew And His Origins—Some Of The History Of The Talmud [Some Very Important Quotes From It Including Permission For Sexual Attacks Upon Babies, Etc.]—The Kol Nidre Oath. The Very Harmful Influence The Talmud and Kol Nidre Writings Have Exerted On The Entire World For Centuries—The Jews Are Not Any Part Of The “Lost Ten Tribes”.

This letter takes over 100 pages in a Journal so we will only give the sources for it: Phoenix Journal #223 BIRTHING THE PHOENIX, Vol. 2 & #233 RISE OF ANTICHRIST, Vol. 5 or CONTACT March 17, 1998, Vol. 20, #4.