

Phoenix Journal

201



By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1.....	1
1SANANDA: CREATING “TIME” THROUGH MENTAL CLARITY	
13/8/97 ESU “JESUS” SANANDA	1
CHAPTER 2.....	5
UPDATE ON SOLTEC’S RECENT JAPAN EARTHQUAKE ALERT	5
6,432 QUAKES TREMBLE TOKYO AREA	5
CHAPTER 3.....	6
INTERNATIONAL GULF WAR ILLNESS COALITION	6
CHAPTER 4.....	7
THE NEWS DESK Dr. Al Overholt 3/11/97	7
LOW GRAIN SUPPLY KEEPS WORLD ON EDGE: HINK TANK	7
AN OPEN CONGRESSIONAL (OR UN, ETC.)	
MEETING WITH FIRST-HAND UFO (ETC.) WITNESSES!	8
GRAND JURIES	9
NEW BOOK CLAIMS BSE EXISTS IN U.S.	9
CLONES OF YOUR OWN:	10
A COTTAGE INDUSTRY ARISES	10
CHEATING CHARGED	11
GREENHOAX	11
INVENTIVE N.Y. TEEN WINS SCIENCE TALENT SEARCH	11
SOME ABORTION DOCTORS MAY FACE HOMICIDE CHARGES	12
PROPOSAL TO REVAMP CPI WOULD BE GOOD PUBLIC POLICY	12
PAROLE BOARD LOCKS FELONS OUT OF ACCESS TO INTERNET ...	13
HIGH-TECH MILITARY GADGETS NOW TAKE AIM AT CRIMINALS ...	14
THE SUPREME COURT	15
WHAT THE NWO HAS IN MIND WILL MAKE	
FASCISM AND COMMUNISM	16
SEEM LIKE KINDERGARTEN CLASS	16
INTRODUCTION	16
POWER LEVELS AND FREQUENCY RANGE	17
DISCUSSION	17
SCIENTISTS FIND	
GROWING PROOF OF PLANT-SPEAK	18
WORDS OF WISDOM FROM H. JACKSON BROWN’S MOTHER	18
CHAPTER 5.....	20
ONCE UPON A TIME.....	20
CHAPTER 6.....	21
SOME LEGAL ADVICE FROM MRS. RUSSELLE. HERRMANN	
by Mrs. Russell E. Herrmann 3/10/97	21
CHAPTER 7.....	24
RELEARNING THE COMMON LAW	

PART II: HOW THE COMMON LAW WORKS by Ray Bilger 3/14/97	24
WHAT'S IN A NAME? MORE THAN YOU MAY THINK!	27
CHAPTER 8	33
ESU: ALLOW THE BUTTERFLY TO EMERGE IN SPLENDOR	
3/11/97 ESU "JESUS" SANANDA	33
CHAPTER 9	36
BARR CONFIRMS ASKING JUDICIARY COMMITTEE	
TO MEET ON IMPEACHMENT INQUIRY	36
CHAPTER 10	37
WHAT'S IN A NAME? by Calvin Burgin 3/12/97	37
WORLDLINE	37
MARIA JANA KORBELOVA SWORN IN AS SECRETARY OF STATE	37
THE LETTER THE WASHINGTON POST REFUSED TO PRINT	38
ALBRIGHT'S COHORTS	39
ANTHONY LAKE	40
NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR DEMOCRACY	40
OVERSEAS EDUCATION FUND: OEF INTERNATIONAL	41
MORE MAD MADELEINE	42
ALBRIGHT AND ARISTIDE	43
IRON BRITCHES FALLING DOWN	43
COMMUNISM	44
MARXISM	45
PYRAMID UPDATE	47
EWE AGAIN?	48
MARS PATHFINDER	48
TEL-AVIV, CAPITAL OF UNITED STATES	49
CHAPTER 11	51
CORNERING TRUTH OR CORNERED BY TRUTH?! by Ray Bilger 3/22/97	51
CHAPTER 12	56
THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 3/25/97	56
PROPERTY OWNERS WIN RIGHT TO SUE WILDLIFE PROTEC-	
TORS.....56	
A TRILLION BUCKS ISN'T POCKET CHANGE	56
MTBE AND DRINKING WATER: THE FACTS	57
DONOR'S HOT SPOT?	58
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION by Judge J. J. Boesel	59
BIG BROTHER, WE WON'T TAKE IT ANYMORE!!	61
GROW YOUR OWN BODY PARTS	61
THE FREE AMERICAN JOINS THE DEMAND FOR A NATIONAL FO-	
RUM	63
WE WANT ANSWERS TO THESE QUESTIONS!	63
CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE TALKING ABOUT 2-CENT	
PER MILE GASOLINE TAX	64
A TRIP THROUGH THE LOOKING GLASS	65
HIS MYSTERIOUS WAYS	66

CHAPTER 13	68
THE STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS by Grandma 3/3/97	68
THE CONSTITUTION AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE GRANDMA 3/9/97	69
CHAPTER 14	73
EL MORYA: SLUMBER TIME SHALL COME TO AN ABRUPT END!	
3/23/97 EL MORYA	73
CHAPTER 15	77
WHAT'S IN A BRAND-NAME CIGARETTE?	
HOW ABOUT OPIUM IN THE PAPER! by A. N. Other 12/31/91	77
CHAPTER 16	84
CONFIRMING COMMENTS by Col. Fletcher Prouty	84
CHAPTER 17	86
UPDATE ON JANET, SUSAN AND BRIANA by Dr. Al Overholt 3/24/97	86
CHAPTER 18	87
A REFRESHER COURSE: WHAT IS MIND CONTROL?	
by Joseph W. Duggall 3/22/97	87
SOCIAL COMFORT ZONES	87
ANCIENT METHODS OF MIND CONTROL	88
MODERN METHODS OF MIND CONTROL	88
CHEMICAL AGENTS OF MIND CONTROL	89
SECRET INTELLIGENCE AGENCY	90
MIND-CONTROL PROJECTS	90
CHAPTER 19	91
LAUNDERING A TRILLION DOLLARS OF COCAINE-HEROIN MONEY	
THROUGH POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS by Gary Wean 3/3/97	91
CHAPTER 20	101
THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 3/25/97	101
BLAST OFF	101
WARNING FOR UK CATHOLICS	101
GIVE US YOUR SCUM	101
U.K. REPORT: 11 YEAR-OLDS SHOULD GET FREE CONDOMS	102
THE END OF THE CHAPTER, NOT THE BOOK	103
SCIENTISTS DISCOVER GENETIC MATERIAL	
FROM SPANISH FLU THAT KILLED MILLIONS	105
TERROR AT CABLE TV CONVENTION:	
RUPERT MURDOCH'S LATEST PLANS	106
A WAY TO FIGHT 'ROAD RAGE'	106
SEX CHANGE AFTER ACCIDENT FAILS	
TO TAKE; THEORY CHANGED	108
JAPAN N-PLANT LEAK REVISED	109
DRUG SUSPECT SKIPS BAIL	109
A REAL "LEGAL" U.S.	109
JESUS DOLLAR BILL	109
LOST AND FOUND	110
CHAPTER 21	111

RELEARNING THE COMMON LAW 111
PART III: SOVEREIGNTY AND THE SOVEREIGN CITIZEN
by Ray Bilger 3/26/97 111

CHAPTER 1

SANANDA: CREATING “TIME” THROUGH MENTAL CLARITY

3/8/97 ESU “JESUS” SANANDA

Good morning, my scribe. Be at peace. I am Esu “Jesus” Sananda. I am, as are you all, a product of Creator’s Magnificent Thought. I dwell within the Oneness of His Light that is the Source of ALL. I come now so that His promise to your world shall be carried out. My will is that of my Father, Creator God.

As you each experience, know that you carry Creator’s Breath of Life (Light) with you in all of your travels. It is through Him that you experience, and He through YOU. There are no secrets in His heart that He holds from you. There is only the waiting for you to grow into mature responsibility before you are allowed to come into the understanding of that which you may erroneously call “secrets of the universe”.

While you are in the physical aspect of your infinite journey of growth, you should expect to have challenges before you. You are all desiring to expand your awareness of self, for in doing so you are fulfilling a very basic desire of Creator and Creation. Allow for others to grow in their own way and at their own pace. The journey is infinite and “time” is only a physical perception that allows for the detailed examination of your thoughts and how it is that you use these thoughts in order to create and expand as a being.

Many of you sense that “time” is moving at a faster and faster pace and that you do not seem to have enough of it to get accomplished those things that you are wanting to get done. Please know that you have all the time that you need in order to accomplish those things that you are there to get done.

Know also that, with the proper mental focus, you can get more done than what you currently believe possible. Self-imposed restrictions are what keep you from realizing alternative modes of doing what you want to accomplish. When you can step outside of the restrictions that you hold onto as though they are law, then you will realize that you can actually control the perception of time flow, for yourself, by altering your basic vibrational frequency.

This phenomena has been utilized by many in the past and by a few in your present experience. Some of you utilize this gift daily though you fail to recognize the actual phenomena. You can witness those who go about and seem to get very little done though there is the potential to accomplish much more than that which they are actually completing. These ones are usually living in a past moment and thus are creating miniature “time warps” or “ripples” around themselves.

Others may have such a clear picture of what it is they are wanting to achieve that, in there

clarity, they can anticipate future situations that they will eventually need to address, and do so as soon as opportunities arise, thus saving themselves a lot of backtracking later on.

For example: Let us say that you have a clear picture that you want to build a wood fence around your yard and you can see the fence in your mind's eye with extreme clarity. You can see every last detail, from the posts that will support the structure, to the nails you will use to hold the boards in place. You will see the exact color you would like it to be and the exact height that you are wanting. You can see that the posts will go into holes and that there is cement holding them anchored to the ground. You will see exactly where you would like to place a gate. You notice the exact hinges that you will use and the latching mechanism that you like.

You see every last detail in your mind and you decide that you are going to build this fence exactly the way that you see it in your mind. You see it in such detail that you know exactly how to build it without any prior experience, for you see it already built. You can see yourself actually building the fence, and you're feeling more and more excited all the while you are envisioning the whole process.

Now, you set out to obtain all the pieces that you will need in order to build this fence. Holding the whole picture in your mind, you get all the boards that you will need, all the posts that you will need, the nails, the hardware, the paint and the cement, and any tools that you saw yourself using that you do not already have.

You now set out and build the fence exactly the way you see it in your mind. Before you know it, you are done and the fence is completed and exactly the way you envisioned it in your mind.

Now let us take another who is wanting a fence. However this one does not see it in any great detail in his mind because he is not practiced at "seeing within" first, with all of the details, and his mental focus is clouded with the distractions of other things (usually past guilt or worry) that keep the mind from fully focusing in the here-and-now of the present moment.

This person now sets forth to obtain all the materials that he thinks he needs. He goes out and gets the lumber and the posts and some nails, and brings them back. He goes to dig the holes for the posts and realizes that he does not have the proper tool to dig the post holes. Instead of going back and getting the proper tool, he makes use of what he has at hand. Now the holes take longer to dig than necessary and "time" is slipping away.

Eventually the holes are dug and the posts are ready to be installed. Now he realizes that he has overlooked the need for cement. Everything stops while he must backtrack to the hardware store and get the needed item.

The point of this example is to show you how you can more effectively utilize time by properly focusing your mind. The unorganized one above will make many repeated trips to get more nails and paint and whatever. All the while frustration builds, the project is stretched from two days into five days, and the motivation to follow through to completion is nearly gone. In that case, the fence project may sit for another six months before it gets the paint that it needs! As you

might imagine, the entire creation may end up being quite a bit less sturdy than it could have been and it will probably look quite a bit less pleasing than it could have looked.

You ALL have the ability to manifest and control time in this manner. Many of you have experienced a sense of “slow motion” during events such as a major automobile accident. The seriousness of the situation will cause you to give your attention fully to the moment at hand, regardless of the mental distractions which were competing for your mental focus only moments before. This clear focusing of your mind will enable you to capture every last detail. You will be taking in data so fast that you will perceive everything moving in slow motion as compared to your normal viewing of events.

Some athletes experience this phenomena while playing their sport, for they are so focused upon what is happening that they will begin to take in sensing data at faster and faster rates. These ones have their terminology for this: they will call it being “in the zone” or something of that sort. The actual phenomena is a clear focus of thought and being more fully (if not fully) in the present moment as events are unfolding.

You ones can learn to utilize these natural abilities and learn to function in this mode as you desire. The key is learning to release the past garbage and other modes of distraction that you cannot change.

When you can leave behind the small worries or heartaches of the past, and truly forgive yourself for having offended or hurt another, then you will be more fully focused in the present. You will also find that you will naturally become more and more productive as you shed these fears, worries, and guilts that you otherwise hold onto in order to beat yourselves up, over and over again.

And then there are the overt distractions. You are being bombarded with all sorts of distractions every day, just from all of your television and media programming. You may say, “Well, I only watch the news!” Your so-called “news” programs are just that—”programs”—for your mind, and they are the worst offenders for they project calculated images that you will not so easily dismiss as “Hollywood theatrics”. These distractions are designed to keep you wanting more, or keep you in a state of outright shock, or in a state of constant confusion.

You will have to begin to see these distractions (and others) for what they are, else in this life experience you may never recognize your true potential of expression. Please realize that I am NOT saying that you should or should not watch television or read newspapers.

I AM saying that you will have to realize those things that cause you to lose focus (or never find focus) on what it is that you are desiring to accomplish—if you are to recognize who you REALLY are and what your true potential of creating REALLY IS!

This all goes back to understanding how it is that your mind processes information and how it is that you create those things that you are wanting.

CHAPTER 2

UPDATE ON SOLTEC'S RECENT JAPAN EARTHQUAKE ALERT

In his writing of 2/11/97, which we printed on page 25 of the 2/25/97 issue of CONTACT (because of the insipid legal distractions, you may remember, which kept us from printing an issue the week of 2/18/97), Commander Soltec ominously cautioned that we watch Japan for timing clues to dangerous earthquake activity along the western coastal areas of the Americas.

Well, since the time of that writing, and an editor's note which I included closer to publication time that included the news of stepped-up activity coming down from Alaska's Aleutian Islands area toward Japan, there has indeed been a great increase in "smaller" quake activity around Japan. I say "smaller" because what used to be considered moderate-to-large earthquakes only a few years ago have become so commonplace that such are now considered rather "small" — especially when one takes into account the newer "K-mart" (discount) measuring scales in use now to ease our worries about the significance of such events.

And then we have the following little news item tucked away in a small corner of those newspapers even willing to print it. This one comes from the Arizona Republic for Saturday, 3/8/97, and is headlined: (quoting)

6,432 QUAKES TREMBLE TOKYO AREA

TOKYO—Several moderate earthquakes hit a popular spa resort near Tokyo today, bringing to more than 6,000 the number of quakes in the area in less than a week.

One of the larger ones was a 4.7-magnitude quake that hit the Izu Peninsula area this afternoon. There were no reports of serious damage.

Since Sunday night, the Central Meteorological Agency recorded 6,432 quakes—most of them too weak to be felt—off the east coast of Izu, 60 miles southwest of Tokyo. A few of the quakes have been felt in the capital. (end of quoting)

A nail-biting number of the quakes around Japan have actually been in the 5-6 magnitude range, despite the "not to worry" tone prevailing in the above little gem of distortion. And, as Soltec said would happen, quake activity along western coastal areas of South America has really increased today (3/10/97)!

Meanwhile, quake activity in the Middle East has also escalated with, for instance, over 40,000 people living in tents in a very cold, snowstormy region of northwestern Iran right now, since a "6.1 magnitude" quake clobbered (was detonated in?) that area on 2/28/97.

Stay alert! — E.Y.

CHAPTER 3

INTERNATIONAL GULF WAR ILLNESS COALITION

all pase
4 pages of contact

CHAPTER 4

THE NEWS DESK

Dr. Al Overholt 3/11/97

“I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves: and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform (them).”

—Thomas Jefferson, Author of the *Declaration of Independence*, Co-Author of the *U.S. Constitution*.

What a refreshing way to look at the solution to our problems and yet **several hundred years old**.
When will we ever learn??

LOW GRAIN SUPPLY KEEPS WORLD ON EDGE: HINK TANK

From the INTERNET, by Charles Abbott, 3/6/97, [quoting:]

T

The world will be “living close to the edge at least through the 1997 harvest” because of small grain stockpiles and ever-growing demand for food, think tank chief Lester Brown said in a pessimistic look at food security.

Farmers harvested record grain crops—about 1.846 billion metric tons—in 1996/97 but little of it will remain as a cushion against crop shortfalls or price shocks, Brown said in a Worldwatch Institute “briefing paper” to be released Thursday.

There will be about 55 days worth of grain on hand when this year’s crops are ready for harvest, little better than the 51 days on hand one year earlier, Brown calculated.

“The inability to rebuild depleted stocks to a more secure level from the 1996 harvest has left the world living close to the edge—at least through the 1997 harvest,” Brown wrote.

A number of other analysts have been more optimistic. They project an upturn in grain and oilseeds this year as well as a further padding of stockpiles.

“The world’s pretty resilient,” said James Rude, an analyst with the Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute at Iowa State University. Supplies will be tighter in coming years than in the 1980s and prices will be somewhat more volatile, he said, but the situation would not be drastic.

Replenishing stockpiles “will not be easy, certainly not something we can automatically assume,” Brown wrote.

“A harvest of 1.921 billion tons would be needed this year,” he said, “if the goal was to create immediately a stockpile equal to 70 days’ grain use. A 70-day stockpile would ensure stable prices and orderly supplies,” he said.

“Rebuilding the world’s grain stockpiles will be difficult,” Brown said, “because of annual population growth of 80 million people, Asia’s demand for meat, encroachment of cities onto rural land, and urban demand for water now used for irrigation.”

For years, Brown has warned of perils in the world food supply. He says, “critics do not recognize forces impinging on output.” They say Brown does not account for the powerful effect economics has, both in spurring farm production and controlling food consumption.

“If the price of corn gets to \$5 a bushel, the world will be awash in corn,” said consultant Bill Leshner.

Last week, the U.S. Agriculture Department said a recovery in global grain sowings was expected in 1997-2005 thanks to “firmer prices and supportive policies”. Continued improvement in yields also was anticipated. FAPRI projected the global stockpile of wheat, feed (coarse) grains and rice would grow by more than 13 million tons during 1997/98. [End quoting]

The trouble with putting confidence in any harvest predictions, especially in these times of man-controlled weather and biological warfare, is that the Elite can wipe out crops at any time and place they desire.

AN OPEN CONGRESSIONAL (OR UN, ETC.)
MEETING WITH FIRST-HAND UFO (ETC.)
WITNESSES!

From the INTERNET, 3/7/97, [quoting:]

Given the illegal and extra-constitutional nature of current covert projects dealing with these issues, CSETI maintains that government and government contractor witnesses to UFO programs and events have a constitutional obligation to come forward with information on this subject, and are encouraged to do so.

CSETI will be convening a closed meeting of such witnesses in the early spring of 1997, and will be asking members of Congress to hold open hearings where these witnesses may testify.

Since the current covert management of this issue constitutes a grave and growing threat to the national security of the United States, CSETI is asking that these hearings take place by this summer.

Any government employee or government contract UFO witnesses who would like to cooperate with this initiative should contact CSETI’s International Director Steven M. Greer M.D. as soon as possible. CSETI has determined that assembling numerous such witnesses in a coalition, to come forward in unison, is the safest and most credible way to move this subject to public disclosure.

These prospective witnesses should contact Dr. Greer at:

Tel: 704-274-5671 or Fax: 704-274-6766, e-mail: <103275.1472@compuserve.com> or write to:

CSETI, PO Box 15401, Asheville, NC 28813

REFERENCES: CSETI Position Papers on the Web:

1. PRESS RELEASE: CSETI HEADQUARTERS, ASHEVILLE, N.C., 25 JANUARY 1997 <<http://www.cseti.org/pressrl.htm>>
2. Deadline Expires on CSETI Ultimatum to US Government Regarding UFO Disclosure <<http://www.cseti.org/wit.htm>> End. [End quoting]

It will be interesting to see if this organization can make a dent into the cover-up? If anybody knows, it should be **them**.

GRAND JURIES

Excerpted from *SALMON RAPPER* newsletter, February 1997, [quoting:]

“The very purpose of the requirement that a man be indicted by grand jury is to limit his jeopardy to offenses charged by a group of his fellow citizens acting independently of either prosecuting attorney or judge.” —*Stirone v. United states*, 361 U.S. 218

“The grand jury is designed as a means, not only of bringing to trial persons accused of public offences upon just grounds, but also a means of protecting the citizen against unfounded accusations, whether it comes from government, or be prompted by partisan passion or private enmity.” —*Ex parte Bain*, 121 U.S. 1, 11 [End quoting]

Do you ever hear much news or talk about a grand jury anymore? Very rarely do I hear anything. **Why do you suppose this is kept so quiet??**

NEW BOOK CLAIMS BSE EXISTS IN U.S.

Excerpted from a FAX, 3/9/97, [quoting:]

A new book will be released this week in which the author, Dr. Richard Rhodes, claims that “A native strain of BSE has been in the U.S. for decades and could spread to infect Americans who eat beef if the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does not strictly enforce a ban on feeding processed ruminant waste to cattle,” according to a report in the weekly letter from the National Milk Producers Federation.

Since the mid-1980s, USDA has been tracking neurological disease in U.S. cattle and has found no BSE.

The book, titled *Deadly Feasts*, will be heavily promoted, according to the NMPPF.

Dr. Rhodes is scheduled to appear on *Dateline NBC* on March 11, and the *Today Show* and *Extra*

Magazine on March 12.

Between March 12 and March 27, according to the NMPF, Dr. Rhodes will conduct a nine-city media tour.

In late February, the National Cattlemen's Beef Association (NCBA) noted that anticipating the issue, planning a response and taking early action were the keys in preventing BSE from causing a consumer scare in the U.S. and in minimizing risk of the disease entering this country.

"We've had BSE on our issues radar screen since 1986," said Dr. Gary Weber, NCBA's Director of Regulatory Affairs. "USDA and the Centers for Disease Control designed the U.S. BSE surveillance program in 1986. It's viewed as the most intensive in the world and, to date, after evaluating over 5,000 cattle brain samples, no evidence of BSE or any similar disease has been found." [End quoting]

Beware!! You can't trust anything the government says today and especially anything they say about diseases of any kind **since they are the ones trying to depopulate the U.S. and world by any means they can find, to do it fast and without arousing your suspicions.**

CLONES OF YOUR OWN:
A COTTAGE INDUSTRY ARISES

Excerpted from *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 3/9/97, [quoting:]

.....But what will happen to the ordinary, flawed, old-fashioned human being who arrived in the traditional way?

"Well, what happened to the flat-headed swamp crawdad? And the three-toed squiggle toad?"

You mean, extinct?

"I'm afraid it is bound to happen. It is the nature of Americans to want the new and improved model of everything. There is no such thing as brand loyalty anymore. Out with the old, in with the new. Especially when you can order it for overnight delivery."

I doubt very much that any American president would permit something like that to happen.

"Ah, but what about a perfectly packaged cloned president?"

Could that actually happen?

"You mean again?" [*emphasis mine*] [End quoting]

Looks like author Mike Royko knows the truth of the matter about some of our presidents.

CHEATING CHARGED

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 2/4/97, [quoting:]

The nation's largest book distributor cheated schools and libraries across the country of up to \$200 million by overcharging for discount books, the U.S. attorney general's office said Monday. More than 90 percent of the nation's libraries buy some or all of their books from the firm, Baker & Taylor Inc. owned by The Carlyle Group. [End quoting]

Do you suppose they might get fined about \$1,000? Knowing how their game is played, they may not even get fined anything. **A hefty political contribution would be in order, however.**

GREENHOAX

Excerpted from *ACTION* newspaper, [quoting:]

We have snow on the Snowy Mountains in January here in the Southern Hemisphere summer. In the Northern Hemisphere the winter freeze kills hundreds. They die trapped in cars suddenly immobilized. The poor die in unheated huts and homes. The fights over gas and fuel to keep alive if not warm almost leads to war and insurrection.

All is quiet on the news front. Nobody mentions the Global Warming, the Greenhouse/Greenhoax effect. The icecaps aren't melting. The seas aren't rising. The computer modellers/muddlers sit silent with egg on their face, but what of the big picture?

The international marketeers made a killing forcing millions of businesses to replace perfectly serviceable refrigeration equipment. Hair spray companies were forced out of business for using the wrong propellants. The motor manufacturers sold their bunkum antipollution equipment to make family cars so complex they could not be maintained or repaired by anyone without the mumbo-jumbo box.

Nobody will admit they were talking nonsense. The professors, lecturers and current affairs programmers wait their next load of mushroom medicine. The world wiremen cannot wait to feed the next tirade of tripe down the line. The politicians grovel for a new load of bull, so they show their concern and curry favour with the half-wit greenies just to get a few votes.

We have had the coming Ice Age, global warming, the world oil shortage/energy crisis, the great coffee bean shortage, etc. Beware! The next crisis might be the onset or re-emergence of common sense across the world. [End quoting]

Amen!!

INVENTIVE N.Y. TEEN WINS SCIENCE TALENT SEARCH

Excerpted from *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 3/9/97, [quoting:]

Adam Ezra Cohen, 18, on Monday was named winner of the 56th Westinghouse Science Talent Search for developing a technique that encodes info on a microchip 16 times as dense as the current method.

The senior at New York City's Hunter College High School whipped almost 1,600 other seniors nationwide to win a \$40,000 scholarship. His technique, which he calls "near-field photolithography," prints 50 words on a surface the width of a human hair.

Cohen, who set up a lab in his bedroom, has 152 inventions—including a windshield with elastic springs that gives on impact—but has no patents. He said he started his winner with "a few really simple experiments (then)...just sort of followed down this tree of possibilities...whatever looked coolest—until I ended up with this."

He's been accepted by Harvard and awaits word from MIT. [End quoting]

We have a large number of bright students in our schools; I just hope we give them the opportunity to put their talents to use in bettering this world **instead of designing war machines and weapons as in the past.**

SOME ABORTION DOCTORS MAY FACE HOMICIDE CHARGES

Excerpted from *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, 1/12/97, [quoting:]

South Carolina will be the first state in the nation to bring homicide charges against doctors who perform some late-term abortions, the state attorney general has promised. Republican Charlie Condon, relying on the state Supreme Court's ruling in July that a viable fetus is a person, said Friday that doctors could face up to life in prison for performing "partial-birth" abortions. A similar attempt to make partial-birth abortions a felony in Ohio was blocked by a federal judge. [End quoting]

At least it's a small "break in the dam" to stop the murdering of babies.

PROPOSAL TO REVAMP CPI WOULD BE GOOD PUBLIC POLICY

Excerpted from *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 3/7/97, [quoting:]

Few issues have as much MEGO (My Eyes Glaze Over) power as questions about the accuracy of government statistics. For informed public discussion, however, understanding such issues can be crucial to evaluating public policies.

That is clearly the case with current proposals to change the Consumer Price Index, triggered by the Consumer Price Index Revision Commission report that the CPI overstates inflation and the search for a politically workable balanced 2002 budget deal.

Proposing a CPI fix hardly seems like edge-of-your-seat news. But the CPI is an important policy variable. It is used to index Social Security, military and civil service retirement benefits, income tax brackets,

some labor contracts, etc., to offset the effects of inflation.

However, the CPI overstates inflation by undercounting quality improvements and people's ability to purchase different products as relative prices change, as well as the effects of new products and new distribution channels (such as outlet stores).

The CPI Revision Commission estimates that these well-known (to economists) biases cause the CPI to overstate inflation by a "best estimate" of 1.1 percentage points per year.

Fixing this error in the CPI would generate some very good fiscal news for the government. In particular, it would make controlling entitlement spending and lowering future budget deficits far easier. [*emphasis mine*] [End quoting]

The last paragraph says it all. When did you ever hear the government tell you the truth when they wanted more tax money. This is just a sly way to raise taxes on everybody and let them say that they haven't raised your taxes.

Figures don't lie, but politicians that use figures can make them say anything they want them to say. I defy anybody to prove that the average family is better off today than 10 years ago comparing hours worked for the amount of goods and services received. The family, in some cases, may be better off, but usually it's because more hours of the family are given over to working to pay for those same goods and services.

The day is long gone when you could believe politicians when they want to get more money from your pockets, and this last election of the gangsters to office should be all the proof you should ever need!!

This tax hike is going to affect everyone since most paychecks are either directly or indirectly tied to this number, except possibly the very wealthy whose incomes go up no matter what happens to yours. Some think it will only affect the pensioners, Social Security receivers, etc.—**these will soon have a sad awakening when they find their paychecks don't buy as much as they did.**

PAROLE BOARD LOCKS FELONS
OUT OF ACCESS TO INTERNET

From the INTERNET, 1/6/97, <<http://www.vom.com/rc/home.htm>>, [quoting:]

So you think guns, drugs and alcohol are dangerous? Have you looked at the Internet lately? The U.S. Parole Commission has, and it was so disturbed by the amount of information about child sex rings, recipes for explosives and plans for hate crimes that last month, without holding any public hearings, it approved restrictions on the use of computers by certain federal parolees.

The new restrictions, which parole officers can put into use as they see fit immediately, will range from prohibiting offenders from owning a computer to installing monitoring equipment on their computers that will keep tabs on where they roam on line. [End quoting]

When did the Feds ever really successfully stop crime? So please tell me how we can expect them to clean up anything, except if they have some selfish, greedy purpose to gain for themselves. The more responsibility we turn over to them the more they like it and the more we **tighten the noose around our own necks.**

Lazy people who want some other person to do it for them **will never have freedom!!**

WE CAN'T HAVE FREEDOM AND HAVE FEDS DOING THE POLICING. WILL WE EVER WAKE UP TO OUR OWN RESPONSIBILITIES??

**HIGH-TECH MILITARY GADGETS
NOW TAKE AIM AT CRIMINALS**

From the INTERNET, <<http://www.vom.com/rc/home.htm>>, 1/11/97, [quoting:]

Seconds after gunshots broke the relative quiet of a Dallas neighborhood, a police cruiser was on its way not just to the area, but to the precise spot where the gun was fired, give or take a few feet.

The incident occurred during a federally financed test of a device known as SECURES (System for Effective Control of Urban Environment Security), which is being touted by a defense contractor.

In addition, Defense Department planners and contractors, concerned with post-Cold War demands on the military, are already talking about new "urban terrain" warfare technologies that may have profound impact on police efforts to deal with bad guys a few years from now.

Taken together, the military technologies already being modified for civilian use range from far-out to mundane:

- * Improved bullet-resistant vests.
 - * Ways to safely disable automobiles in police pursuits.
 - * Facial recognition computer software.
 - * New bomb detectors.
 - * Robots the size of ants that may be deployed by the thousands to monitor what's happening inside a building that is of interest to military or police personnel.
 - * Tiny helicopters or other craft that would incorporate the flight physics of hummingbirds might hover outside the windows of a downtown building or locate people through walls with heat sensing devices.
- [End quoting]

I bet our minds could go wild imagining to what devious uses the government control freaks have put some

of these goodies.

THE SUPREME COURT

From *THE NEW FEDERALIST*, 1/27/97, letters to the editor, [quoting:]

According to the letters of James Madison, the proposition that the judiciary would pass on the constitutionality of legislation was proposed five times in the Constitutional Convention and for the fifth time was turned down on August 15, 1787.

Nowhere in the *U.S. Constitution* does it give the right to the U.S. Supreme Court to determine what law is or is not constitutional. Only “we the people” have that right. Here is the proof:

The charge to the jury in the first jury trial before the U.S. Supreme Court illustrates the true power of the jury. In the February term of 1794, the Supreme Court conducted a jury trial in the case of the *State of Georgia vs. Braitsford, et al.* *3 Dall* said: “...[I]t is presumed that juries are the best judges of facts; it is, on the other hand presumed that the courts are the best judges of law. But still both objects are within your power of decision...you have a right to take upon yourselves to judge of both, and to determine the law as well as the fact in controversy.”

That same truth—that juries can decide both the fact **and the law** in a case before them—was strongly upheld in at least two other court decisions (here cites are given from 1972 and 1969—ed).

Also, the following judges support this truth that juries can determine the law.

“The jury has the right to determine both the law and the facts” (Chief Justice John Jay, 1794).

“The jury has the power to bring in a verdict in the teeth of both law and facts” (Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes, 1920).

It is time we the people, as jurors, “nullified” laws that are patently “unconstitutional”.

Why didn't you learn about this in school? The U.S. Supreme Court exceeded its authority by claiming the right to declare what law is or is not unconstitutional. It is time “we the people” put them in their place by “nullifying” unconstitutional decisions handed down by a power-hungry U.S. Supreme Court.

...The so-called legal profession is destroying our country, our freedom, and purposely making laws so complicated that they themselves can't ever agree on anything. The average citizen has more sense. It is time that we, the people, impeach most judges, defeat any politician who is a lawyer, and as jurors protect our rights under the *Constitution*.

If you were never taught any of the information I cite, nor studied the *Constitution* in school, it is because the money powers who determine what books you learn from, or exactly what you are taught, want to enslave you under a One World government that will be a Socialist dictatorship. Hence you are brain-washed in school in favor of interdependence worldwide, instead of “independence”. Isn't it time we stopped foreign aid, stopped being involved in foreign problems, as George Washington advised, and put

America **first** instead of last? —Thomas W. Lippitt, Rinard Mills, Ohio [End quoting]

It's time to wake up and take command of our government by enforcing our CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS.

WHAT THE NWO HAS IN MIND WILL
MAKE FASCISM AND COMMUNISM
SEEM LIKE KINDERGARTEN CLASS

From the INTERNET, 2/21/97, <<http://www.vom.com/rc/home.htm>>, [quoting:]

I read your e-mail. Interesting. I am certain there is someone who is doing clandestine mind control. Based on what I have been able to find out the only one that can do this sort of thing is the government and possibly, organized crime.

The reason I know, is, I have been the recipient of this mind control technology.

I have also had some contact with the “agents” who are involved in this and have had some of what they did to me verified by them.

I have also been given information I could not possibly know via mind control which uses technology similar to this.

There has been much discussion of “Microwave Hearing” phenomenon on the net. I was intrigued by this and have done some research. It turns out that microwave hearing does indeed exist. There is a fair-sized body of research available on the subject. This is one of the more complete reviews of the subject. The entire article is long, and I am not going to post it all. But I will review it.

The name of the Article is: Auditory Perception of Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Fields

It appeared in: from *The Journal of Acoustical Society of America* 1982 pages 1321-1334.

It was written by: Chung-Kwang Chou and Arthur W. Guy. The above authors were Ph.D. Researchers at the University of Washington as of the publication date of this article.

This research was funded by: Office of Naval Intelligence, National Institute of Handicapped Research, and Department of Education with a special thanks to Richard M. White of University of California, Berkeley.

INTRODUCTION

Pulsed microwaves have been heard as sound by radar operators since radar was invented during World War II.

The earliest report we have found on the auditory perception of pulsed micro-wave appeared in 1956 as an advertisement of the Airborne Instruments Lab in *Proceedings of the IRE*. The advertisement described observations made in 1947 on the hearing of sounds that occurred at the repetition rate of a radar while the listener stood close to a horn antenna. When the observers first told their coworkers in the Lab of their hearing experiences, they encountered skepticism and RATHER POINTED QUESTIONS ABOUT THEIR MENTAL HEALTH. (emphasis this author).

POWER LEVELS AND FREQUENCY RANGE

At very low frequency range (1MHz) a human-size biological object absorbs very little radio-frequency energy; however, the absorption can be appreciable at the resonant frequency near 70-80 MHz (where the long dimension of the body is approximately 0.4 wavelengths) (Durney et al., 1978). For a human head, the resonant frequency is near 600 Mhz....Although there is universal agreement on the thermal effects of the highlevel (100 milliWatts/centimeter squared) radio-frequency electromagnetic radiation, there is considerable debate on the biological effects of low-level (100mWatts/cm²) electromagnetic radiation.

The thresholds (for hearing, ed.) of average power density of fields at the head were determined to be 0.4 and 2mW/cm², respectively for the two transmitters.

In another experiment they used a 2450MHz pulse generator with pulses in the 0.5 to 32 microsecond width. The generator produced peak power of 10kw. Calculations indicated that the maximum amount of absorbed energy was 16 microJOULES/gram. (They are talking microjoules per gram now instead of milliwatts per cm squared. They use microjoules when they talk of absorbed energy and milliwatts when talking about radiated wattage. The two are related of course, but the energy absorbed depends on the makeup of the human head, whereas the energy radiated is dependent on the transmission power).

In another experiment they used 5 to 15 microsecond pulses at 3 Ghz frequency.

DISCUSSION

The microwave-induced auditory phenomenon is an example of a microwave-biological interaction that has been WELL QUANTIFIED and has been WIDELY ACCEPTED (emphasis this author) as a bonafide “weak-field” effect. Although originally the hypothesis of a direct nervous system stimulation was proposed, the evidence is now strongly convincing that the hearing phenomenon is related thermoelastically induced mechanical vibration. The same type of vibration can be produced by other means, e.g. by a laser pulse, or by activating a piezoelectric crystal in contact with the skull (Hmm, implants? ed.).

The paper ends with about a page of references to other works, one of which is Frey’s paper published in 1963.

So there you have it folks, Microwave hearing is fact, not fiction. [End quoting]

Hopefully, we all can begin to understand how the HAARP system and the local microwave

towers—planted approximately every 50 miles around our country—and other means can be used for programming us on an unconscious level.

SCIENTISTS FIND
GROWING PROOF OF PLANT-SPEAK

A Tobacco Plant Threatened By Disease Warns Neighbors To Watch Out For Germs

Excerpted from *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, 2/20/97, [quoting:]

A tobacco plant under attack from a virus gives off a chemical vapor that warns its neighbors, “Look out for the germs!”

Researchers said the finding is the first demonstration that plants can send an airborne warning about disease to other plants. Scientists have known for some time that plants can put out such signals about insects.

In lab experiments, tobacco plants gave off a vapor when attacked by a virus. When healthy tobacco plants were exposed to that vapor, they rallied their anti-virus defenses.

Other crops may communicate in the same way, said researcher Ilya Raskin of Rutgers University. But he said it must be proved that this signaling system works outdoors.

The research might lead to new pesticides, Raskin said.

There’s already evidence that trees can warn each other about insect invasions by airborne means. And scientists have identified an airborne chemical signal that sage plants send when chewed by insects; tomato plants respond to the signal by making themselves unpalatable to insect marauders.

Raskin and colleagues presented the work in today’s issue of *Nature*. [End quoting]

Many years ago Cleave Backster did research with plants whereby the plants could pick out guilty parties who put out thought waves of murder or injury to others or even to other plants. He used the plants as truth detectors.

WORDS OF WISDOM FROM
H. JACKSON BROWN’S MOTHER

Excerpted from *BOTTOM LINE PERSONAL*, 8/15/96, [quoting:]

Over the years I’ve saved the letters from my mother. What I cherished most were the postscripts she would write at the end of each one, such as...

* Promise only what you can deliver. Then deliver more than you promised.

* Praise is satisfying to receive, but it never teaches you anything new.

- * Don't be afraid to go out on a limb. That's where the fruit is.
- * You can consider yourself a good manager when you get superior work from average people.
- * It is my observation that too many of us are spending money we haven't earned...to buy things we don't need...to impress people we don't like. [*An excellent definition for too many Americans.*]
- * Everyone you meet knows something you don't know but need to know. Learn from them.
- * You'll learn more about a road by traveling it than by consulting all the maps in the world.
- * Almost all our unhappiness is the result of comparing ourselves with others.
- * Be smarter than other people—just don't tell them so. [End quoting]

How much better the world would be if we all lived up to this advice.

CHAPTER 5

ONCE UPON A TIME...

Editor's note: Many CONTACT readers have received this cover letter anonymously, with some of Russell's writings. For those of you who haven't seen it, here it is. This particular letter was faxed directly to the CONTACT office by one of our Hawaiian readers. Please also note box on p. 26 for Desir e Green's company now offering The Secret Of Light.

paste of letter p-27
2 pages

CHAPTER 6

SOME LEGAL ADVICE FROM
MRS. RUSSELL E. HERRMANN
by Mrs. Russell E. Herrmann 3/10/97

Mr. EJ and Doris Ekker
21521 Adam Drive
Tehachapi, CA 93561

Ref: Five (5) pages of solicitations from parties unknown, telecommunicated by wire, soliciting court ordered "Ban" or "Bar" on selling of the *Phoenix Journals* (affixed hereto).

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Ekker,

Last evening, commencing at 22:52 hours, March 9, 1997, and ending at 22:56 hours that date, five (5) pages of solicitations for court barred/banned sale of *Phoenix Journals* came through on my telecommunications equipment which, in our opinion, should be submitted immediately to the court governing the bar on the sale of said *Phoenix Journals*. This you must do, for serious breach of court order banning said sale has occurred.

The court should take notice of the following:

(1) These five (5) pages, at the top of said pages, have the date of 03/02/1997, which does not correspond with the date received stamped by this telefax evidenced on the bottom of said page (my receiving fax "G3" security receiver, sending fax signed).

(2) The type evidenced on said pages is not familiar type, as relating to prior communications between you and the two (2) of us, Mr. John Ray (my cousin), and myself, Mrs. Russell E. Herrmann-Herrman-Herman also known as Catherine and Grandma Herrman-Herman, writing under they byline of "Voice of the Old Guard".

(3) Probable entrapment is in process, which is a violation of 18 U.S.C. or commonly known to the court as Title 18, which is known as the criminal code. In brief, entrapment is defined as inducing of a person or persons to commit a crime not contemplated by him, for the purpose of instituting a criminal prosecution against him/her.

It matters not whether federal agents, agencies, employees, individuals and/or private individuals commit the inducing of entrapment.

(4) FCC Rules and Regulations as relating to mandatory fax headers, listing the sender's telephone/fax number have been violated, as no mandatory signature/identification is evidenced. Malicious intent to induce entrapment of yourselves, by others, by probable wire fraud and breach/violation of order of the court, by legal willfulness of others, is an outstanding probability. This would induce malicious prosecution

of innocent parties.

(5) The court should be noticed per federal civil procedure and rules, per mandatory notice to the court, Rule 60 (b), of newly discovered evidence of probable fraud, including violations of Title 18 (18 U.S.C.), Sec. 1512: Obstruction of Justice.

(6) Obstruction of justice occurs when a victim or a witness, is prevented from presenting evidence to a U.S. District Court Judge relating to criminal activity in a case set before said U.S. District Court Judge.

For the record, I have taught Constitutional Law since 1957. Also, for the record, I am ex-law enforcement and an officer of the court.

Also, for the record, if needed, I can produce letters of reference from a city, chief of police, senator, etc. However, I am disabled and unable to travel long distances. Said letters evidence my loyalty, integrity, honesty and ability.

Other law enforcement engagement evidences F# 631:182:001, from which I have not been released. For the record, records of numbers will evidence: 631=s U.S.A., 182=s John Fitzgerald Kennedy, 001=s the White House. Due to the President's untimely demise, I "was never released from service," and in all probability, due to the confusion at the time of the President's death, my records were lost. In searching for my records, a call was made to U.S. House Ways & Means Committee Chairman, Andy Jacobs, whereupon I was told that my "records were in Langley, Virginia, and they were not recoverable." (1993, Rep. Jacobs' telephone equipment can be subpoenaed for verification.)

John Ray is retired from AT&T with many years of outstanding service, and enjoyment of an excellent reputation, which is above par.

Mr. and Mrs. Ekker, you are strongly encouraged to take these five (5) pages to the court, and request the court for a court investigation into this matter as defined in said five (5) pages, as Acts of Legal Wilfulness have and are occurring. Legal Wilfulness is defined as "intentional disregard of known duty necessary to safety of person or property of another and entire absence of care for life, person or property of others. (Bartolucci v. Falleti, 314 Ill. App. 551, 41 N.E. 2d 777, 780)"

I do not practice law, I teach law.

You are strongly encouraged to take these affixed regional pages, which conform in numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, conforming with the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rules, Sec. 1001 and Sec. 1002, to the court of proper jurisdiction over these matters in the case now at issue.

Also, it is strongly encouraged by myself (since somehow I have become involved due to encroachments of fraudulent intent upon my person, etc.), you should pursue addressing the issues to the court of proper jurisdiction of Title 18 (18 U.S.C.) of the RICO Statutes, Sec. 1961, et seq., for extortion exists.

The extortion exists in the framework of a design to deprive you of your property and your personalities (reputations), by others, who have a modus operandi (MO) already preestablished, and in all probability

entered into said case now at issue.

We, Mr. Ray and myself, are not “willing participants”, we do not know the parties involved. The materials came to us unsolicited. We are fully cognizant of the fact neither yourselves nor *CONTACT* has the financial wherewithall to set up a WWW, or more commonly known as World Wide Web, site.

The Honorable Court should, in my opinion, bring forth a court order, ordering an immediate investigation into the telephone and telephone equipment records of others involved in this case at issue. Telephone records and equipment should easily verify the offender.

I remain,
Sincerely,

(*paste up sig and ***
****address russell and j. ray)******

CHAPTER 7

RELEARNING THE COMMON LAW PART II: HOW THE COMMON LAW WORKS

by Ray Bilger 3/14/97

Editor's note: The first part of this commentary was in our 3/4/97 issue of CONTACT on p.2. and in journal #199.

Most people do not have any comprehension of what the Common Law is because it has been replaced, for the most part, with Admiralty Law. In all 50 States of the United States of America the Common Law is supposed to still be in operation to the extent that it is not in conflict with statutory law, and there is substantial case law to support this (See 15A C.J.S., Corpus Juris Secundum, under "Common Law"). But with the merging of cases at law with cases in equity and with Admiralty, there is little room left for Common Law actions. Yet, since the Common Law is supposed to still be in operation, we must take this as our opening to once again use Common Law procedures in the State and Federal courts of America.

In mentioning the Common Law in statutes and cases within the 50 States, it is the Common Law of England which is discussed. The Common Law grew out of, and has its roots in, the operation of Natural Law or the Law of Nature. Natural Law may be simply defined as that system of right and justice held to be common to all mankind and independent of positive law.

Cicero (106-43 B.C.) said of Natural Law that it is "true law, right reason, diffused in all men, constant and everlasting." St. Thomas Aquinas suggested that the Law of Nature is "nothing less than the participation of the eternal law in the rational creature", and that it comprises those precepts that mankind is able to formulate, namely, the preservation of one's own good, the fulfillment of "those inclinations which nature has taught" to man and the pursuit of the knowledge of God. He contended that human law must be the particular application of the Natural Law.

Our *Declaration of Independence* makes important mention of the Laws of Nature in the first sentence: "When, in the course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume, among the powers of the Earth, the separate and equal station to which the laws of nature and nature's God entitle them..."

If people never disagreed about their interpretations of what the Natural Law is, or means, then there would have been no need for the Common Law court. However, in this three-dimensional human world, with everyone's perception and opinion being different than anyone else's, it was only natural that there would be different interpretations of the Natural Law. Thus the development of the Common Law court which acted as a third or neutral party to resolve those differences through a common sense approach.

In early medieval England there was only local law. There was no national law applicable to the whole country. Toward the end of the Anglo-Saxon age, there began to develop a body of law applying to the whole land, with one king to enforce it. By the time of the reign of Henry II (1154-1189) it was clear that there was going to be a body of law "common" throughout England. This is the source of the term "Common Law"; law "common" to all the people.

Well, not exactly all the people. That law didn't apply to the King. He ruled by the "Divine Right of Kings", which did not subject him to mere Common Law. Things do not appear to be much different today in that regard. President Bill Clinton can drop his drawers in front of any Paula Jones or Jennifer Flowers and be immune from prosecution. If we were to do the same, presuming, of course, we could lower our morals to the level of a Bill Clinton, we would be thrown in jail immediately. With Clinton, this is normal acceptable behavior.

Here is an example of how the Common Law works. Being a creature who follows the Laws of Nature, when you become hungry you want some food and so you go to your local merchant at a grocery store. You have a common right ("Common right refers to the right of citizens generally at common law." Spring Valley Water Works v. Schottler, 62 C. 69 [18823]) to go to places open to the public and your local merchant appreciates your business. He expects you to pay for your bread, meat and milk and you pay him. If you did not pay him you would be stealing, which, of course, is a violation of the Common Law, and the merchant would have every right to pursue a Common Law action against you.

Since most all of our rights today have been turned into privileges now requiring licenses (contracts) in order to pursue those rights, that Common Law hardly functions at all the way it used to. If you happen to be driving your car in a parking lot and someone backs out of a parking space and your two cars bump each other, you both get out and discuss what just happened. This is what responsible people would commonly be expected to do, but if you are unable to resolve the matter to the mutual satisfaction of the two of you, you don't have a Common Law court in operation to help you resolve the matter. Besides, you both now have contracts (driver's licenses) and you now call a policeman and you take the matter up in Admiralty Court. Americans today are so litigation crazy that as soon as someone bumps into their car, they think they just won the lottery!!

Not to change the subject, but if you really want to see how Natural Law works on a larger scale here in America, you may soon have an opportunity to see it. Just as important as the Common Law, if not moreso, is the fact that we have to face in the not-too-distant future what has commonly been referred to as Earth Changes. When these Earth Changes manifest there may well be total devastation from a magnitude 10 or 11 or 12+ earthquake! We have not seen this in our lifetime and it may be difficult to comprehend, but anyone who has reached a certain level of intelligence and knowledge about this period of Planetary and Solar Transition which we are going through, knows this will happen. And when it does, it may well bring down any building standing above ground.

=

1 page
notice of special appear.

=

1 page order

This will mean that all normal infrastructure functions and services will not be there for us. A firetruck, for

instance, would have to be parked outside, but even if the roads were passable, which is unlikely, the water mains underground would all be ruptured and, hence, the firetruck would be useless. Besides, there may well be gas fires from broken gas lines everywhere and we will be thinking only of survival.

When this happens, those who are alive will naturally seek each other out. It will be neighbor helping neighbor. On the immediate level it will be Natural Law and only Natural Law. Common sense tells us that. If everyone else in your family is dead (transitioned) or alive because you all happened to be in your back yard, people will get together. You may go to your neighbor, or they may come to you and say, “Bill and Helen are all right because they had just pulled up in their car, but the Joneses are all buried under their house!”

In this case, the Natural Law will work, and where people can keep a level head and use their common sense, it will work well. People will have to work together to get what food they can from their broken houses, and may be able to make some makeshift accommodations for shelter. The survivalist will fare very well and be quite popular. According to a writing by Serapis Bey which appeared in the March 4, 1997 issue of *CONTACT*, “Fear and shock shall be among the greater causes of death.”

There will, of course, be some functioning sectors of the Admiralty Law in operation, such as F.E.M.A., but to say that they will be overwhelmed would be a gross understatement. For those who have survived, it will be the Natural Law, that is, common sense, that they will have to rely upon.

Let’s come back now to the present moment. When the Common Law works, everyone is happy. But when you get a ticket because your registration expired on your car, you’re not happy. If you have to pay a yearly registration fee (a tax) on your car, then you don’t own it. The Common Law has ceased to function in all situations, at all times. We now have this enforced situation of Admiralty Law and it only makes people unhappy. People are not happy under Admiralty Law because it has the automatic, inherent potential for creating abuses of rights, great unrest and unhappiness.

We may eventually come to remember that happiness is almost a requirement under the Common Law. Our Common Rights are our inalienable rights (common to everyone) and, if anyone can remember back to our *Declaration of Independence*, those inalienable rights come from our Creator and include the right to enjoy life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. These are just some of the rights which are guaranteed by the *Ninth Amendment* to the *Constitution*. So, we can say that the source of our Common Rights is our Creator.

Mr. Justice Field of the Supreme Court expounded very clearly and very eloquently on those inalienable rights mentioned in the *Declaration of Independence*, in his concurring opinion in the case of *Butcher’s Union Slaughterhouse v. Crescent City Live Stock Co.*, 111 U.S. 746, 756, 757 (1884):

“These inherent rights have never been more happily expressed than in the *Declaration of Independence*, that new evangel of liberty to the people: ‘We hold these truths to be self-evident’, that is, so plain that their truth is recognized upon their mere statement, ‘that all men are endowed;’ not by edicts of Emperors or decrees of Parliament or Acts of Congress, but ‘by their Creator, with certain inalienable rights’ that is, rights which cannot be bartered away or given away or taken away except in punishment of crime; ‘and that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, and to secure these,’ not grant them but

secure them, ‘governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.’

Among these inalienable rights, as proclaimed in that great document, is the right of men to pursue their happiness, by which is meant the right to pursue any lawful business or vocation, in any manner not inconsistent with the equal rights of others...

It has been well said that, ‘The property which every man has in his own labor, as it is the original foundation of all other property, so it is the most sacred and inviolable...’ Adam Smith, *Wealth of Nations*, bk. 1, ch. 10.”

We’ve gotten this far in our history because the Common Law has worked for centuries, and we made progress as a civilization. But with the Admiralty Law now in place, it is worse than anything we’ve ever known. America, a nation created to be free, is now populated by a slave society. The Common Law, by its very definition, demands a just society.

What we need to do now is examine how we can take the Common Law which works naturally within society, and extend that to once again cover all situations not currently covered under the Common Law. To do anything less, and to continue to try to work within the totally corrupt system now in place, is nothing short of courting disaster and will surely end in immense loss. We must return Justice to its rightful place in God’s Creation, of which this planet is an important part.

Things go along through existence in time until a certain point is reached, where, if things are not operating as originally intended, then mechanisms are set in motion which bring about an atoning or atonement. This is the way nature has always worked. Know, however, that our imaginations are sufficient to the task of correcting things, if we will only wake up from our seemingly endless sleep. What would you do personally in your life to change things to bring the Common Law back into play? Think of what it would be like to be free and to enjoy all of the fruits of your labors!

WHAT’S IN A NAME?
MORE THAN YOU MAY THINK!

There has been more than a bit of disagreement around these parts recently concerning whether or not your name should appear on legal documents with all capital letters, or whether it should appear with capital letters only at the beginning of your first and last name, with all other letters in lower case. It would seem that we have all forgotten who we are!

When you were born, did your parents name you and spell your name with all capital letters? There shouldn’t be anyone answering yes to that question. Well, if your name was not all capital letters at birth, and someone or something is trying to make you use all capital letters today, then something happened somewhere along the way to bring about this change—and for some specific reason, make no mistake about that!

When you turned 18 years of age, or 21, did you suddenly receive a new birth certificate in the mail from your government (state or federal) showing your name now in all capital letters? Again, everyone should answer no, because that did not happen. Well, what did happen? Here’s a clue: Your name in all capital

letters denotes you as a “Statutory” “Person”, a “Fiction of Law”, now “subject to” all of the licenses, registrations, permits, rules and regulations, etc., in force since 1933. You may wonder, how do they get new Citizens into this trap?

At some point in your youth, probably in your teenage years, you wanted to get a job so that you could have some money to spend to buy those things you thought you needed. This was only natural. The first thing you do then is get an application for a Social Security Card, to get a Social Security Number, because everyone else (already fooled and indoctrinated into the “system”) tells you that you must have this in order to work. You, being young and naive and not knowing otherwise, get your application, fill it out, and send it in. And on that form, or if you have applied for a passport, or a driver’s license, or whatever, they all ask you the one all-important and determining question (which, of course, is a trick question), “Are you a United States Citizen?” Yes or No. If you are asked this question now, most people will probably say yes. If you did say yes, you were just tricked into becoming a “Statutory” “Person”, a “Fiction of Law”! But you’re not a Russian Citizen, or Chinese, so what does this mean? You should have said: “No, I’m a Citizen of the United States of America!” Please note the difference carefully, because it is the difference between a life of slavery and a life of freedom.

The words that begin the above statements give title over the words that follow them. The Founders and Framers never contemplated the existence of a corporate entity called the “United States”, but this is what has been created on paper and supposedly exists in Washington, D.C. If you are a Sovereign Citizen, you are free, you have inalienable rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, and no one or no thing has title over you. You are the king of your domain and you call yourself a Citizen of the United States of America.

If, however, you are a sheep who follows others, and you are incapable of entertaining an independent thought, you will allow yourself to follow on after the words “United States” and call yourself a United States Citizen. This is the “Statutory” “Person” who may grumble a bit, but ends up giving 60-75 percent, or more, of what he earns back to the government (both state and federal) in the form of all sorts of taxes, fees, charges, costs, dues, penalties, interest, etc. And all that money goes toward insuring your own self destruction! Sad, isn’t it? But it doesn’t have to be that way.

Again, it comes down to one: you. What will you, as an individual, do about it?

Know that this corporate entity called the “United States” has taken control of almost everything. Let’s look again at the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (F.R.C.P.), Rule 1, under “Notes of Advisory Committee on Rules”, “1948 Amendment”, which states:

“The amendment effective October 20, 1949, substituted the words ‘United States district courts’ for the words ‘district courts of the United States’.”

What this means is that the *Constitution* is suspended and we are “subjects” (or slaves), subject to this corporate entity called “United States”, and that we now function under Admiralty Law. Under the *Constitution*, under Article III, which defines our Judicial Branch of government, our district courts would be called, and were called, District Courts of the United States. With the new wording, those courts now come under the control of the **corporate entity** called “United States”. Note that the year of that amendment, 1948, is the same year that Israel gained its nationhood. Surely, just a coincidence.

Well, what can we do about this mess? For those willing to grab their pens and stand up and fight, there's a lot we can do! For those who are still thinking about it, remember, it's never too late to grow a backbone and quit being a jellyfish. The least you can do to honor your parents who gave you your name is to reclaim that name and never, ever again allow your name to be written in all capital letters by anyone, anytime, anywhere!

You will notice, for example, that all correspondence from the Internal Revenue Service (I.R.S.) always shows your name in all capital letters. What do you suppose they would do if you told them that that is not the name your parents gave you? These words are in no way intended to suggest that anyone break any laws. But, only you can decide which name you will allow to be used to refer to you. Do you want to use the name your parents gave you, or do you want to be a Statutory Person, i.e., a slave???

What is a "Fiction of Law"? *Black's Law Dictionary*, Revised 4th Edition (1968), at page 751, defines the term as, "Something known to be false is assumed to be true. *Ryan v. Motor Credit Co.*, 23 A.2d 607, 621." You assumed you were a United States Citizen, didn't you? Your name in all capital letters denotes you as a "Fiction of Law".

If you appear in court for some victimless crime in the capacity of pro se, which means you represent yourself, you have just broken the statutory laws of the State which say that only a licensed attorney is allowed to practice law in the courtroom. If you, a common Citizen, are practicing law without a license, you have just committed another victimless crime! Oh, no!

Nor should you appear in court as pro per (in Propria Persona, in proper person). Both of these, pro se and pro per, admit the jurisdiction of the Admiralty Court. If you do not wish to do that, then perhaps you might consider appearing as "Citizen At The Common Law". This seems less complicated than Citizen in Party, and can be understood by even the common man or woman. It also says exactly what you want the court to know and understand.

The use of the term "Citizen" is all important. *Black's Law Dictionary*, Revised 4th Edition, at page 310, defines the term "Citizen" in part as: "A member of a free city or jural society, possessing all the rights and privileges which can be enjoyed by any person under its Constitution and government... In re McIntosh, 12 F.Supp. 177. One of the sovereign people. A constituent member of the sovereignty synonymous with the people. *Scott v. Sanford*, 19 How. 404, 15 L.Ed. 691."

You could also state on the record the fact that you are not making a General Appearance, you are making a Special Appearance, and that you demand all of your rights under the Common Law at all times, waiving none of your rights at any time. According to *Black's Law Dictionary*, Revised 4th Edition, a General Appearance "is a simple and unqualified or unrestricted submission to the jurisdiction of the court", while a Special Appearance "is for the purpose of testing the sufficiency of service or the jurisdiction of the court."

Since failure to demand a right waives a right, if you do not state on the record that you are making a Special Appearance, then it is presumed that you are making a General Appearance. Any honest judge would explain this. Have you ever seen that happen? At your very first opportunity to speak in the courtroom, you must immediately tell the Judge, "Before we proceed, Your Honor, I have some Proce-

dural matters that need to be brought before the court.” This is nothing more than what the attorneys do. You will then deliver a NOTICE OF SPECIAL APPEARANCE with attached ORDER. (See insets)

The Judge will probably not sign the ORDER, but you should state on the record: “Just let the record show that I have asked the court to sign an ORDER stipulating that the Admiralty/Maritime flag flown in this court does not create, confer, or otherwise acquiesce this accused Citizen into any Admiralty/Maritime and/or quasi-Admiralty/Maritime jurisdiction, and that the court has refused to sign said ORDER. Let the record also show that the accused does not recognize or submit voluntarily to any Admiralty/Maritime jurisdiction here today.”

In other words, you are not appearing voluntarily, but you are only appearing against your will and over your objection, and under threat, duress and coercion, which should also be stated. If questioned, you could explain that you felt threatened that you would be put in jail if you didn’t appear. This sets the record that you are not voluntarily submitting to the Admiralty jurisdiction, but that you are being forced into it against your will. You will thereby set the record for appeal that this is now a rights case and your rights have been violated. The Supreme Court doesn’t like to see these kinds of cases. They **have to address** rights violations. Of course, you may find that to appeal lets those who violated your rights at least temporarily off the hook, and you may decide instead to immediately file lawsuits against them, i.e., Title 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983 et seq!!

The reason you must demand your rights is that they will not be accorded to you if you do not demand them. In the case of *U.S. v. Johnson*, 76 F.Supp. 538, 540 (1947), the court addressed the *Fifth Amendment* privilege against self-incrimination as follows: “The privilege against self-incrimination is neither accorded to the passive resistant, nor to the person who is ignorant of his rights, nor to one indifferent thereto. It is a fighting clause. Its benefits can be retained only by sustained combat. It cannot be claimed by attorney or solicitor. It is only valid when insisted upon by a belligerent claimant in person.”

A significant and increasing number of Common Law practitioners and Constitutionalists believe that what the court said above in 1947 concerning the *Fifth Amendment* applies today to all of the first ten amendments (our *Bill of Rights*). All of those amendments are now fighting clauses, because we are being denied our rights and we must insist upon being accorded them. One can demand those rights without really being belligerent, but we must not back down. We have to be persistent or we will be ignored. We may be ignored anyway, but we’ve then set the record that here is a Citizen being denied his rights!!

Black’s Law Dictionary, Revised 4th Edition, at page 900, states that if jurisdiction is pleaded by an attorney, that “admits the jurisdiction, as an attorney is an officer of the court, and he is presumed to plead after having obtained leave, which admits the jurisdiction.”

As well, you have the right under the Common Law to assistance of counsel who is not a licensed attorney. America’s Founders were very adamant about this, and there was a very strong anti-lawyer sentiment at the time of the writing of our *Constitution*. You could tell the Judge that you demand your right, pursuant to the *Sixth* and *Ninth Amendments* to the *Constitution*, to assistance of counsel who is not a licensed attorney. The *Ninth Amendment* supports your *Sixth Amendment* rights. (By the way, that demand could be included in your NOTICE OF SPECIAL APPEARANCE. That way the matter is presented to the court in written form and orally, when you discuss the matter.)

The Judge will probably say, “Absolutely not, no one can practice law without a license.” You should then state on the record, “Well, just let the record show that this court has just denied me my *Sixth Amendment* Constitutional Right to unqualified assistance of counsel.” Let the Judge know that the *Sixth Amendment* is not qualified. It makes absolutely no mention whatsoever of attorneys or lawyers!

The Judge may tell you he will appoint a licensed attorney for you, but you must refuse. With a lawyer appointed for you who is actually paid by the Admiralty Court, and the Judge working for the Admiralty Court, and your opposing counsel a member of the bar association which operates within the Admiralty Courts, do you think you stand any chance of seeing Justice served??? Not in your lifetime under those circumstances!

You might point out to the Judge that in the Supreme Court’s decision in the case of *Faretta v. California*, 422 U.S. 806 (1975), the Court clearly stated that pleading for hire was prohibited in Massachusetts, Connecticut, Virginia, North Carolina and South Carolina in the 17th Century. The Court also stated that the Pennsylvania Frame of Government, perhaps “the most influential of the Colonial documents protecting individual rights” stated “That, in all courts all persons of all persuasions may freely appear in their own way, and according to their own manner, and there personally plead their own cause themselves; or, if unable, by their friends...” This goes to show the original intent of the Founders and Framers.

Do you see what you’re doing here? You are turning any case into a Civil Rights case, and the Judge knows it. You are making him look like a Civil Rights violater which, of course, he is. Civil Rights violations can be addressed with Title 42 U.S.C., Sec. 1983 lawsuits!!

At some point along here the Judge may tell you that he is going to charge you with contempt of court. You should respond, “Let me see if I understand the court’s position, Your Honor. Are you going to do something bad, wicked, terrible and awful to me just because I come into this court demanding all of my rights?” Now you’ve really got him mad. This is all on the record, of course. You must make the Judge look like the bad man he is. If you’re not having fun, you’re not doing it right.

He may get upset with you, but you must remain calm. He may yell at you and this is not shown on the record, so you must put it on the record. You always want to put whatever you want to say in the form of a question. Practice doing this, because they will always let you ask a question. In this case, you could ask, “Are you yelling at me, Your Honor, because I’ve come into this courtroom demanding all of my rights, or are you yelling at me for some other reason?” You may see steam coming out of his ears at this point!! No matter what his apologetic response may be, you wait until it’s your turn to speak and then you continue to get the matter clearly on the record. You could say, “Well, it certainly sounded like you were yelling at me and that makes me feel very uncomfortable,” or “now you’ve caused me to lose my train of thought; now, where was I?”

Judges like to be in control of “their” courtrooms. **You** must take control by asking questions and clearly stating on the record how you are appearing and that you demand all of your rights. The Judge might ask you some question to trick you and trap you. You could respond to this by saying, “Are you looking for an admission or confession, Your Honor?” He may say, “Yes, I want you to admit such and such.” You could then say, “Well, I’m not here today to make admissions or confessions, Your Honor. I’m here to have my rights recognized.” If you are clever, you can continually turn things around to a discussion of your rights.

Be careful though, he might get fed up with this.

None of the above information should be construed as giving legal advice. This author does not give legal advice. This is merely an explanation of Common Law procedures which the reader may or may not wish to follow in our Admiralty Courts to regain your rights.

You should also know that to plead either guilty or not guilty grants jurisdiction to the court. This is why you must always stand Mute before the court. Taking an Oath to tell the truth, “so help you God,” also grants jurisdiction. How did God suddenly get into the courtroom? Aren’t we supposed to have separation of Church and State? If you can remember for the court (perhaps a photocopy would help), *Matthew 5:34-37* states:

“34. But I say unto you, Swear not at all; neither by Heaven; for it is God’s throne:

35. Nor by the Earth; for it is His footstool: neither by Jerusalem; for it is the city of the great King.

36. Neither shalt thou swear by the head, because thou canst not make one hair white or black.

37. But let your communication be, Yea, yea; Nay, nay: for whatsoever is more than these cometh of evil.”

You should tell the Judge you cannot go against the *Bible*. He may ask you if you will then affirm. Again, “Your Honor, that is just another way of swearing.” You will assure him, however, that you are there to tell the truth and that is what you will do, but you will not go against the *Bible*. He knows you’ve still not submitted to his jurisdiction.

Paying of bail also submits to the court’s jurisdiction. You can inform the Judge that your understanding is that the only reason for bail is to insure the appearance of a party in court. You must tell him you have every intention of pursuing this case, and that you have never missed a court appearance (if that is true). He will ask you some questions about where you live or what you do, and you will be absolutely courteous and informative in your responses. He should release you O.R. (on your own recognizance).

Beware, in all activity prior to the bail question the Judge will know what you are doing and he will try to trick you into his jurisdiction. He will know he has a Constitutionalist on his hands. You must be as clever as the fox but gentle as the dove. You will prevail, and if he doesn’t dismiss, look at the record you’ve set for appeal. You must always prepare and pursue your case as if you intended to take it to the Supreme Court.

It is still not too late to turn things around and regain control of America for the Citizens of the United States for whom she was founded. The Common Law is the only way we will do it. It has worked for thousands of years, and if brought back into Full Force and Effect it can and will work once again. The only thing that will destroy us will be our own inaction. In that situation we become our own worst enemy.

Let’s get the job done!!

CHAPTER 8

ESU: ALLOW THE BUTTERFLY TO EMERGE IN SPLENDOR

3/11/97 ESU “JESUS” SANANDA

Peace, Rick. Esu present in Light, in service to light the way for mankind during the hours of darkness that lay ahead.

My voice is soft, yet you hear me clearly. I needn't shout, although shout I will if the occasion arises whereby shouting will merit results.

It is of utmost importance, Rick, that you spend time with me. As I told you, you cannot do it alone, you must have the assistance of Spirit unto Spirit. It is a dangerous, yet exciting, journey that lays before you. Do not fall aside over foolish errors; you are more worthy than that and God did not error in accepting your service at this time.

There are MANY who are awaiting my messages because they are faltering, they are tired, they are frustrated, and they just want to give up. And yet even by “giving up” they find themselves back in the same stew-pot they were in before. It is called the “wheel of life”. Haven't you experienced the wheel enough, Thomas?

There is such splendor in the realms of Light, and yet I do not say this to have each one who reads this to want to “check out” to work in the realm of Spirit, for by doing so they automatically are returned unto the wheel of life, to again experience in the physical manifestation. The point is not to avoid one's lessons but to confront them, for therein is the path to becoming One with Spirit, One with God of Light wherein there is truly freedom from the enslavement of the darkness.

The adversarial influence is like a thick, dark cloud over your planet and you must remain, literally, in constant vigil to overcome that influence upon you. You each must ASK, ASK, ASK! We of these realms told you ones early on that, if you must clear your space a thousand times a day, then do so. Make it as automatic as breathing!

I must tell on Rick. He used to be somewhat perturbed at the idea of having to clear his space so frequently and would think loudly to himself, to us of Light: “Well can't you guys hold the space clear longer than that?!”

Ha! Of course, you each grow up through those thoughts to realize that the clearing with Spirit begins with soul-self, in the direct connection with God-Spirit. Has it not often been said that the adversary will be allowed to “have a go at you of Light”—in part to test your mettle and see what you will do? This is a school, remember?

True, you each have a purpose of one sort or another but the lessons must be learned along the way

toward realization, understanding, and bringing into fruition that purpose. There are so many misperceptions about the way it is, mostly caused by your confounded religious doctrines.

Most are simply not willing to learn, to be OPEN to new thoughts. A closed mind is a prison wherein the being shall remain confined until hell freezes or until there is a release of some kind that will allow for change.

Change is the only constant in this, God's Creation. Change is beautiful, glorious really. It is as the caterpillar to the butterfly: the caterpillar doesn't THINK about becoming the butterfly, it just does so as a natural course of experience. And ultimately the butterfly emerges from the chrysalis to fly in the light.

You are not unlike the caterpillar, but too many of you are becoming imprisoned in the chrysalis, and to break free you must begin to realize there is so much more to this world, and this universe, than self.

This is a photograph of Esu "Jesus" Sananda taken in 1961.

Let me give another personal example from Rick. Rick's mother, Zita, is in a wheelchair these days at the nursing home. Yet, when she feels sorry for herself and is wheeled down the hallway to the outside courtyard, she passes many others who cannot even speak!

Everything is relative in this world, and when you think you have it bad, take a careful look around you, and this applies PARTICULARLY to those in the cities, although it is true everywhere. Look and see the homeless on the streets or those living in cardboard boxes.

Ah! But then, once you witness them, what do you do? Usually you say, "Oh, the bums," or, "Yeah, but they chose that." Did they? There, but for the Grace of God, go thee, and "That which you do unto the least of Mine, ye have done unto Me". Remember?

When you can get out of the little perceptive cell of self long enough to offer those less fortunate ones a bowl of soup or a blanket, then perhaps the chrysalis will have a small hole in it which will ultimately allow the butterfly to emerge. Otherwise you will remain imprisoned in your little cells called self, and you shall remain on the wheel of life, time and time and time again.

Now, let us discuss something else. How do you pray and for what do you pray? Most pray for money and for self. When you pray for everyone and everything EXCEPT self, you have arrived! When you pray for "all my relations" as your Native American brothers teach, you are there. This is not to say that God does not hear your innermost desires and "wants". He does! He is just waiting for you to realize that, as a co-creator, if you really want something, you will find a way to get up off your rear and make it so.

You have become a fat and complacent culture, a lazy culture. Chopping wood and carrying water seem to be foreign concepts, particularly to you of the American side of things.

Is it any wonder that the Master Teachers would usually start their new students either by cleaning and scrubbing toilets or by chopping wood and carrying water. Well, I can assure you of this: before all is said and done, most of you left alive will be very happy to be able to chop wood and carry water—and shall do so!

Get off this “woe is me” kick of helplessness. You are Godly beings! Start acting like Godly beings! Why do you tear apart your brothers, limb from limb, in hypocritical judgement? You each and all must learn to walk in Truth.

If your adversary slaps you in the face, for God’s sake, do NOT turn the other cheek, but go down and report it, and then sue him! Yes, you heard me. (Now there, Rick, you’ve gone and done it. We just lost half our audience. It’s alright, the half we want are still reading.)

Until you squarely face the adversary and stand your ground, you might as well just lay down and roll over, because you are as good as dead. And I did not say to pick up a gun. I say pick up a pen and write a lawsuit. If you do not regain control of your legal system, you as a society are gone—dead and buried and destined for a thousand years of darkness, starting back at the cave WITHOUT tools.

Mankind will lift itself up or mankind will go down, it is that painfully simple. Don’t you see?!

I do not come to shower you with sweet blessings of nothingness; I come as your Lord and I come with the Hosts of God who are the most wondrous, magnificent, and STRONG Beings of Light who exist in this, the known universe, and those beyond.

Do not brush aside the help at your disposal, for to do so is folly. Little self does NOT know better than God, so isn’t it better to swallow that pride and do away with ego, open your minds, LEARN, and then act in Wisdom on that knowledge?! Therein is the path of Freedom from the chrysalis. Therein the keys to the cell are given unto thee. Therein is the way off the wheel of life!

I Am Sananda,
I Am Captain of the Ship.
I Come In Light,
With a Sword,
And Mine Enemies Had
Better Be Placed on Notice:
Your Time is at Hand.
So be it and Selah!

My hand rests upon my faithful crew.

**Stay within my shelter for
therein is safe harbor.**

You are greatly loved.
Salu.

CHAPTER 9

BARR CONFIRMS ASKING JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
TO MEET ON IMPEACHMENT INQUIRY

3 page paste of announce

CHAPTER 10

WHAT'S IN A NAME?

Quite A Lot If You
Care To Fit The Pieces
by Calvin Burgin 3/12/97

How many of you remember Isadore Lipschitz? Or Benny Kubelsky? Or Nathan Birnbaum? Or Israel Thornstein? Or Isador Demsky? Or Ehrich Weiss? Or Myron Wallik? How about Joseph Levitch? Oh, you remember them all, you just remember them under their fake names, not their real names!

Well, how about Maria Jana Korbelova? You need to know about these people. Perhaps the following will help you know!

WORLDLINE

Calvin Burgin, 404 Gate Tree Lane, Austin, TX 78745-3137 #6 Mar. 12, 1997

MARIA JANA KORBELOVA SWORN IN AS SECRETARY OF STATE

Former U. N. Ambassador Maria Jana Korbelova was sworn in as our new Secretary of State in January, 1997. She is better known under the name of Madeleine Albright (she is divorced from newspaper heir Joseph Medill Patterson Albright). She was born in Prague on May 15, 1937. Being Jewish, the family fled the Nazis and spent the war years in London. The *Washington Post*, on Feb. 4, 1997, carried an article that “revealed” that Albright is a Jew. For instance, a birth certificate said her father was “Jewish.”

The stories generally say Maria Jana Korbelova was born in 1937. The Czech name Korbelova (I think it means something like “of the family of Korbel”) is now usually being Americanized into Korbel. In March of 1939, the family left Czechoslovakia for London, ten days after the German invasion of her homeland. They returned to Czechoslovakia in 1945 after the war. Her father was a politician. In 1948, the Communists took over and the family fled to the United States.

There is other information that conflicts with some of the official stories. Albright's father Josef/Joseph was a *Communist Party functionary and diplomat* and became the Czech ambassador to Belgrade (Yugoslavia) from 1945 to 1948. He had served there as attaché from 1936-1938. The Korbelova family, with help from the Serbs, fled from Belgrade to Cairo, then to London, and after the war he returned to Prague as ambassador to the U.S. In 1951, Josef wrote a book called *Tito's Yugoslavia*. Josef was a Czech Khazar Stalinist, and a Communist Party leader along with Bela Khun/Cohen, Jacob Berman, Anna Pauker, Maryas Rakosi/Rosenkranz, Karl Liebknecht, Rosa Luxemburg, etc. Albright claims that they fled from Hitler's Nazis and then later from the Communists, but some evidence indicates the family left because of an internal split in the Communists' ranks. In September 1947, Joseph/Josef Korbelova was working with Rudolph Slansky (some records says Slaski) but some kind of split occurred in the Party and the Korbel

family left in 1948, according to some records. “Beginning in September, 1948, the Polish Communist Party purged itself of many thousands of so-called ‘national Communists’ who had approved the Yugoslav leader Tito’s defiance of the Soviet dictator Joseph Stalin” (*Funk & Wagnalls Encyclopedia*). Slansky was tried as a Zionist in 1951 and executed. Andrew and Leslie Cockburn, in *Dangerous Liaisons*, said: “Toward the end of 1951, Soviet propaganda began to take on a distinctly antisemitic edge. The most notable example came with the arrest in November of that year of the Czech Communist Leader Rudolph and other senior members of the regime, eleven of whom were Jewish.” I think “Rudolph” was Rudolph Slansky. Slansky was a Jew whose real name was Rudolf Salzman.

So many lies have been told about this area and time (so what else is new?). We are told that the Romanovs were murdered, but evidence has come forth that proves otherwise, and there are the stories of Michael Goleniewski (Aleksei Romanoff) and Guy Richards and Reinhard Heydrich and such. Goleniewski/Romanoff became a U.S. spy in this area. He was interviewed by Sherman Skolnick in 1974. And let’s not forget the \$38 BILLION in gold and platinum in 1930s dollars that was involved. Those are stories that need to be told some other time. I wonder how much Korbel, as Ambassador, knew of any of this?

THE LETTER THE WASHINGTON POST
REFUSED TO PRINT

William Dorich, president of SAVA, The Serbian American Voters Alliance, wrote a letter which was reprinted in *The American Srbobran* which stated:

“Madeleine Albright was a Roman Catholic, then an Episcopalian, now a Jew, what next, a Muslim? Her egotistical phrase of, ‘I tell it like it is,’ takes on a whole new meaning.

“Albright may have hoodwinked the editors of the *Post*, or, cooperated in exchange for their partisan views. However, that does not change the single fact that the Korbel family went first to Belgrade when they fled Czechoslovakia, a fact that is often omitted from the coverage of this Albright whitewash. To the Serbian people who helped save the lives of Josef Korbel, his family and his daughter, Madeleine Albright, like Pavle Jankovic, a Yugoslav journalist who became their close personal friend, and those who are still alive who knew them, these Serbs are amused at Albright’s self-serving charade. Albright shows her gratitude to her Serbian friends by using bigoted terminology when describing Serbs and by eliminating any reference to her father’s Ambassadorship to Yugoslavia. The lapse of four years in her bogus biography is certainly not by accident, nor was the withholding of her Jewish background until her confirmation. What an outrageous lack of professionalism that Michael Dobbs of the *Washington Post* withheld this information until her confirmation to print his special section of the *Washington Post* this past Sunday. He knew this information weeks before he prepared this special feature, but he too elected to keep it out of print until her confirmation as Secretary of Hate. I suggest that this once-in-a-lifetime interview was bought and paid for with his silence.

“In a 1993 article I wrote for the *American Srbobran* published in Pittsburgh, I exposed Ms. Albright as being Jewish, based on material from the writing of Pavle Jankovic and the files of Politika, the Belgrade newspaper. When I telephoned Albright’s secretary at the UN to confirm my evidence I was told, ‘We will not make any comment about this absurd accusation.’ Now, four years later in 1997, Ms. Albright wishes

us to believe that for the ‘first time last week, she learned about her Jewish background.’ What hypocrisy, what arrogant duplicity!

“I do not blame the Korbel family from changing their religious affiliation in order to save their lives; tens of thousands did during the Holocaust. Left out of all of the articles, currently in fashion in the media is the simple fact that at the time Ms. Albright’s family came to the United States, there was enormous anti-Semitism and McCarthyism! Apparently no newspaper is willing to mention the truth that the Korbel family continued to betray their faith for political advantage in the same way that thousands of Serbian Orthodox Christians in Bosnia embraced Islam during centuries of Ottoman oppression.” Serbian American Voters Alliance, One Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA, 15222.

Maria went to Switzerland where she attended school at age 10 and adopted the name of Madeleine. She and her family later moved to Denver where she spent her teen years. In 1959 she became a reporter for the *Rolla Daily News* of Rolla, Mo. (home of a University of Missouri campus—mentioned in last issue of *Worldline*).

She attended Wellesley College in Massachusetts (as did Hillary Rodham) where she campaigned for CFR member Adlai Stevenson. Later she received a doctorate from Columbia University. She speaks Czech, Serb, Polish, Russian and French (plus English of course). She is a personal friend (and official advisor) of former Czechoslovak President Vaclav Havel. Her selection as Secretary of State is celebrated by many as a great step for women, but I suggest you also ponder the fact that we now have a Secretary of State who was born in a foreign country. She fits right in with the foreign-born head of our military, Shalickashvili. As fourth in line, if something happened to the President, the Vice President, and the Speaker of the House, Albright would then become President of the United States. But since the *Constitution* forbids one foreign born to become President, that might present the appearance of a problem. In reality it doesn’t matter, because she is dedicated to the destruction of the *Constitution* and the implementation of the New World Order.

ALBRIGHT’S COHORTS

In 1976, Albright was part of the staff of Senator Edmund Muskie (CFR member), she became a member of the CFR (Council on Foreign Relations) in 1975, and in 1978 she became part of the National Security Council Bolsheviks working with President Carter (CFR), Harold Brown (CFR), Henry Kissinger (CFR), et al. She worked directly for Zbigniew Brzezinski (CFR) as a legislative liaison (the *Washington Times* 11/24/92, p. A7, called Albright a “disciple of former National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski”). In 1981-1982 she was a senior fellow in Soviet and Eastern European affairs at the Center for Strategic and International Studies. During the 1984 presidential campaign, she was the top foreign adviser to Walter Mondale (CFR) and Geraldine Ferraro (CFR). In 1987 she was the chief foreign policy adviser to Michael Dukakis (CFR in 1989), where she worked with William Clinton. She was supported in this position by John Steinbruner, director of foreign studies programs at the Brookings Institution. Edmund Muskie once said, “If we’re going to make these things unisexual, then Madeleine ought to be at the head of the line” (*The New American*, Jan. 20, 1997, p. 32). *Time* magazine says one of her best friends is Barbra Streisand. Texe Marrs in *Big Sister Is Watching You* (1993) calls her “Madame New World Order”. When Albright met Iraqi Foreign Minister Tariq Aziz, she wore a snake brooch. The Iraqi news agency called her a snake.

The *Washington Times* of Jan. 7, 1994, lists 10 ambassadorships that went to top donors to the Democratic campaign, including Swanee Grace Hunt (daughter of H. L. Hunt) who paid \$328,700 and received the ambassadorship to Austria; Pamela Harriman who donated \$130,902 and received the post to France; and Madeleine Albright, who paid \$29,350 and became ambassador to the United Nations. *Spotlight* newspaper, 1/11/93, p. 16, said Albright is “well connected to the Israel lobby” and also said she was “daughter of a prominent Jewish defector from Communist Czechoslovakia.” Funny how everybody that knew her knew all along that she was Jewish, but she says she did not know this until just these past few weeks? “I’m deeply hurt that people think I’m lying,” she said on Feb. 25th. I suppose she never asked her parents what happened to her grandparents (supposedly killed in the “Holocaust”)? It’s as if she were a robotoid clone with an incomplete downloaded program memory.

Current Biography says “in collaboration with Warren Christopher [CFR], Anthony Lake [CFR], and Samuel R. Berger [CFR], she developed foreign policy position papers for Bill Clinton...”. Korbelova/Albright has now taken Christopher’s position, Lake has been [*considered*] as head of the CIA, and Berger as a national security adviser. When Albright became U.N. Ambassador, Clinton raised the position to full cabinet status. William Cohen has taken William Perry’s place as Secretary of Defense. You may recall from earlier *Fire From The Sky* writings that at the time President Carter and Harold Brown were planning a nuclear first-strike against Russia, using stealth aircraft developed by Lockheed Skunk Works, the liaison between Lockheed and the Pentagon was William Perry. Perry had a co-worker named John Deutch, who became head of CIA and is now being replaced by William Cohen (Cohen, who has two full grown sons, divorced his wife and married his longtime black girlfriend, Janet Langhart, a host of Black Entertainment Television. Cohen is a leading pro-abortionist.).

Samuel “Sandy” Berger was named by Clinton to head the National Security Council. The *Jewish Press* says Berger “is a leading supporter of Israel”. Berger was head of the McGovern for President campaign in Texas, and Deputy Director of the State Dept. under Jimmy Carter.

ANTHONY LAKE

Anthony Lake is currently having trouble being confirmed as CIA chief to replace Deutch. One of the main factors against him may be that he is NOT JEWISH (half of Clinton appointees are Jewish, not counting all the Jews who are already in position)! Lake’s leading detractor is Israeli Mossad asset Senator Arlen “Magic Bullet” Specter. Lake is a Marxist member of the Institute of Policy Studies which helped to put Clinton in office (see *SECRETS*, by David Mark Price, Sunset Research Group, who learned about IPS as a Navy Top Secret Control Officer). Lake is a CFR and Trilateral Commission member who formerly worked directly for Henry Kissinger. Lake was an instructor at the Marxist IPS’s Washington School and worked with Orlando Letelier and was always suspected of leaking the stolen Pentagon Papers (Dr. Charles A. Provan in an article called “National Security Advisor Anthony Lake” said Lake is a source of many stolen security document leaks. The FBI tapped his phone to try to catch him). The more things change, the more they stay the same—only WORSE!

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR DEMOCRACY

Albright is a member of the board of the National Endowment For Democracy, whose board members

also include/included David Gergen (who was involved in stealing of Carter's briefing books and prepping Reagan for the Reagan/Carter debate. An illegal copy of Carter's foreign policy debate book was found in Gergen's campaign files. Gergen is now editor at large of *U.S. News & World Report* and is known as a strong supporter of Israel); Mark Palmer (principal speech writer for Kissinger when Kissinger was Secretary of State)—Palmer urged that a secret public diplomacy apparatus be set up to substantially increase “overt political action” and recommended that the administration utilize executive orders so as to “permit covert action on a broader scale”); Zbigniew Brzezinski; Winston Lord (a behind-the-scenes mover-and-shaker, top Kissinger aide and senior counselor to the Kissinger Commission, Skull and Bones member and Ambassador to China, Chairman of the CFR, attended last Bilderberger meeting, who has been spending time in Hong Kong and China); Harry Barnes (in *Who's Who In the CIA*, involved in many activities in Central and South America); and Fred Icklé (senior official of the Rand Corporation). Albright and friends were responsible for the overthrow of the Rhodesian government; helped install the Communist Sandinistas in Nicaragua; supported the Communist MPLA in Angola, Frelino in Mozambique and ANC in South Africa; gave away the Panama Canal, and tried to overthrow the Pinochet government. While Albright is perceived as an anti-Communist by some, the results of her actions nearly always favor the Communists and the New World Order agenda.

Robert Gates, former head of the CIA, has said that the CIA uses human rights issues to subvert and overthrow other governments (intelligence agents have a saying, “The United States is the most stable country in the hemisphere because there is no American embassy in Washington”). One of the major conduits for CIA covert funds is the National Endowment for Democracy (NED). It is supposedly an independent agency but in fact is funded by Congress. The NED gives funds to “human rights groups” in about 80 countries. The Association of National Security Alumni (former intelligence agents) says the NED “engages in extensive covert operations”. There have been discussions in Congress about it. The purpose of the NED is to overthrow governments under the disguise of promoting “human rights”, to bring about world government under the ruling Elite, using American taxpayers money (the NED is totally funded by the U.S. Congress).

When I write things that condemn the “left wing”, that does not mean I am promoting the “right wing”, these are brainwashing terms designed to promote factions and fighting. The “left-wing” NED gave \$70,000 to the “right-wing” Freedom House (Leonard Sussman) in 1995 (\$200,000 in 1984, \$175,000 in 1986, etc.)—now why would they give money to their enemies? It's as if they were purposely trying to cause trouble, isn't it? Some consider the NED to be “right wing”. They are in fact an arm of the CIA whose purpose is to destabilize governments and promote the elitist one-world order, using both the “left” and the “right”, however you wish to define them. It is also a fantastic “pork-barrel” for many.

The Cuban American National Foundation (CANF) was formed in 1981 and is headed by Jorge Mas Canosa, a veteran of 61 CIA-sponsored Bay of Pigs type invasions that ended with over 2,000 Cuban-Americans in prison in Cuba, who were finally ransomed by Kennedy for \$63 million. This is the George Bush, Felix Rodriguez, Richard Allen, etc., crowd. Canosa pushed the Reagan Administration to create the NED, and between 1983-1988 received \$390,000 from the NED. Most of the money for TV Marti (headed by Mas) comes from the NED.

OVERSEAS EDUCATION FUND:
OEF INTERNATIONAL

Albright was a trustee of the Overseas Education Fund (now called OEF International), established in 1947 by the League of Women Voters. Both the OEF and the League of Women Voters were at one time called communist front organizations, but these days that does not have much meaning to most people. In 1968, Norbert Murray, writing in *The Treason Series Vol 1*, pp. 153-154, said in a chapter entitled “How The Conspirators Take Over Local Governments Through Metro” said: “Although surely the Judean-Communist conspirators are planning the take-over, with its bloodbath, they are not leaving any stones unturned to take over the nation from the grassroots by a gradual process of inner subversion.

“Owning the federal administration, as they do, they systematically and steadily proceed to take over the control of more and more municipal and state governments. This they do through an organization nicknamed Metro, or Terrible 1313.

“It’s headquarters are at 1313 E. 60th Street, Chicago, which they call the head office of the Public Administration Service....’ He continues explaining details of how the “Judean-Communists” take over and control various organizations, and says: “The League of Women Voters is controlled by Metro as to policy, and has many a sincere but duped woman working for conspiratorial measures.”

MORE MAD MADELEINE

Madeleine is credited by some as the source of a leaked memo in 1993 that led to increased U.S. involvement in Bosnia. When Cuba shot down two Miami-based planes in 1996, she told the press, “This is not cojones, this is cowardice,” even though the official report of the United Nations proved that multiple illegal invasions of Cuban airspace had taken place as Cuba had said (see the report on the Internet at <http://www.parascope.com/ds/cuba.htm>).

Boutros Boutros-Ghali wanted a second term as U.N. Secretary-General but was defeated by one vote—Albright’s.

The news media says that she promotes “assertive multilateralism,” which is a fancy way of saying “One World Order war-mongering and slavery”.

When asked what benefits the UN provides to the U.S., Albright stated: “If you ever make an international phone call, catch an overseas flight, or even watch a weather forecast—well, that’s the United Nations at work.” I could add to that: if you see the Sun come up in the morning, well, that’s the United Nations at work.

Albright, who “just recently learned she was Jewish,” was the featured speaker at the Jewish Anti-Defamation League dinner on November 7. She promised to work hard to prevent anything that would “harm Israel’s interest”.

The final paragraph in the *Washington Post* article by Michael Dobbs which revealed Albright’s Jewish “family tragedy” was pure brainwashing propaganda drivel: “Of all the Jewish communities in Eastern Europe, the Czech Jews were probably the most assimilated. Survivors of the Holocaust recall that the Czech Jews went to their deaths at Auschwitz by bursting into song. Roughly one third sang a Jewish anthem; another third sang the *Internationale*, the anthem of the Communist movement; the remaining

third sang the Czechoslovak national anthem.” Well, one might say, since all three are the same song...

ALBRIGHT AND ARISTIDE

Ed Bradley, on TV’s *Sixty Minutes*, interviewed Albright and said of her:

“She threatened Haiti’s military leaders: ‘You can depart voluntarily and soon, or you can depart involuntarily and soon.’

“And she used an undiplomatic Spanish word to express her contempt for the way Cuban fighter pilots boasted about shooting down two unarmed American civilian planes last year: ‘Frankly, this is not *cohonos*, this is cowardice.’

“Her boss, President Clinton, called that the best one-liner in his administration’s foreign policy. And it reflected something else that’s different about Madeleine Albright. She’s willing—her critics say too willing—to send American troops into foreign conflicts. She backed using American military force in Haiti, in Somalia, in Rwanda, and in Bosnia.”

Albright was a major backer of Jean Bertrand Aristide of Haiti, along with former Rep. Michael Barnes, who was drawing \$55,000 per month from Aristide as a lawyer. Barnes was a special assistant to Sen. Edmund Muskie; when he left, his replacement was Albright. They also worked together at the Center for National Policy. Albright was president of the center from 1990 to 1993, Muskie was chairman and later Barnes succeeded Muskie. Samuel Berger was a partner in the same law firm with Barnes and still works with Barnes on Haitian matters according to Foreign Agent Registration Act documents filed at the Justice Department.

On March 8th, the news media “discovered” that Haiti is a major drug-smuggling nation.

IRON BRITCHES FALLING DOWN

Soon after the “New Kissingers” (Albright, Cohen, Berger, etc.) took office, they started talking about attacking Iran. Then on Feb. 3 or 4, a German newspaper said that the United States was about to launch an attack on Iran. On Feb. 4, two Israeli helicopters carrying 73 of their “elite” commandos crashed together “in a violent storm” and all were killed. I suspect there is much more to that situation. We were told that the helicopters collided during a severe storm, but later they admitted there was no storm. They said their “elite” troops in helicopters armed to the roof with weapons and ammo were being taken to Lebanon to do border patrol duty—why not just have them clean the latrines? That is what you do with your “elite”, guard duty and latrine duty, isn’t it? Or perhaps they were lying and had some other mission planned? *Reuters* news said on Feb. 4 that one witness said “there were some in civilian clothes.” The same story also said “Military censors held up publication of the report for two hours in an effort to notify families. But the sheer size of the disaster made keeping it secret impossible in a country where news travels fast.” Witnesses also reported hearing many explosions *after* the crash. U. S. Ambassador to Israel Martin Indyk announced the crash—Indyk is another bloody war-monger. He has been trying to station American troops in Israel to protect Israel from the Arabs.

At the same time, an American Navy S-3B Viking aircraft flying cover for the mission was blown to bits and disappeared, except for a few pieces of debris, about 90 miles off the coast of Israel. Four Americans were killed. The plane was designed for electronics warfare and over-the-horizon targeting. The media cried a lot of tears and made a big deal about the foreign Israeli troops that died in the helicopter crash, but almost none of the media said anything about the Americans that lost their lives trying to support the foreign military operation. The Americans were identified as Lt. Cmdr. Mark Ehlers, 35, of Jacksonville, Florida; Lt. Mark Eyre, 28, also of Jacksonville; Lt. Mike Weems, 27, of Pensacola, Florida; and Aviation Systems Warfare Operator Third Class Wendy Potter, 24, of Oakdale, California. Indyk told Israel's Channel One Television that the plane was on a joint exercise with the Israeli's. "I think it just underscores the way in which, working together to prepare for war and to fight for peace, we face the same dangers and unfortunately the same tragedies," Indyk said. Before Indyk became Ambassador, he was a senior member of Warren Christopher's Middle East "peace" team and served as the White House representative on the U.S.-Israel Science and Technology Commission. Indyk is another foreigner, born in London and raised in Australia.

On Feb. 5th, Pamela Harriman died of a brain hemorrhage while swimming. Harriman was one of the main behind-the-scenes promoters of Clinton. Her true life story would make *Dallas* look like a kindergartner's story (Pamela was married to Winston Churchill's son Randolph, *Sound of Music* producer Leland Hayward, New York Governor and ambassador Averell Harriman, and had affairs with Frank Sinatra, Italian industrialist Gianni Agnelli, journalist Edward R. Murrow and French banker Elie de Rothschild). These events were happening at the time more and more was coming out about how crooked Clinton is. The usual Presidential response in this situation is to blow up something or start a war. They have tried to get a war going with Iran several times. On the same day as the helicopter crashes the news media said again that it looked like we were going to have to attack Iran. When they try something like that, the general practice is to send in some elite troops before-hand to watch the situation and guide the attackers, sort of like they did with Bravo Two Zero in the Gulf war—it looks suspiciously like that is what was happening with the two Israeli helicopters and "someone" blew them out of the sky. Or somebody "disabled their onboard control systems".

In August 1996, the head of the U. S. Space Command, Gen. Joseph Ashy, told *Aviation Week & Space Technology*, "It's politically sensitive...and it isn't in vogue, but—absolutely—we're going to fight in space. We're going to fight from space and we're going to fight in space."

There are a lot of reports of "stuff" falling out of the sky and are a lot of airplanes that are crashing because their control systems do not work. For instance, remember the one—was it off the coast of Peru, where the pilot radioed before he crashed that none of his gauges worked. In the 7 February 1997 issue of *Intelligence Digest* newsletter (from Britain) in an article about Russia protecting Cyprus, one paragraph says: "According to Russian reports, the Tuman system can detect low-flying aircraft and **disable their on-board-control systems**, forcing the aircraft to climb to a point where they become vulnerable and can be destroyed by air defences." I would imagine that if the on-board-control system is disabled, all kinds of bad things could happen. I am trying to find out what the "Tuman system" is. There is a Russian Tokamak called Tuman that is doing plasma-compression experiments but I don't know if it is related. Something is disabling a lot of aircraft control systems, control towers, power plants, and satellites.

COMMUNISM

My *Encyclopedia Britannica*, under “Communist Parties”, says: “Similar leagues were formed in other cities and these joined with a left-wing Jewish organization called the Bund to send delegates to a meeting in Minsk in 1898. At this meeting was formed the Russian Social-Democratic Labour Party (RSDLP), from which the Communist Party dates its official beginning.” It is not popular to admit that Communism is Jewish, but the facts are there for any to see.

Issue #1 of *The Other Way*, the official paper of the Jewish Labor Bund, said: “At a secret founding convention, October 8, 9, 10, 1897, thirteen delegates (three of them women), representing already active Jewish labor groups in Vilna, Warsaw, Bialystok, Minsk and Witebsk meet—illegally and in great danger of the Tsarist police—in a tiny attic of a small house in Vilna, and officially found the General Jewish Labor Bund. The ‘Bund’ is the first broadly organized Jewish socialist party.”

Arkady Kremer, author of *On Agitation*, was the original leader. Other original names were Vladimir Kosowski (who taught Lenin, whose real name was Vladimir Ilyich Ulyanov, whose mother was a German Jewess and who married a Jewess—her name was Krupskaya. The 1920 *British Encyclopedia*, under “Russian Revolution”, said Lenin’s real—Jewish—name was Tserderbaum), Abraham Mutnik, Shmuel Katz, Pinchas Horowitz, Jacob Brodski, Azriel Fliesher, and Genia Hurwitch (the Jewess who translated Marx’s *Kapital* into Russian). They published *Der Yiddisher Arbeiter* (*The Jewish Worker*).

MARXISM

What is Marxism? There are many definitions and viewpoints, so let’s look at Marx himself. Karl Marx, according to the *Encyclopedia Judaica*, was the son of Jewish parents. It says his original name was Hirschel ha-Levi. He was son of a rabbi and nephew of the chief rabbi of Trier. Others say his name was Kissel Mordecai. How many of you know that Marx was (*was*) a Christian? His first written work was called *The Union of the Faithful with Christ*. It said: “Through love of Christ we turn our hearts at the same time toward our brethren who are inwardly bound to us and for whom He gave Himself in sacrifice.”

Another quote from Marx is: “Union with Christ could give an inner elevation, comfort in sorrow, calm trust, and a heart susceptible to human love, to everything noble and great, not for the sake of ambition and glory, but only for the sake of Christ.”

When Marx graduated from high school, his graduation certificate under “Religious Knowledge” said “His knowledge of the Christian faith and morals is fairly clear and well grounded. He knows also to some extent the history of the Christian Church.”

Soon after his graduation, however, his writings became Satanist. He wrote *Oulanem*, which is an anagram of Emmanuel, which said:

And they are also Oulanem, Oulanem.
The name rings forth like death, rings forth
Until it dies away in a wretched crawl.
Stop, I’ve got it now! It rises from my soul
As clear as air, as strong as my bones.

Yet I have power within my youthful arms
To clench and crush you with tempestuous force,
While for us both the abyss yawns in darkness.
You will sink down and I shall follow laughing,
Whispering in your ears, “Descend,
come with me, friend.”

In his poem *Invocation of One in Despair* he said, “I wish to avenge myself against the One who rules above.” In the correspondence between Karl and his father, the father expresses concern that the son has come under the influence of a demon.

Another poem Marx wrote was *The Pale Maiden*, which says:

Thus heaven I’ve forfeited,
I know it full well.
My soul, once true to God,
Is chosen for hell.

Marx’s teacher at this stage was Moses Hess, the man who played the most important role in his life. Hess’s goal was not the establishment of socialism, but the destruction of all honoring of God.

Marx’s poem *Human Pride* said:

With disdain I will throw my gauntlet
Full in the face of the world,
And see the collapse of this pygmy giant
Whose fall will not stifle my ardor.
Then will I wander godlike and victorious
Through the ruins of the world
And, giving my words an active force,
I will feel equal to the Creator.

Most of the writings of Marx are secret and not available. The Soviets have admitted that they have a hundred volumes of Marx’s writings, but only 13 have been published. The others are secret! Friedrich Engels is another Jew who started out writing Christian poetry and other writings, then said he experienced a counter-conversion and called on “the monster possessed by ten thousand devils” (his words). Moses Hess met Engels, then convinced Marx to become a Communist. Later Marx was heavily financed by the Jewish soap millionaire, Joseph Fels (of the Fels-Naptha soap company. Joseph Fels Barnes was the author of Eisenhower’s autobiography, *Crusade In Europe*, and was identified as a Communist agent in McCarran Committee Hearings on IPR, Pt. 1, July 31, 1951, p. 200).

Earlier, Marx wrote an article in the *New York Tribune* in 1856 entitled “The Russian Loan”. Keep in mind, *I am quoting Karl Marx*:

“We know that behind every tyrant stands a Jew, as a Jesuit stands behind every Pope. As the army of the

Jesuits kills every free thought, so the desire of the oppressed would have chances of success, the usefulness of wars incited by capitalists would cease, if it were not for the Jews who steal the treasures of mankind. It is no wonder that 1856 years ago Jesus chased the usurers from the Jerusalem temple. They were like the contemporary usurers who stand behind tyrants and tyrannies. The majority of them are Jewish. The fact that the Jews have become so strong as to endanger the life of the world causes us to disclose their organization, their purpose, that its stench might awaken the workers of the world to fight and eliminate such a canker.”

Marx’s wife wrote him a letter in August 1844 in which she addresses him: “Your last pastoral letter, high priest and bishop of souls, has again given quiet rest and peace to your poor sheep” (*Karl Marx—The Story of His Life*, p. 18, Covici & Friede, 1935).

Keep in mind that Marx hated religion, so in what religion was he a high priest and bishop? Richard Wurmbrand, himself Jewish, has said that Marx was a practicing Satanist (see his book *Marx & Satan*, 1986). The center of Satanism in Britain is Highgate Cemetery in London, where Karl Marx is buried. Satanists often practice mysterious rites at his tomb.

Bernard Lazare, the most important Jewish writer in France between 1886 and 1903, editor of *Figaro*, said that Marx and Engels developed Communism from the *Talmud*. The summary of the rules and goals from the *Talmud* that the Communists would use to conquer the world is called *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*.

PYRAMID UPDATE

There have been TV programs and talk radio shows featuring Robert Bauval, Rudolf Gantenbrink and others that are concerning the Egyptian pyramids and possible new discoveries. Bauval, a native Alexandrian construction engineer and amateur Egyptologist wrote a book called *The Orion Mystery*, with Adrian Gilbert. Bauval says that the alignment of the Giza pyramids precisely mimics the three stars in Orion’s Belt—Alnitak (zeta Orionis), Alnilam (epsilon Orionis), and Mintaka (delta Orionis). “Not only did the layout of the pyramids match the stars with uncanny precision but the intensity of the stars, shown by their apparent size, corresponded with the Giza group; there were three stars, three pyramids, three Osiris-Orion kings.”

A German working with the German Archeological Institute in Cairo, Rudolf Gantenbrink, opened the debris-clogged shafts into the King’s Chamber of the Great Pyramid so that air-conditioning fans could be installed and decided to build a robot to explore other shafts. The robot was named UPUAUT, which means “opener of the ways” in Egyptian.

He explored one shaft and found a door at the end of it, with two “handles”. The shaft near the door slab was lined with polished rock of the kind used only for lining funerary chambers. There is speculation that there is another chamber behind the door panel, still in original condition. Another shaft was partially blocked and he did not risk the robot to try to explore it. He had only five days to complete his exploration, and currently there is speculation about when they will open the door, when will they explore the other shaft (might there be another chamber?), some rumors are that they have already explored the chambers and are keeping it secret, etc.

Bauval obtained measurements of the angular slopes of the ducts that were more accurate than any previous, and determined that the south vent of the King's Chamber was $45^{\circ}00'00''$, and $32^{\circ}28'00''$ for the north duct.

The south duct of the Queens Chamber is $39^{\circ}30'00''$. This means that circa 2450 BC, the northern duct of the King's Chamber would have pointed at the polestar Thuban (alpha Draconis). The southern duct would have pointed exactly at zeta Orionis (Alnitak—the alter ego of Khufu) when at the meridian, while the south duct of the Queen's Chamber would have pointed toward Sirius—the star of Isis. The north duct of the Queen's Chamber is not completely measured yet, but apparently it would have centered on polar Kochab (beta Ursa Minoris) when at the meridian zenith at the moment Alnitak appeared on the eastern horizon.

Charges and counter-charges, cover-ups and secret dealings, rumors and name calling continue in this situation. A recent *Atlantis Rising* magazine article said: "Other sources report that Egyptian Antiquities authorities have offered Egyptian archeologist Farouk El Bas the opportunity to do his own investigation of Gantenbrink's door." Why am I not surprised?

EWE AGAIN?

Udderly fantastic. The world needs another ewe. In 1967 it was Snippy the horse. And now, Hello, Dolly! There is a connection! When James Watson, Nobel prize-winning co-discoverer of the structure of DNA, was asked about all the recent Scottish sheep cloning hoopla, he said: "This could have been done in 1938, it's moving cells, not DNA." As I write this, President Clinton is on TV making a speech about cloning. He should know. After all, he's a ewe-know-what. Some have said Earth is the prison planet of the universe. I think it's the insane asylum.

MARS PATHFINDER

The books say the first Mars Lander landed on Mars on July 20, 1976, seven years to the day from when Neil Armstrong landed on the Moon. Ray Bradbury said, "There is indeed life on Mars. It is us." The *Encyclopedia Britannica Book of the Year* for 1977, p. 623, said "the originally scheduled landing on July 4, at an area 365 miles farther to the southeast, had to be canceled because the surface at the original site was too rough." Sound familiar? The current Martian probe is due to land on July 4th this year. What do you bet it has a "problem" and can't land until July 20?

I was guessing that previous Mars and Moon probes were using laser communications. We noticed that, when the Clementine moon probe went off-line, there was a weather satellite that went dead at about the same time, at just the right place to be used as a communications relay. Lasers travel in a narrow beam and cannot be detected by radio, but break up and do not travel well through the atmosphere. The beam could probably be detected coming through the atmosphere. So I suspected they were communicating by beam to a "dead" weather satellite, which would in turn download by secret frequency to, say, Pine Gap.

Now I learn that the probes indeed do have a secret, second communications capability, using lasers. The one on the Mars Global Surveyor is called the Mars Observer Laser Altimeter. This means they can not only receive secret data, but can upload fake data to be downloaded by regular radio link. The MOLA laser fires at—can you guess—10 Hz.

Most scientists at NASA are themselves brainwashed and deceived, but if we can wake some of them up, it will be more difficult for the NASA Controllers to continue their deceptions. But if the scientists refuse to even consider the possibilities, then the games will continue.

TEL-AVIV, CAPITAL OF UNITED STATES

There is currently a struggle for king-of-the-hill going on between the Zionist Communists (supported by Israel) and the Marxist Communists (supported by the Indonesians and Chinese. Zionist former Commerce Secretary Mickey Kantor has revealed information that indicates John Huang—former employee of Indonesian billionaire banker James Riady—is a Communist Chinese intelligence “asset”).

They are even arguing over “who is a Jew”. By the way, we like to put labels on the various factions to make it easier to understand what it going on, but labeling the bubbles in this boiling pot is hard to do and doesn’t help much. No matter how you label the bubbles, it always seems to turn out to be the same old rotten soup.

Some media reported on February 4: “‘The appointment of Albright will virtually make Tel Aviv the capital of the United States, not Washington,’ Egyptian columnist Moustafa Amin wrote weeks ago in the *As-Aharq Al-Awsat* newspaper, which is Saudi-owned and published in London.”

Israel’s leading Hebrew-language daily paper *Maariv*, of Sep. 2, back in 1994, said: “Several weeks ago the rabbi of the ‘Adath Yisrael’ synagogue in Cleveland Park, Washington, dedicated his Sabbath sermon to the Jewish cultural and political center now being formed in America. ‘For the first time in American history,’ the rabbi said, ‘we no longer feel that we live in the diaspora. The U.S. has no longer a government of Goyim, but an administration in which the Jews are full partners in the decision making at all levels. Perhaps the aspects of the Jewish religious law connected with the term “government of goyim” should be re-examined, since it is an outdated term in the U.S.’”

Since then, other Jewish publications have had articles gloating that the United States is now run by Jews. Many, if not most, Americans will say, “that’s wonderful.”

Ah, America, land of the free (the United States has more of its population in prison than any other country in the world) and home of the brave (yet most Americans are afraid to face the truth about their leaders). America, what a marvelous country. Indeed, it is a marvel and a wonder that we welcome our enemies to come in and steal from us and enslave us and rule over us and we cheer them for it.

There is a rule in politics which states, “there is no legal way to overthrow a dictator.” Clinton gloats over his dictatorial powers. An outrageous bill is introduced, we call our elected representatives to complain, the Senate defeats the bill, and Clinton simply passes it into law by Executive Order.

In my humble opinion, it is all over now except for the dying.

I could be wrong.

We shall see.

/s/ Calvin Burgin

PS: Isador Lipschitz was the real name of Walter Winchell. Benny Kubelsky was the real name of Jack Benny. Nathan Birnbaum was the real name of George Burns. Charlie Chaplin's real name was Israel Thornstein. Kirk Douglas's real name is Isadore Demsky. Ehrich Weiss was the real name of Harry Houdini. The real name of Mike Wallace is Myron Wallik. Joseph Levitch is also known as Jerry Lewis.

CHAPTER 11

CORNERING TRUTH OR CORNERED BY TRUTH?!

by Ray Bilger 3/22/97

Have we as a species, now in the closing years of the 20th Century, reached the Age of Intolerance? Is it possible for someone to copyright truth? Does God speak to only one human being on this planet to the exclusion of all others? These are important questions as we face the final thrust of the New World Order's death grip over humanity as we know it. Global Plan 2000 is almost complete and in the above questions lie some of the secrets of how the One World Controllers have accomplished their sinister deeds.

In this three-dimensional theatre, we players on the stage of life all share that wonderful gift of free-will choice. But that can also appear to be an unpleasant gift, for there are deceptive elements which may lead us down evil paths that bear unforeseen and unwanted consequences. This is, of course, the very nature of free-will choice: we all have the choice to go the way of good or the way of evil.

Those few who control the world for their own gain are understandably intolerant of those who would or do expose their evil game for what it is. And that evil game is naught but deception, lies and misinformation on a grand scale. If those Controllers were to tolerate exposure, they would be courting their own demise. So it is that their ways cannot stand the light of day.

We can see all around us today the clash of the forces of good with the forces of evil. The forces of good are represented by the Light which bears witness to their origin and purpose, which is God's Love for all of His Creation. The forces of evil can only flourish where darkness dwells, and they would deny that Light to any and all who seek it. If we are steadfast in our seeking we shall see and know the Light and the infinite abundance it represents. Those who are of evil, on the other hand, are easily recognized by their trademark of denial of the Light and all it represents.

The Internet is a modern, worldwide, electronic communications network which allows for the dissemination of information on a scale undreamed of only a few decades ago. It is open to all the public, worldwide, and if you have access to it, take a look sometime at the website address www.philosophy.org/ to see the information available to the public from the University of Science and Philosophy in Virginia. If you will look at this website under "Scientific Publications", you will see a listing of "The Scientific Works of Walter Russell".

The first book shown to the Internet browser (that's you) is one called *A New Concept of the Universe*. In the description of this book (on the website), it explains that this is a "compilation by Dr. Walter Russell of the Laws and Principles of the science of Creation." It goes on to say that this is the first scientific publication to "explain the processes God uses to express the One Idea into the many forms of light, atoms, planets, stars and galaxies."

If we can presume for the moment that the above is not only a possibility, but that indeed it is so, then it seems only logical that if someone is explaining the processes God uses to Create, then God must have

been consulted for that information, or, said another way, that God provided that information to the inquiring human mind. For those who are of the level of intelligence to be able to grasp these concepts, this idea of God imparting such wisdom to seekers of Truth is completely plausible.

The second book by Walter Russell described at the US&P website address is called *Atomic Suicide*. As stated in the literature, “Part Two” of the book “gives us the essence of the science of how God manifests the One Idea into the many forms.” In fact, it states further in “Part Three” that “To understand how God creates... we must first begin to understand who we are in relation to God and to each other. Dr. Russell describes this relationship and reiterates how we can come to know our Creator and be inspired with ideas from that Source.” This appears to be a tacit admission that not only can and did Mr. Russell come to know and be inspired with ideas from God, but that, apparently, others too can learn to do the same thing.

However, because Dr. Russell has taken it upon himself to describe our relationship with God and how we may become inspired from that Source, does this now mean that Mr. Russell (or US&P) will be the one to control our relationship with our Creator? The literature makes no mention of this, and reason, logic and common sense would tell us that each of us is on our own in dealing with that relationship.

Anyone with an in-depth understanding of the long history of man’s quest after knowledge and truth regarding his origin and purpose in the Universe certainly understands that Walter Russell is by no means unique in having communicated with God, and that in fact there have been many so-called seers, prophets, intuitives, mystics, channels, and (generally referred to) “enlightened ones”.

The third book described in the US& P website is entitled *The Secret of Light*. This book is described in part as providing information regarding “the ways and processes God utilizes to create...”. It further states that “Dr. Russell defines Creator and Creation...”. Again, if Walter Russell did not make up this information, and if said information was indeed imparted into the mind of Mr. Russell from God, then it becomes quite obvious that Mr. Russell would not be the actual and original source of the information.

The next publication discussed on the website is *FULCRUM, Quarterly Science Journal*. As stated in the literature, this quarterly journal focuses on “the concepts revealed to Dr. Russell during his 39-day illumination in 1921...” Here, the careful use of the words “revealed to Dr. Russell” clearly indicates that information by or from someone or something was made known to or disclosed to Walter Russell.

The final book by Walter Russell described in this literature is called *The Universal One-Dr. Walter Russell’s Scientific Masterpiece*. This book is described in part as “containing astounding new knowledge given to Walter Russell during his Cosmic Illumination.” Again, we see the use of very specific wording, “knowledge given to Walter Russell”. And again, this can only mean that knowledge or information was given or transmitted by or from someone or something and made known to or disclosed to Walter Russell.

Throughout the above described literature from the University of Science and Philosophy regarding the written works of Walter Russell, we find the careful use of certain very specific terms, including “inspired”, “Source”, “Creator”, “God”, “given to”, “revealed to”, and “illumination”. Each of these terms have commonly understood definitions when used in the common vernacular. However, since the works of Mr. Russell have been copyrighted, and since there is now a major lawsuit before a Federal court regarding the

alleged copying of Mr. Russell's material, and since all of the above has now been incorporated by reference into said lawsuit, the above mentioned terms can no longer be interpreted with their common vernacular definitions and we must now look to the legal definitions those terms import.

Especially regarding copyright laws, the term "Source", as referring to the source of information, is of profound and paramount importance. *Black's Law Dictionary*, Revised 4th Edition (1968), at page 1568, defines the term "Source" in part as: "That from which any act, movement, or effect proceeds; a person or thing that originates, sets in motion, or is a primary agency in producing any course of action or result; an originator; creator..."

This legal definition of the term "Source" comports exactly with the above described US&P literature, which states that God was the source of Mr. Russell's illumination. It was clearly God who originated or set in motion the imparting of the information on Light which was "revealed to" Mr. Russell, and it was God who was the primary agency in producing the written works.

One last book listed on the US&P website under Scientific Publications is a book entitled *In the Wave Lies the Secret*, Edited by Dr. Timothy A. Binder, N.D., D.C. It may be worth noting that at the bottom of the webpage it states "World Wide Web site created by BINDER INTERNET." Whether or not this is a reference to Timothy Binder is uncertain, but such an inference does not seem unreasonable. In any event, the literature states in part that the book contains "commentaries and an outline of Russellian science by Dr. Timothy A. Binder, President of The University of Science And Philosophy."

Exactly what this "Russellian science" is, is unclear, as there is no further mention made of same in the literature. Considering, however, what the rest of the literature states under the books by Mr. Russell, one would be hard-pressed to believe it means anything other than the information about the nature of Light and the Universe "given to" or "revealed to" Walter Russell by "God". As well, the reference to Timothy Binder as being President of US&P appears to be just a bit outdated, as he has not held that position for some time.

Of further interest, if one looks into the website of the University of Science and Philosophy, under the heading "Books by Walter Russell", there is a description of a book entitled *The Book of Early Whisperings*. The website literature states "From the Foreword by Walter Russell: These writings are the whisperings of God's Voice to me during those early periods of illumination... These periods of ecstasy-which always characterize Cosmic illumination- lasted from ten to fourteen days..." Since *Black's Law Dictionary* does not define the term "illumination", it seems only appropriate to use Mr. Russell's own definition for purposes of this article.

It would seem that the only reasonable inference to be drawn from all of the above is the fact that what Mr. Russell means by the term "illumination" is the whispering of "God's Voice" to Mr. Russell, presumably giving to or revealing to him the scientific information which Mr. Russell later copyrighted. One would have to stretch and twist the literature to reach any other conclusion, yet, since the intent of Mr. Russell obviously appears to be as stated herein, no such stretching or twisting of the literature is needed or required.

Thus, what we have here, from the University of Science and Philosophy's own literature available worldwide on the Internet, is an admission or voluntary acknowledgment that Walter Russell did indeed receive

his information on Light and the nature of God and the Universe from a higher “Source”, which, to quote from the website literature, is “God”.

Let us go back to the original questions asked at the beginning of this article: If God is Light and God is Truth, is it possible for someone to copyright that Truth? Such a situation seems clearly beyond the realm of any reasonable discussion, and is certainly beyond the parameters of anything that copyright legislation was designed to protect!!

Have we finally come full circle as a civilization where religious intolerance is once again the norm? America was founded in part in order to insure religious freedom, yet it appears that US&P would have us believe that only Walter Russell can receive information from God! Or, at the least, that no one else can receive similar information!

But what if God wants to impart the same information to others so that all of humanity awakens? Can US&P forbid God from talking to others, or prevent others from listening to what God might want to say to them? That would be preposterous, and it brings up the third question asked at the outset of this dissertation: Does God speak to only one human being on this planet to the exclusion of all others? Only a fool or a blind man would answer that question in the affirmative.

God has created us all, and given us all free-will choice, and then put us all together here on Earth to see just what we would do. And what have we done? Have we shown respect for one another and demonstrated responsibility for maintaining God’s Creation? It does not appear as though we have done this, and because we have not, we may now be on the brink of our own self-destruction!

If we would wish to turn things around, then we must all become receivers of God’s Truth. That includes Walter Russell and Doris Ekker and each and every one of us. If we will only open our hearts and our minds it will be so!!

It seems only fitting to make reference here to the long-time close and personal friend of Walter Russell, the one and only Mr. Eustace Mullins. Mr. Mullins recently graced our presence here in Tehachapi with his warm and jovial visit. Mr. Mullins informed us that Walter had emphatically stated to him that it was his sincerest wish and desire to know that his writings on God and Light would be given freely to all mankind. Mr. Mullins said that Walter Russell willed his material to humanity freely, and that that will supersede any future copyright actions. If this is so, are the current actions of US&P against Doris Ekker in Federal court fulfilling those ends?

A couple of other things bear mentioning in regard to the US&P situation. The brilliant and perceptive new President and CEO of US&P, Chief Melford Okilo, was reassigned from that position and returned to Africa, by way of London, on February 18, 1997, the very day of the Ekkers’ appearance at a contempt hearing before Federal Judge Coyle in Fresno, California. Just a coincidence? That hardly seems likely. It was well known that Chief Okilo was very friendly and sharing with people from *CONTACT*, and that he was doing everything he could to defuse the delicate situation brought on by US&P’s charges of Ekkers’ copying the Russell material. Was Okilo sent back to Africa to insure he would not intervene on Ekkers’ behalf? It doesn’t take a rocket scientist to figure that one out.

Another thing, *CONTACT* does not now have, nor has it ever had, an Internet website address. No

matter, one was created “for” us! And guess what, it offered those banned journals for sale!! Think this is a joke? Is someone trying to do in *CONTACT* or Ekkers? It would certainly look that way! At last check, this website was at least showing a disclaimer of connection with *CONTACT*.

These are extremely treacherous and difficult times for everyone. Our adversary is more desperate than ever. If we can be very careful in all our actions, that adversary will devour itself and we won't be part of the meal. The trick, it would seem, is not to appear in any way like food and you won't get gobbled up. In other words, keep squeaky clean and we'll get through these times. This is a Great and Glorious Mission and it would be an incredible misfortune to have come this far only to be taken out now.

Stick around. The best is yet to come!

CHAPTER 12

THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 3/25/97

DO NOT EVER BELIEVE THAT A SMALL
GROUP OF DEDICATED PEOPLE CANNOT CHANGE
THE WORLD—**NOTHING ELSE EVER HAS.**

—Margaret Mead

PROPERTY OWNERS WIN RIGHT TO SUE WILDLIFE PROTECTORS

Excerpted from *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 3/20/97, [quoting:]

In a major victory for property-rights advocates, the Supreme Court opened federal courtrooms Wednesday to lawsuits seeking less government protection of endangered plants and animals.

The 9-0 decision is expected to encourage landowners to file lawsuits against the federal government when they believe they are harmed by efforts to save species.

Lower courts had ruled that only people seeking to promote the preservation of species have legal standing to initiate court cases under the Endangered Species Act.

Sharply disagreeing, the Supreme Court found it “readily apparent” that another objective of the act “is to avoid needless economic dislocation produced by agency officials zealously but unintelligently pursuing their environmental objectives,” Judge Antonin Scalia wrote. [End quoting]

Can you believe the Supreme Court giving a 9-0 ruling in favor of the common man, now-a-days??

Would this have anything to do with David Miller serving COMMON LAW papers to the Supreme Court last year??

Now we have to make the governments live up to reasonable eminent domain laws.

This sounds like a crack in the Elite’s armor! Thank GOD!!

A TRILLION BUCKS ISN’T POCKET CHANGE

From *ANN LANDERS*, 1997, [quoting:]

Dear Ann: I recently came across a tattered column of yours in which you cited some figures that might give your readers a better understanding of exactly what a trillion means. Will you please print the basic information again?—Santa Fe

Dear S.F.: Thanks for asking. Here's the letter you refer to. I agree that it is a real eye-opener.

Dear Ann: If you were to count a trillion \$1 bills one per second, 24 hours a day, it would take 32 years.

To put it differently, it has been figured that with 1-trillion dollars, you could buy a \$100,000 house for every family in Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma and Iowa, then you could put a \$10,000 car in the garage of each one of those houses.

There would be enough left to build 10 million-dollar libraries and 10 million-dollar hospitals for 250 cities in those states. There would be enough left over to build 10 million-dollar schools for 500 communities.

There would still be enough left to put in the bank and, from the interest alone, pay 10,000 nurses and teachers plus give a \$5,000 bonus to every family in those states.

Dear readers: It is worth noting that the national debt at this moment is \$4.7 trillion. Does that blow your mind or what? [End quoting]

This is an interesting perspective, but our national debt is **far greater** than the above quote, and we have been in bankruptcy since the 1930s. How do we keep spending money then, you might ask. It is printing-press IOU notes, not money—and some day soon they may just close us down and claim all of **YOUR** property—**LEGALLY** [Your government has mortgaged all of your property, with your legal permission, without most people knowing it.]. **THAT IS THE ELITE'S INTENTION!! THEN—WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO??**

MTBE AND DRINKING WATER: THE FACTS

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 3/97, letter to editor, [quoting:]

Your editorial concerning MTBE (“Safety of drinking water,” Jan. 21) seems to have a new source in that it's not from an Air Resources Board press release.

Nevertheless, it's filled with the same inaccuracies and half-truths. First of all, MTBE is not made from natural gas, but from isobutylene and methanol.

While it is technically correct to state that MTBE was first mixed with gasoline “in the 1970s”, this creates a misleading impression of how long MTBE has been used in gasoline. The truth is it was first used in gasoline in 1979 to boost octane, hardly anything to do with its alleged mission to fight smog.

While stating the effect on health is unknown, you fail to mention what is known. MTBE is a “possible” and most likely is a “probable” human carcinogen.

While it's nice to admit that the recent threat to the drinking water “merits concern and further study”, it's a little late.

More than 10 billion pounds of MTBE are produced annually in the United States. Approximately 3 million to 4 million pounds go into the environment, so it's hardly surprising it's turning up in the water. Santa Monica, a city half the size of Modesto, is paying \$2 million a year to replace the contaminated water supply.

You state this is not sufficient to abandon the "state's smog-fighting gasoline". Without even debating whether California's reformulated gasoline is effective in fighting smog, studies by the Auto-Oil Air Quality Improvement Research Program published more than six months ago show that reformulated gasoline without oxygenate performs as well or better than with MTBE. The study covered emissions, toxics and fuel economy.

THERE IS A REASON we have MTBE in gasoline, and it's not to fight smog. During 1995 and the first half of 1996, the biggest lobbying spender was the Western States Petroleum Association, which spent nearly \$2.8 million. Chevron Corp. spent \$1.8 million and the other oil companies weren't far behind.

The result is a more polluted environment, record profits and a governor and legislature that refuse to fund an independent scientific study of California-reformulated gasoline, even though taxpayers have already paid millions to the state in the form of additional sales taxes on the artificially high price of gasoline. — Ross Kitson [End quoting]

It's not **cost or knowledge** that are depriving us of clean air. It's the **greed, control and depopulation agendas** that are depriving us.

We will get clean air as soon as we boot the gangsters out of the offices of power and not before.

DONOR'S HOT SPOT?

From *CHICAGO SUN TIMES*, 3/9/97, [quoting:]

Congressional investigators have reason to believe that Democratic financial contributors enjoyed overnight stays at Camp David, the secluded presidential retreat that few American citizens ever have seen. The House Government Oversight Committee has ordered complete details about non-government officials who stayed at Camp David or were passengers on either *Air Force One* or *Air Force Two* during President Clinton's first four years in office.

White House lawyers, while promising to comply with the subpoenas, say they have no idea whether big Democratic givers actually received these perks. But they acknowledge that the presidential retreat and the presidential plane were among potential privileges for contributors listed in the now-famous one-page Democratic National Committee staff memo. [End quoting]

I venture to say, that anybody of real importance who takes advantage of the "motel-type donation perks" come out a "different" person after their "motel" stay!! Wouldn't you??

What more would you expect from a bunch of gangsters than to steal or sell everything of value that belongs to others?? Why would it surprise you what they do when they literally **buy the office for that**

purpose.

When people get jobs with the government they get **selective memory loss very quickly if they want to stay employed.**

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Sovereign State's Power Line Direct To Supreme Court
by Judge J. J. Boesel

Excerpted from *BULLETIN* newsletter, March 1997, [quoting:]

The U.S. Supreme Court has two different kinds of jurisdiction. Original and appellate.

Jurisdiction is the legal authority to decide a lawsuit brought before a Court. This is the first question the Court has to decide...does this Court have the legal authority to decide this lawsuit? If it does, it will hear and decide the case. If it does not have jurisdiction over the case to hear and decide it, it will dismiss the case.

Now, what is ORIGINAL jurisdiction? This is the kind where the Court has power to hear the case begun in it. The case starts there.

On the other hand APPELLATE jurisdiction is the legal authority to REVIEW a case begun in a lower court. If the parties are not satisfied with the decision of, say, the FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT they may appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals...this is the first level of review of the lower court's decision.

If the parties are not satisfied with the decision of the Circuit Court of Appeals, they may then appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court. If the Supreme Court decides to take jurisdiction and hear the appeal, they will allow what is called a writ of Certiorari...send the case up to the Supreme Court.

But if the Supreme Court decides NOT TO REVIEW the decision of the Circuit Court of Appeals, that is the end of the case. What ever the Court of Appeals decided becomes the final law of the case.

Now let's examine the ORIGINAL JURISDICTION of the U.S. Supreme Court. The Supreme Court is given its ORIGINAL jurisdiction by the *U.S. Constitution*, and in an early case, *Marbury v Madison*, 1 Cranch 137 (1803) the Court held that Congress cannot add to or change the ORIGINAL jurisdiction given the Court by the *Constitution*.

Here is the *Constitution's* grant of ORIGINAL JURISDICTION: ARTICLE III, SECTION 2:

...(omitting non-relevant parts)

In all cases affecting ambassadors, other public ministers and Consuls, *and those in which a State shall be a party*, the Supreme Court Shall *have original jurisdiction* (emphasis added).

“In all other cases before mentioned, the Supreme Court shall have appellate jurisdiction...”

You can see how the States are granted a power line directly to the Supreme Court. Where a State has a case to file, the State may go directly to the Supreme Court and start the case there. There is no need to start with the lower echelon of the Federal District, and proceed with appeal after appeal. True, the Congress has given to the Federal District Courts CONCURRENT ORIGINAL JURISDICTION, but this does not take away the State’s constitutional option to START the case directly in the Supreme Court. Congress cannot touch this ORIGINAL JURISDICTION given the Supreme Court by this section of the *Constitution*.

It is time for the States to come alive and become aware of their power line directly to the Supreme Court, now that JUSTICE Sandra Day O’Connor has declared a renewed rule on the government power relation between the States and the Federal Government. She put it this way:

“Where Congress exceeds its authority relative to the States, departure from the constitutional plan cannot be ratified by “consent” of State officials.”

NY v US, 112 S. Ct. 2408 (1992).

It is a renewed rule applied by JUSTICE O’Connor’s Court to those acts...”where Congress exceeds its authority relative to the States.” The States are the Principals and the Federal Government is the Agent,”...whose acts cannot amount to a...departure from the constitutional plan.”

Although JUSTICE O’Connor’s Court did not refer to the early statement of the rule of *Burton v U.S.*, (1906), her decision clearly confirmed the *Burton* rule that U.S. Senators...”do not hold their places under the Government of the United States, but its members are chosen by the State legislatures.”

“While the Senate, as a branch of the legislative department owes its existence to the *Constitution* and passes laws which concern the entire country, its members are chosen by the State legislatures, and cannot be said to hold their places under the Government of the United States.”

Burton v U.S. (1906) 202 U.S. 344

Now that Senators are chosen by the people of the States the same as members of the House, they are merely agents of the States temporarily assigned to duty in Congress.

The States have a Constitutional power line direct to the U.S. Supreme Court and will not “ratify” or “consent” to the acts of their agents in Congress, when they...”make departures from the Constitutional plan” but are powerfully equipped to stop these “departures” in their tracks, with cases filed directly in the Supreme Court. [End quoting]

It’s about time the states made wise use of this power. If enough pressure from “we-the-people” were applied they would wake up and listen.

BIG BROTHER,

WE WON'T TAKE IT ANYMORE!!

Excerpted from a FLYER, Contact Nancy Lewis, 916-445-2848, 3/14/97, [quoting:]

Senator Richard Mountjoy (R-Arcadia) has introduced legislation which will benefit the people of California and end federal meddling in issues which were constitutionally a matter reserved to the states by our forefathers.

According to Senator Mountjoy, the federal government is requiring the state to implement federal mandates by threatening to withhold federal dollars if California does not comply with their edicts.

“The federal government has blackmailed the state into implementing a multitude of federal mandates which the people of our state do not want. We do not like federally required Smog Check II, reformulated gas, or intrusions into our schools. We resent federal orders on how fast we can drive and federal rules which require Californians to pay to feed, house, clothe, and medically care for illegal aliens,” Senator Mountjoy said.

“Senate Bill 1178, the State Sovereignty and Federal Tax Funds Act, establishes an escrow account in the California State Treasury in which all federal tax moneys collected in California from both individuals and businesses will be deposited. On a quarterly basis that money will be transferred to the federal government, however, the Legislature, with a majority vote, will be able to withhold money from the federal government if they mandate programs which exceed federal constitutional authority.

“Under the provisions of the *Tenth Amendment*, the federal government does not have constitutional authority to compel states to enact or administer federal regulatory programs,” Senator Mountjoy stated.

Senator Mountjoy pointed out that our forefathers were careful to reserve most of the governing powers to the states, and gave the federal government very limited powers. [End quoting]

When “we-the-people” start joining with each other to move back under constitutional laws we will win, but it will take some real dedication to start making a turnaround and **with GOD we can do it, BUT NOT WITHOUT.**

GROW YOUR OWN BODY PARTS

From *POPULAR MECHANICS*, April 1997, [quoting:]

Electrician Mark Walsh was working in an aerosol-can factory when the accident happened. “Everything just blew up,” he recalls. Five factory workers died, and Walsh just barely survived. Serious burns covered more than 80% of his body. His youth, tenacity and courage had a lot to do with his recovery, as did the skill of the burn specialists who cared for him. But most of all, Walsh owes his life to a new type of artificial skin that not only protected his open wounds but also enabled his body to regenerate its own tissue.

*pict. knee

“Had he been burned before artificial skin we would have said his chances of surviving were at best slim,” says John F. Burke, chief of trauma surgery at Massachusetts General Hospital and one of the world’s leading experts in closing deep-burn wounds.

Walsh’s chief problem was that he didn’t have enough undamaged natural skin to graft over burned areas. Rather than temporarily cover his wounds with skin from a cadaver or an animal, or with some synthetic material—all of which present a risk of infection while in use and have to be surgically removed within a few weeks—his surgeon decided to try the new artificial skin. It would be absorbed by the body while simultaneously directing the body to recreate its own skin.

When doctors first began experimenting with artificial skin—as early as the 1940s—their objective was to provide a temporary covering that would enable the body to retain vital fluids while it naturally formed scar tissue. “We made some glorious messes,” recalls Burke. “Then it became clear that what we needed was somebody who understood materials.” The materials expert who best understood the problem was Ioannis V. Yannas, a professor of mechanical engineering at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

The temporary skin that he and Burke envisioned was a membrane that would cover the burn patient’s debrided wound, thus minimizing dehydration and infections.

“Unexpectedly, we discovered that some of the materials that we were working with were not only capable of these two functions but could also synthesize new tissue inside the patient’s wound,” Yannas says. “It became clear pretty soon that the new tissue did not scar. That was the astonishing thing. Our materials were instructing the burn patient’s tissue to make something other than a scar.”

The tissue-engineering technology that saved Walsh’s life has applications that go far beyond the treatment of serious burns. They promise to usher in an entirely new field: regenerative, or matrix, medicine.

“Our company was founded with a vision that medical practice was about to change, that the human body would be enabled to regenerate many of its own damaged or diseased tissues and organs,” says Richard E. Caruso, chairman of Integra LifeSciences Corp. (ILS), the developer of the artificial skin that saved Walsh’s life. He envisions products that will regrow knee cartilage and teach nerves how to regrow missing sections.

To regenerate cartilage in the knee, the surgeon uses “keyhole” operating techniques to remove damaged cartilage. A portion of this tissue is sent to a laboratory where new cells are allowed to grow. After several weeks, the cells are harvested and placed in the matrix. A small section resembling a dot made by a hole puncher is placed back in the area cut into the cartilage. The cartilage grows back completely in several months.

For nerve regeneration, the template takes the shape of a swizzle-stick-size straw. Two ends of the severed nerve are inserted. The nerve then begins to regrow, first through the tubelike matrix, then along the pathway leading toward the extremity.

Thus far, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved the use of ILS's artificial skin product for burn treatment. The FDA discourages companies from predicting when its products are likely to receive approval, so ILS has not made any predictions as to when the cartilage and nerve matrices will be available.

Caruso sees these products as only the beginning. He expects to see the day when his company begins producing matrices that will make it possible to regenerate major internal organs. Looking 20 years ahead, he predicts: "If you have a bad pancreas, instead of a transplant, we will be able to insert into your body a material-based technology that will enable your body to grow its own new pancreas." [End quoting]

Sounds like this could be a real help for mankind **if the Elite will allow us to develop this method and use it.**

THE FREE AMERICAN JOINS
THE DEMAND FOR A NATIONAL FORUM

We Call For All Patriotic Americans
And Media To Do The Same!

Excerpted from *The Free American* newsletter, [quoting:]

The President, FBI and mass media seem to consider Christians and American Patriots a criminal element. Christians and Patriots consider high-level government officials to be guilty of deceit, deception, and possibly treason. What is the truth and who are the real criminals?

WE WANT ANSWERS
TO THESE QUESTIONS!

There is the question of the overt installation of Democracy over our Constitutional Republic. Do we still pledge allegiance to the Republic? There is the question of the apparent adherence to the principles of Communism (i.e., a central bank and progressive income tax) over our *Bill of Rights*. (The Right to bear arms, to be secure from search and seizure.)

Are we being robbed of our Christian heritage and laws? Has a Talmudic System of government replaced our Christian laws? Have we lost our common law courts to an equity system that favors the Elite? Is our private property slowly being absorbed by the state? Is the Income Tax really voluntary or is the IRS a criminal collection agency for the bankers? Is the United States really a bankrupt corporation whose citizens and their earnings are pledged to the international bankers? Why was our gold stolen in 1933 and given to the bankers? Is the Federal Reserve System constitutional? Are we under martial law as per the revised Trading With The Enemy Act of 1933? Does the President rule by emergency decree, as the Kings of old? Are Executive Orders constitutional? Are we Sovereigns or economic Slaves? Why does a criminal investigation of our leaders take years, while citizens are routinely incarcerated on minor charges? What has happened to our right to trial by jury, and does the jury have the right to nullify law? Why are our American heritage and our founding fathers being discarded in our history books? Who is responsible?

Does the FBI falsify crime reports? Is the CIA involved in the drug trade? Is the War on Drugs merely an excuse to violate our Constitutional rights and install a total police state? Is the NSA and SRO meant to spy on Americans and remove all possible means of revolt? Is the purpose of AIDS/Cancer research to find a cure or prevent one from being found? Are AIDS, Gulf War Syndrome and abortion, government funded to reduce the population as a part of sustainable development? Is the Department of Energy responsible for suppression of new forms of energy to maintain the stranglehold Big Oil has on our economy? Is the rewilding of America a method to reduce private land ownership?

Is the UN our salvation or our conqueror? Will world government based on socialism replace our Constitutional Republic? Has it already? Why are American taxpayer dollars being used as Foreign Aid to support Russia and other Communist-controlled dictatorships?

It seems impossible to have these questions addressed impartially by the *New York Post*, *Time*, *Newsweek*, *CBS*, *NBC*, *ABC* or *CNN*. Can it be their membership in the Council on Foreign Relations has compromised them? Is the Council on Foreign Relations America's most prestigious organization or a nest of traitors to our *Constitution*?

If you would like to know the answers to these vital questions, there is only one answer: A National Forum!

The idea of a National Forum was first advanced by Sheila Reynolds of *Resurrection News*. She describes it as an opportunity for representatives of government and their various agencies to meet with knowledgeable sovereigns/people from the Militia/Patriot/Constitutional community IN FRONT OF LIVE TELEVISION AND BROADCAST NATIONALLY.

If those in government truly believe that the Militia, the Patriots, the Constitutionals and the Tax protesters are the threat they claim us to be, this would be the perfect way to expose us, live, to the American people, without the slant applied by the national media. All of America would be a witness.

For more information contact *The Free American*, U.S. Highway 380, Bingham, N.M. 87832, 505-423-3250, FAX 505-423-3258, E-Mail freeamerican@ctsc.net [End quoting]

If the pressure from "we-the-people" were great enough this would happen. **Keep up your hope. Remember, GOD WINS!!**

**CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE TALKING
ABOUT 2-CENT PER MILE GASOLINE TAX**

By Dr. Al

Art Bell announced on his Monday night, March 17, 1997 radio program that the California Legislature was talking about a gasoline tax for which you would keep an accounting of your odometer and then submit it with a 2-cent per mile tax to the state. What other ways of control and milking your pocketbook will they think of? Art Bell said, **"NOO WAY!! NOO WAY!!"**

Why might he be so worried about it, when he lives in Nevada? Well, Art is smart enough to know if they get away with it in California it will spread like wildfire across the United States.

Are we all going to just sit back and let it happen and then yell or are we going to stop it NOW??

A TRIP THROUGH
THE LOOKING GLASS

OBE Is Not Education. It Is Total
Mind Control From Womb To Tomb

From *TELL IT LIKE IT IS* newsletter, January 1997, [quoting:]

If you're like me, trying to understand and make sense of outcome-based education (OBE) can lead to a lot of head scratching.

You and I know there is a crisis in education. Students are leaving school dumb. They can't read, they can't write and they can't find America on the map.

So when we read that the education establishment is charging at full speed to "improve" education through "reevaluation of curriculum", "improvement" of teacher training and methods, and a "restructuring" of curriculum content, we think it sounds positive.

We think all that reorganization means somebody finally intends to teach Johnny how to read and Suzy how to add 9+9+9+9. Educational excellence to you and me means that every student has a chance to be an academic whiz like those kids on the academic game shows we see on TV every Sunday. They seem to know everything.

But it is precisely because we interpret the education establishment's words this way that we find OBE so puzzling. If the goal is educational excellence, how come, we ask, are children no longer taught to spell and perform multiplication tables? And why do they keep evaluating students through a series of tests that have no sensible answers or grades?

The problem is you and I are looking at the situation completely backwards. You first must step through the looking glass for everything to make sense.

Let me explain.

The process of gathering knowledge, developing attitudes and making career decisions that you and I use goes something like this:

First, we acquire knowledge through our families, friends and associates, then through our outside experiences, including reading books or watching television—and especially through school. This is the normal "learning" process. In the classroom you are taught the basic skills of reading, writing and math. Later you are introduced to literature, philosophy and science.

Second, through this constant source of input, combined with your everyday experiences, you create

“attitudes” and values that set your opinions, likes, dislikes and interests.

Finally, these attitudes move you toward the third part of the triangle, your actions and behavior. At this point you act out your interests through hobbies, career choices, join a political party that reflects the views you’ve developed, and so forth. To you and me, these choices represent the “outcome” of what started as a quest for knowledge.

Are you with me so far?

Well, take a step forward into the looking glass where black is white, right is left and wrong is right.

Welcome to the world of OBE. Here the “quest for knowledge” is replaced by a student’s behavior. In other words, the final “outcome” for a student is chosen before beginning the journey for knowledge—and it is not chosen by the student, but by a central authority in charge of the education process. It’s all planned out in a pre-established program.

For example, let’s say the education establishment has decided that a student is to be an advocate of environmentalism. Proper environmental attitudes and actions will become his established “outcome”, for which his education will be tailor-made. This is where the rhetoric about excellence and motivation comes in. He will be motivated to achieve the pre-determined outcome and when he or she reaches the “right” attitude, that, according to the education establishment is “excellent”! [End quoting]

This is the best definition of OBE that I’ve seen. A truly horrifying threat to our future.

HIS MYSTERIOUS WAYS

From *GUIDEPOSTS*, P.O. Box 1479, Carmel, NY 10512, [quoting:]

The first thing I noticed that morning in my fiancé’s hospital room was the chair close to his bed. That’s odd, I thought. I knew the chair hadn’t been there when I had left well after the end of visiting hours the night before.

Twenty-four hours earlier it had been a beautiful, sunny day when John and I went for a long bike ride. John had lost control on a rough patch of road and fallen hard onto the asphalt. At the hospital a neurosurgeon and plastic surgeon examined John while I paced outside the treatment room.

Finally the doctors let me in to see him. John looked weak and pallid.

“He has a bad concussion,” one of the specialists told me.

“There’s nothing more we can do right now,” said the second. “Go home and try to get some sleep.”

I sat by John’s side for a few hours. Then, before I left, I prayed, “Dear Lord, please, watch over him tonight.”

This morning John looked so much better; the color had come back to his face and he seemed more relaxed. As I stood by his bed, he woke up, smiling, alert. We talked about the accident.

“Thank goodness for those three doctors,” he said.

“Three?” I wondered aloud.

“Yes, there was a doctor with a beard who watched over me all night. He sat right in that chair.”

I looked at the chair. And I knew my prayer had been answered. —Sue McCusker, Canton, Georgia
[End quoting]

CHAPTER 13

logo

THE STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS

by Grandma 3/3/97

It appears our President, our Congress and our Senate of the Civil Government of the United States are totally ignorant of the real meaning of the State of the Union Address. The “Prez” sits there with his thumb up his rear and his brain in neutral spouting, “by the power vested by the *Constitution*, I hereby BLIP BLIP, BLAH BLAH”, while the “President in charge of Vice” runs around with Mrs. President hustling every bum, drug cartel and special interest group on the globe allegedly for the Democratic Party. And, by golly, they do all of this crap while in the employ of the American people, being paid by the American people to do a job in the White House, in accordance with the *Constitution of the United States*, and the laws of the United States.

The State of the Union Address, in specifics, is mandated to inform the American people how much money is in the public treasury trust; how much it cost the people for those elected by the people to run the government during the year; and how much is or was collected on imports, duties and imposts on all foreign manufacturing imported into the United States, including the gross national product exported from the manufacturing and industry base of the United States (this includes produce). After all this has been complied with, a report is made by the President to the Congress and the Senate, and the national balancing of the budget occurs (constitutionally). Actually, it’s more like good old fashioned debit and credit bookkeeping. This is the real State of the Union Address’ intent.

The last true balancing of the budget, to my knowledge, occurred under President T. Roosevelt in 1905-06. Teddy Roosevelt announced we had, after payments of outstanding debts, \$40 million in the treasury trust (messages and papers of the Presidents).

We, as a nation, will never again see a balanced budget under a constitutional government. I will tell you why! The votes by the popular majority of the people are being denied to the people. Nor can a constitutional government be in existence when the vote is controlled by (1) the Electoral College, and (2) the electronic computers. This very effectively denies the sovereign will of the people and the rights of suffrage (the right to choose by popular vote who the people “will” by casting of their popular vote, represent them, that being the people’s choice of government)!

We, as a nation, have a collective group of carpetbaggers, communist, Fourth Reich members, and those who act against the laws which are still on the books, and contrary to God’s laws, alleging equal rights and civil liberties, with malicious intent to cause harm to the national health & public safety of our children, and other generations yet to come. They introduce homosexuality in our schools, alleging it’s OK to be gay! No! It’s not OK to be gay! Furthermore, it’s not OK to teach our children it’s OK to become gay, because what is normal for the other guy, is not normal for the gay community. This is supposed to be a nation of orderly laws! There are provisions in the *Constitution* which mandate good moral behavior by

all chosen representatives of the people.

I now ask the question which the rest of the nation asks: Where are our ministers?! Why are our ministers not doing their job, teaching morals, ethics, and the *Ten Commandments*?! Where are the old fashioned *Bible* study groups, who knew the meaning of God's laws as cited in the *Book of Judges*, *Leviticus*, and *Ecclesiastes*? Why are they not raising holy Hell about this unholy alliance which has set this nation on a pathway of destruction?!

We're being told today that it's OK to be gay. It's OK to watch daytime or nighttime television programs containing immoral acts, murder, violence and crime against women, open sexuality, men kissing men, women shacking-up with women and openly injecting, snorting and inhaling drugs—on national and public television?!

Since December 1996, I have seen more teets bared and exposed on open television than the King Ranch has on its herd of cattle, in Texas!

I object! I object as a member of the silent majority to this undermining of morals, ethics, and God's rules and laws! I object to open sexual activity on television. What goes on behind closed doors in one thing, but when I can flip my TV from station to station, and see the same immoral vulgarities, station after station, and the weather channel has become prime time viewing, then something is damned wrong with our federal communications regulators!

Again, I ask, where are our ministers? Where are our men of God, charged with instructing the right and the wrong of things. The instructions given by God Almighty as to how to conduct our lives, and to follow the pathway of righteousness? Where are they? I'll tell you where they are; they've been replaced by the Jimmy Swaggerts and Jim Bakkers and Billy Grahams of the world. Yep, we've been sold out to the Devil himself, folks!

Bill Clinton, and the President (Mrs. Clinton) and the "President in charge of Vice", and others have committed treason against the civil government of the sovereign states. It's just that damned simple! The U.S. Houses are as guilty as those in the White House. They, in no way, can conduct an investigation on themselves! This sort of an investigation can only be held by the House Un-American Activities Committee. Anything less would be putting the foxes in charge of the hen house.

THINK ABOUT IT!

Now, as to matters here at home. I may be cutting down on my writings, as I have recently (due to stress) developed some health problems...

Grandma Herrman-Herman

THE CONSTITUTION AND THE FEDERAL RESERVE

GRANDMA 3/9/97

Thank you, Commander Hatonn, for sending me the transcript on David Miller's video tape. Mr. Miller

discovered the fact which I have been telling all of my pupils and readers on the *Constitution(s)* and constitutional law: The words are the golden keys which open the lock(s) of the intent of the Founding Fathers, when writing the agreements, contracts, ordinances, declarations, *Constitution(s)* (which are all laws within themselves, as are Article VI's Debts and Engagements provisions).

Now, go look up the definition of what a writ is! It's in *Black's Law Dictionary*, or any old *Webster's International* written before 1910. I will tell you, the *Declaration of Independence* is a writ! The Revolutionary War resulting in the *Constitution* of and for the United States, was execution of that writ! Are you with me so far?

When you know the magic of the words, you have the golden keys to the intent of those who set this nation free from despotism, oppression (heavy-handed tactics of government or those in government with power), and tyranny.

You see, every 200-or-so years, the meaning of words change! This is what Mr. Miller is telling you. However, I do disagree with Mr. Miller on his points he takes and makes on the Federal Reserve being in a position of not being tried by law, while prevailing upon contract law. I wholeheartedly disagree. Here is why!

(A) Original Contract: The *Constitution* of and for the United States is a treaty by contract of constitution with the people of the United States of America.

*** "Congress shall have the power to make all necessary and proper laws for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other power vested by this *Constitution* in the Government of the United States, or any department thereof." Nothing allowed to the Federal Reserve, Janet Reno or the Prez—what's left over which does not pertain to international commerce contracts, are reserved to the people.

(B) To be a valid contract:

(1) Parties must be of legal/lawful capacity, (2) said parties must have attained the lawful age of consent, (3) the contract must be between two or more persons and (4) every contract must have a beginning and ending date, with the exception: if all parties preform the agreements in said contract with mutual assent, the contract remains in full force pursuant to the original intent.

(C) The original contract (*Constitution*) is between the civil government of the United States and the sovereign civil governments of the territorial states of the Republic States of the Union. These are called Enabling Acts.

These Enabling Acts are treaties with or contracts with the sovereign civil governments (the people) of the states. These original treaties can not be rewritten, words changed, or the intent changed in any form whatsoever. That is the law of this land.

(D) The *Constitution* mandates (orders), "Only Congress shall have the power to coin money, regulate the value thereof, and of foreign coin, and fix the standard of weights and measures." "Shall" is the operative word in Sec. 8 of Article I of the *Constitution*. (All of you would do yourselves well if you read

Article I.)

Now, let's look at Article I, Sec. 10, Clause 1. That's the biggie (check out Article I, Sec. 9, Clause 8, while you are at it, and think about Sir George Bush, and Sir Colin Powell): "No state shall enter into any treaty, alliance or confederation; grant letters of Marque or Reprisal; coin money (26 U.S.C. has not discovered that coins are money [IRS codes]); make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts; pass any bill of attainder, ex-post facto law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts, or grant any title of nobility."

(E) The original contract is mandatory in its "shall" provisions of contract of the laws of *Constitution*. Each article of the *Constitution* is a contracted law. Did you understand that? Lord, I hope so, because I cannot prevail upon the Commander to cause the *CONTACT* to print the U.S. Supreme Court Grandfathered decision of Fletcher vs. Peck, 6 Cranch 87 (1810), 307, 308, 315, 326. Nor would I prevail upon him for printing Marbury vs. Madison, 1 Cranch 13 (1803), 27-29; 4, 5, 10, 21, 43, 44, 46, 50, 68, 77, 170. Fletcher vs. Peck defines law as a "contract with the people". Now, therefore, parties, who are not a party to the original contract, are by law not a party to the contract and have no lawful jurisdiction to intermeddle, intercede, set aside, abrogate, or void the original contract.

As Mr. Miller relates to the Federal Reserve Banking Trust System, this could in all probability give rise to a class action suit against the U.S. Congress and U.S. Senate, including the executive and his committees for excessive misconstruction and abuse of Powers of Public Office by allowing parties not included in the original contract (*Constitution*) to overthrow the national plighted faith, the U.S. Treasury Trust of the people. Also, 12 U.S.C., Sec. 411 allows the Federal Reserve to take that funny money or monopoly money over to the U.S. Treasury and collect between \$38-\$40 for each of those bills or notes in lawful money.

- (1) The contract (original *Constitution*) has suffered a breach of contract.
- (2) Unconscionable contracts are now allowed to prevail upon the American People. (Check out the term in lawful sense of unconscionable contract.)
- (3) The unconscionable contracting party (Federal Reserve) has committed a fraud upon the U.S. Treasury Trust of the people.
- (4) No contract shall remain in force when fraud can be proven. A fraud exits.

Now then, where and who has the ultimate responsibility of addressing these unconscionable contracts, and fraudulent practices? Well, kiddos, it are you!

You are the government. Those old boys and girls up there in Washington, District of Crooks and Criminals, are your employees. You pay them to do a job. They are not doing the job. This is the reason the *Constitution* is called the *Constitution* and you are the constituents. Do you understand that word? You own the *Constitution*! Did you get that?!

Your vote is supposed to remedy this sort of unconscionable behavior. Sadly, we, the people can not get

past the damned electoral college (which is unlawful) or the damned computers! “OK,” you say, “Where do we go from here?” Well, that is as simple as falling off the log. You can recall your representative, back into your respective states, and impeach that buster. But, be careful, you must have a knowledgeable person standing ready, willing and able to fill that open slot in government.

Once that is accomplished, and all vacant seats filled, file fraud charges against the Federal Reserve, and all persons who allowed these unconscionable acts to prevail; and confiscate all properties, real and personal, held in their names or in foreign accounts, or in fictitious names, such as corporations, etc.

You have several avenues to take. One is to prevail upon appointed judges in corrupt courts, to protect the fannies of those they are sworn by Oath to protect, or you can go to the world court at The Hague , or in New York. Or be stupid and start an armed revolution.

Yes, there is remedy. It takes all Americans working together. Egos must be set aside for the common good.

It fails my senses when all of these knowledgeable groups are out there, that they can never unite under a common cause. Those who control us have sure perfected the art of divide and conquer! Once those damned egos get put aside, this nation will move forward into the pure intent of the constitutioners.

Now, therefore, so many of you have been complaining about the *Constitution* being a commercial instrument. It sure the Hell is! It sets the guidelines for those whom you elect to conduct your foreign affairs of commerce and exporting, and is supposed to protect you and me, our industries, factories, producers, manufacturers, jobs, peace and tranquility and rights of quiet enjoyment of same, from unfair trade practices. Also, that commercial contract mandates Congress shall make all laws necessary to protect the American industry, producer, and manufacturer. Beyond that, Congress possesses no powers.

Now, I do not know about the rest of you, but I personally am getting damned tired of paying Congress to do a job, when they cannot even identify the damned job they are supposed to do!

I am also tired of the conflict of interest and the excessive misconstruction and abuse of powers of public officials, who daily breach their oaths of office!

Last evening on national television, it was stated: “One out of five Americans were unable to read a book to their children, and were illiterate.” I think they all went to Washington, and are representing foreign countries.

You see, the conflict of interest laws state, those old boys can not represent the people of the United States, and while being compensated by the people of the United States (paid by the people), enter into any agreement which is in conflict with those seven articles of the *Constitution*, and the *Bill of Rights*. The Federal Government usurping that contract is unlawful, and a repugnancy to the *Constitution*. I would call it illegal, but in today’s vernacular in Washington, District of Crooks and Criminals, this is normal operating procedure; it’s simply the way you do business.

Grandma Herrman-Herman

CHAPTER 14

EL MORYA: SLUMBER TIME SHALL COME TO AN ABRUPT END!

Editor's note: The following writing is by the Ascended Master known as El Morya, The Statesman, Elder of the First Ray among the seven "Rainbow Masters" communicating at this time to help us through Earth-Shan's planetary transition and rebalancing. Refer to Journal #7, called THE RAINBOW MASTERS, for more background on this important group of teachers. See the Back Page for ordering information.

3/23/97 EL MORYA

Good afternoon and thank you for sitting this day. I am El Morya, keeper of the First Ray of Creator's Spectral Expression. I come in that One White Light which permeates and motivates all of Creation. Be at peace for we have work to do!

The transition time is at hand and your world sleeps on in ignorance. This slumber shall be coming to an abrupt end very soon! As ones are bluntly awakened to the realization that they have been slothful in their efforts to prepare, there shall come an inner sense of horror as the realization of what is taking place around them takes seat within their mind. These ones are the ones who have chosen to ignore the warnings and thus have chosen NOT to prepare.

Preparation in these times—NOW—is the key to survival. Your world is hanging on by mere threads and you will see that, literally, one day all is well, and the next day ALL is in chaos, confusion and horror beyond your ability to take in at the moment of realization.

This is not to frighten you; it is to get your attention! What will your excuse be when you are caught off guard and vulnerable? Will you say, "Oh God! Why are you doing this to me!?"

You who are drawn to the inspired messages of Lighted Truth (from whatever source they come) shall have had the opportunity to make conscious choices and decision as to what it is that you will do. The time to prepare is NOW! Did you hear me? THE TIME TO PREPARE IS NOW!

You who sit on the fence and wonder what your friends would say or your family would think, are the ones who are in the process of creating a very painful experience for yourselves—an experience that does not have to happen. Nonetheless, you are creating it by your inaction.

There are no free rides and God will help those who effort to help themselves, and others, first! If you are sitting and doing nothing because you are in an apathetic state of denial, because you make excuses that you do not have enough money or time, or you just do not care about yourself, then I would say to you: "Do not later come asking for a miracle when you are not even willing to lift a finger to help yourself, let alone a brother in need—or the children next door."

When you make an honest effort, with heartfelt intent, to make preparations, you will find doors and opportunities opening up to you. When you sit and complain to yourself and others that you just don't care or that you would rather not be around anyway, you are creating the very horror that you are constantly denying.

These experiences are for your education and growth, and that is why you have chosen to participate in the physical at this time. You want the experiences that will cause you to expand your awareness of self and of the true nature of your spiritual heritage.

Our mission, as Messengers of God, is to present these messages to you and make them available to any who are honestly seeking. Those who do not even have the slightest desire shall be given every opportunity to find information that will resonate and thus spark an inner emotional desire to look deeper into that which is taking place at this time.

We of the Hosts of God work through many sources in the physical. We will help your scientists, geophysicists, medical doctors and even your religious leaders put together the information that they have in such a way as to come to the same conclusion (but for "different" reasons) as those who bring forth these more direct messages.

If a geophysicist tells you that your planet is in for a major geological shift that will cause great earthquakes and volcanoes, many will heed the warning and prepare. These same ones might read one of Commander Soltec's discourses on the same subject, but dismiss the information for it came (to their thinking) by way of "mysticism" or "hocus-pocus" instead of science. Yes, we know there are those who actually take Soltec's messages more seriously than they let on, but that is another matter—one of wrestling with the ego, be it self-pride or be it what other professional colleagues might "think" of them should they become aware of from where they acquire some of their technical input!

Let me tell you right here and right now that the communication process by which these messages are being transmitted is quite scientific and your secret governments currently have this scientific technology available to them as we speak.

It is the ignorance of "intelligent" people which causes them to so easily dismiss that which they do not understand. You can choose (conveniently?) to believe as you will, but just because you disbelieve something does not mean it is false. When you cannot allow for the fact that you do NOT know everything there is to know about everything, you set yourselves up for very impacting experiences that will show you that you are in the mere infancy of awakening and that you have quite a bit to learn.

We will assist any and all who effort to find Truth through any route that ones may choose. If a scientist wishes to examine one small aspect of Creator's thinking, and wants to know how it is, for instance, that gravity REALLY works, then we will help that one in their quest. But, they will have to make honest efforts to figure out the answers for themselves. These ones will be drawn to seek out all information written on the subject and will have a strong EMOTIONAL DESIRE to understand the phenomenon.

When the emotional component is strong enough, therein lies the secret to bridging the gap between the knowledge that has already been presented and the knowledge that lies on the peripheral edge of planetary awareness. Keep in mind here that there are great technologies available on your planet at this time and that such have been secreted away from the masses by the greedy “elite” power brokers on your planet.

Much of this technology would be considered “ancient” and primitive by we of the Ascended Realms. However, it would be considered advanced and “mind-blowing” by the average person of average education on your planet. There is a kind of “safety factor” built in for the elite controllers, who desire that YOU remain ignorant of technologies at THEIR disposal. This safety factor exists because so many would simply laugh at anyone trying to even suggest the existence of such great technology. The fairly recent movie called *Chain Reaction* is a more-true-than-not example of this situation.

You live in a world of illusion and mind manipulation, and it takes a strong emotional desire to pull oneself up out of the mind-numbing culture that is prevalent on your planet. Those of you who are reading this now, can look back in your life and find a turning point experience when you were presented with information that sparked a curiosity that needed to be satisfied. If you recall that experience, you will see that there was a strong emotional desire to learn more, to find that which causes emotional satisfaction, and thus your journey of discovery started. Perhaps this happened the first time you encountered the Edgar Cayce material or the Seth material or the *Phoenix Journals*.

Whatever your path, you took in the new information and realized that, the more you learned, the more questions you had, for your realization was that there is much more unseen than there is seen. This is to say that the spiritual side of your existence (unseen but FELT) was where the answers are found and those answers in turn helped to explain the reasons for the physical experiences.

As you have been growing, somewhere along the way you realized that the “coincidences” along the way were in actuality your Guides helping you along your way. Remember always that when your *heart* desires something, you are in effect calling in your spiritual side for the creation and manifestation of the desire. When you mouth the words, but there is not enough emotional desire to even cause you to take physical action toward finding your answers, then you will not have any real expediency in manifesting your desires. This is to say that the rate at which a creative thought will manifest in your life experience is directly proportional to the emotional content (power) of the desire.

Those who sit back (usually on a “fence”) and wait for life to happen, and take no real effort at creating or manifesting their desires, will find that their life is somewhat un-fulfilling. These ones are so consumed by the distractions (such as television, movies, sex, food, and illness) that they give up the moment, the here and now, on such a regular frequency that their thoughts are fragmented, and thus their focus and desires lack any real emotional energy. This sort of passive existence is what keeps you in a controlled state and thus powerless to change your current life experience.

When you can realize that you have a choice in this matter, you will begin to break free from those things that you choose to do on a habitual basis and thus free up the HERE AND NOW so that change can take place. For instance, for those of you who spend a large portion of your free time watching television, I would suggest you turn it off for a month or two and spend the time focusing your mind on the way you would like your life to be.

If you have difficulty finding something to focus on, then focus on the desire to know what it is that you are wanting. Say to self, “I am wanting to know what it is that will help me to create the fulfillment I desire!” Take five minutes to affirm this statement to yourself every day. Write down any ideas you may have and monitor your emotional response to each. If at first nothing comes, do not worry. For some this may take a few days or weeks in order to really get your emotional side focused. With persistence you WILL have success! Once you find an idea that really causes an emotional response, then follow up on the idea. Spend the five minutes focusing upon that idea and continue to write down any thoughts or ideas that come.

At some point, when the emotional desire is strong enough, you will be compelled to take action on these thoughts. When you take action you are reaffirming, in the physical, your thoughts and thus have started to manifest the thoughts into physical reality. The physical experience is a school for learning how to responsibly create. You have a desire within to want to do this, else you would not be in the physical. The key word is RESPONSIBLY!

All of your experience is a result of your thoughts (non-physical) manifesting into the physical. When you live in a passive mode and wait for life to “just happen”, you are not actively fulfilling your Higher Spiritual Desire to learn how to RESPONSIBLY create, and thus the feelings of un-fulfillment. When you begin to take the active role and leave behind the excuses, you will then be creating the excitement of living for which you yearn.

So, get off the “fence” and start creating your future and you will find that you will naturally be preparing for those things of the future that may impact your experience. Perhaps YOU will be the one who feeds your neighborhood children when they are hungry?!

I am El Morya, keeper of the First Ray of New Beginnings, come in the Radiant Light of Infinite Source, so that you have the insights that were promised. SALU!

CHAPTER 15

WHAT'S IN A BRAND-NAME CIGARETTE? HOW ABOUT OPIUM IN THE PAPER!

by A. N. Other 12/31/91

It has been some time since we shared the following article with you, information about cigarettes which was first presented in the 1/14/92 issue of the PHOENIX LIBERATOR. We present this in honor of the announcement on Thursday 3/20/97 that the cigarette companies were likely to finally lose their longtime war of deceit directed toward the American public. While those cigarette companies scramble to deny the lies they've pushed all these years, let us add a bit more information to the total picture, just to put the true scope of the deception into a more realistic perspective.

No doubt you recall the "smoke" and "fire" generated in the media last week as a number of state Attorneys General claimed victory over the cigarette companies. From the Daily News, 3/22/97 [quoting]:

...the Liggett Group, agreed to help pay states involved in the case for the cost of treating sick smokers.

In announcing the settlement, the Liggett Group also acknowledged that smoking causes heart disease and cancer—and that the industry targets underage youths in cigarette marketing.

The company also agreed to turn over thousands of pages of industry documents and to work with attorneys bringing suits against the industry.

States not already involved in the litigation have six months to join in the settlement.

But the Liggett Group settlement raised spirits among attorneys pursuing suits on behalf of cities and counties across the state—including Los Angeles County.

"I think getting the documents is going to be tremendously valuable," said Elizabeth Laporte, who as a lawyer for the San Francisco City Attorney's Office has served as lead counsel among a dozen counties that have brought suits against tobacco companies.

The Liggett admissions should substantially bolster the suit, said Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky, who had pushed for its filing.

"I think the settlement, in terms of the release of documents, the admission that nicotine is addictive and harmful to health and that the companies have targeted kids, this is the backbone of our case," Yaroslavsky said. [End quoting]

The really big deal a few years ago was that oh-so-mysterious Secret List of proprietary ingredients, snippets of which were finally leaked—such as we presented in "The News Desk" of the 4/26/94 issue of CONTACT.

We can only surmise that the entire list must be a real doozy, as far as a roster of choice poisons calculated to “heighten” the smoker’s “enjoyment” of the product—to use that sleazy promotional legalese spouted by the tobacco industry spokesrobots during those pageants of Congressional hearings.

From a scientific point of view, it has been particularly amusing to watch how the media has soft-pedaled the issue of combustion byproducts—the chemicals produced by the burning process itself. And the way of cigarette burning, as you “take a drag” on that smoldering stick, is mostly what is called Incomplete Burning. This is like putting a lid on your outdoor grill to starve the charcoal or gas flame, and right off the bat produces carbon monoxide! Thus the smoker’s “inhaled pleasures”, sucked directly into the lungs are, bluntly, a nightmarish array of highly toxic and poorly understood gaseous chemical fragments, most of which result from those “oh-so-innocent” (tobacco industry legalese, again) additive ingredients.

But——what about THE PAPER in which those name-brand cigarettes are rolled? You haven’t seen ANYONE—for all the media hounds chasing this matter with their clever undercover tieclip cameras and supersecret informants—even BEGIN TO DARE to mention this crowning trick of that “oh-so-innocent” (hear the violins in the background?) tobacco industry.

Well, long-time readers of the CONTACT, and on back to CONTACT’s predecessor, THE PHOENIX LIBERATOR, will probably still remember the following exceptionally well researched writing, which was anonymously penned by “one” who would be very familiar to our readers under other circumstances.

The following is reprinted from Pages 13-15 of the 1/14/92 issue of THE PHOENIX LIBERATOR—over FIVE YEARS AGO now! We share it again here as a critical refresher for all of our readers about an important missing “ingredient” in this hot topic.

Between just this and the human-guinea pig radiation experiments of the ’50s and early ’60s that have come to the public’s attention in recent years, it’s a wonder any of us are still alive to annoy the soul-less, satanic Elite-New World Order controllers who are behind all of these shenanigans. But here we are—thanks to God’s help and some anti-oxidant vitamins—oh yeh, the systematic confiscation of vitamins—but that’s a whole ‘nother story for some other day!

-Dr. Edwin M. Young, Editor-In-Chief

The United States Government and the tobacco industry are playing a dangerous game with your health when it comes to warnings about cigarette smoking. If you are a smoker, you have quite literally been deceived into believing that the tar in nicotine is the main ingredient that makes smoking a habit-forming health hazard: **“The Surgeon General Has Determined That Smoking Is Hazardous To Your Health.”** That warning label is deemed sufficient to protect you from the dangers of smoking.

As a smoker you have been led to believe that addiction to nicotine and danger from the tar it produces is your only problem. If this is what you believe, then read on. Your life may depend on it. Cigarettes are not just tobacco rolled up in fancy thin papers with brand names stenciled on them. Indeed, the secret of

addiction to tobacco-smoking may lie in the paper itself. A doctor friend of mine who has done a lot of research on smoking told me, when I began this investigation, that he was once invited to visit a cigarette paper-making factory in the course of his research work. Before being shown around the plant, he had to don a coverall made of a paper-like substance, which covered him from head to foot.

He was shown various stages of manufacture including a sealed room which his guide said was a paper impregnation plant. My doctor friend was informed that in this building the paper was impregnated with a substance to ensure even, slow burning without the taste of burning paper. Later, when he got home (he kept the coverall as a souvenir), he had the fine dust on it analyzed and when the lab results came back, lo and behold, the dust contained traces of **opium**.

The doctor is thus under the firm impression that paper used for cigarette manufacture is first impregnated with **OPIUM**. It is the opium that causes addiction to smoking. Even in small quantities, opium is extremely addictive. In his opinion, based upon research on nicotine, he found that it is not nicotine alone that makes a tobacco addict, but rather, it is the opium used to impregnate the paper, plus the nicotine, that is the root cause of addiction to smoking.

My doctor friend is a smoker himself, and in order to prove his point, he changed to rolling his own cigarettes. Kits to roll cigarettes can be bought in smokers' speciality shops, and consist of a supply of thin paper (apparently no different from the usual cigarette paper), tobacco, plus a device that rolls the paper around the tobacco.

After trying several brands of loose tobacco and rolling his own cigarettes for three weeks, his craving was not relieved. Rather, it became worse. Finally, after three weeks of home-rolled cigarettes, he went back to his favorite brand of manufactured cigarette. **"The relief was instant, the satisfaction gratifying,"** he told me. As a result of his experiment, the doctor is more than ever convinced that addiction to smoking does not come from tobacco alone, but from the PAPER used by cigarette companies, no matter what brand of tobacco is used.

Do you believe this is far-fetched? Well, if you do, that is exactly what the Government and the tobacco industry want you to believe. You might wish to reconsider the matter after you take into account the following information. If you have any lingering doubts thereafter, then I urge you to write to the Department of Health and Human Services and ask them about it.

You might not get a response from the Government, but you will be certain to attract the attention of Stanley Temko, a lawyer at Covington and Burling, legal guardians of the tobacco industry. If that causes concern, then you might try Senator Jesse Helms, so filled with rectitude when it comes to Manuel Noriega (accused, but far from proven guilty, of being a cocaine smuggler). Helms represents North Carolina, the premier tobacco-growing state in the nation.

On second thought, Senator Helms might not be inclined to enlighten you, so you might then try the Office on Smoking and Health, a Government watchdog agency which is supposed to have our health and welfare at heart. Dr. Ronald Davis, who **resigned from the agency** earlier this year, is on record as stating: "I think the consumers have a right to know what is in tobacco products, but I'm not allowed, under law, to release this information to the public."

The Office on Smoking and Health and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) are the keepers of the keys to the top-secret lists of what ingredients go into making your favorite brand of cigarette, the additives that give tobacco its “flavor”, keep it soft, and give it that special aroma. If you thought “taste” was just an advertising gimmick, you would be wrong. “Taste” is very important to tobacco addicts, which is why cigarette manufacturers go to such lengths to create it.

Before doing any writing, or phoning your Senator, the tobacco companies or HHS, you might want to reflect upon the wisdom of arousing the watchdog. “Let sleeping dogs lie” may be preferred to “kicking a sleeping dog”. You see, the list of ingredients that go into cigarettes is TOP SECRET. Yes, that is right, TOP SECRET. Government doesn’t like people nosing around top secret documents, and the tobacco industry is paranoid about secrecy. They might decide to take a note of your name for future reference.

The tobacco industry does not want smokers to know that, apart from tobacco, he or she is inhaling acetone, methyl salicylate, turpentine, glycyrrhizic acid, caramel, shellac, catechol, acetyldehyde, amino acids. What are the effects of these substances on the body when heated, i.e., at that magic moment when you first light up and deeply inhale?

Take caramel, added to give flavor—which smokers believe comes from their favorite tobacco mix. When the burning end of a cigarette heats the caramel—or any other of the many types of sugar used in the manufacture of cigarettes—it produces catechol which, when combined with some of the other additives, strengthens their carcinogenic properties.

This is called a Synergistic Reaction. In tests on laboratory rats using a number of vitamins, scientists Ken Anderson, R. T. Bartus, C. E. Girgea, Kaufman and several others found that by combining vitamins with other substances, a synergistic reaction was observed. What this means, for instance, is that rats on choline didn’t show that much improvement, but when combined with piracetum, the resulting improvement was dramatic. Reverse synergistic effects happen when caramel is burned with other additives in cigarettes, thus strengthening their carcinogenic properties.

Do you like licorice? Most kids do, but smokers would not be amused if you told them they were smoking it in the additive licorice root—glycyrrhizic acid—used to flavor and keep tobacco moist, which the American Health Foundation says gives off polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons when burned—and that means smokers are inhaling a known cancer-causing substance along with their aroma-filled tobacco smoke.

How about amino acids? Now, most everybody knows that amino acids are what DNA is all about—and don’t health food stores sell amino acids, so what is so bad about it? The trick is not to heat amino acids, nor combine them with other substances that might give a synergistic effect. Once heated, amino acids give off suspected carcinogens, says the American Health Institute.

You can’t smoke without applying heat; I mean, where there is tobacco there has got to be heat, and where there is heat there has to be smoke, and where there is tobacco smoke there are carcinogens. So now you know: cigarettes consist of a good deal more than just blended tobacco rolled in paper, even though the outside package of your favorite brand doesn’t list any additives.

Your Virginia Slims, Marlboros, Winstons, Camels, Kools, or any other brand you favor, contain, in

varying degrees, a number of chemicals, plant extracts and other substances which tests in France proved can amount to as much as 8 percent of the content of what you enjoy so much when you inhale that smoke. Do not be deceived by the printed information on that attractive packaging, **“Ingredients: Selected Fine Tobaccos”**.

Like Mrs. Nancy Reagan’s non-solution to the drug addiction problem washing like a tidal wave over this nation, “just say no,” or applying a PARTIAL warning label to a package of cigarettes, isn’t going to solve the problem. In any case every smoker thinks smoking-induced cancer is strictly for the other fellow.

The warning label cigarette packages carry is meaningless and will remain so until the SECRET ADDITIVES in the tobacco are printed on the label alongside what they are capable of doing to your health. It is time that the FDA enforced its own rules but, given the huge amounts of money spread around Washington by powerful tobacco industry lobbyists, this is still a long way off.

Since the FDA requires strict labeling of ingredients used in ALL foodstuffs, why then is the tobacco industry exempted from these requirements? Not that tobacco is a foodstuff. But if it is compulsory to properly label household detergents and to tell the public what is inside a bottle of apple jelly or peanut butter or ketchup, why conceal the deadly poison that is added to an already dangerous substance called nicotine? How about cigarette paper? Is it impregnated with opium or not? Why aren’t consumers told what, if anything, goes into the making of the paper?

Here is something you ought to know about nicotine: It causes flushing, a sense of warmth, heart palpitations, nausea (especially in first-time smokers), dyspepsia, muscle cramps, blurred vision, a lowered blood pressure when rising from a sitting position and is suspected of causing deformities in unborn babies if the mothers smoke during pregnancy.

In the 1960s, when the truth about tar in nicotine was brought out and stories about lung cancer caused by tobacco swept the land, the tobacco industry went into a state of panic which resulted in the production of “low in tar” brands to offset dramatic losses in sales. These so-called “light” brands were to help assuage the guilty feeling among those who could not bring themselves to kick the smoking habit, even knowing what they were doing to their bodies.

“Light” cigarettes consisted of lighter blends of tobacco, plus filters which were so dense that smokers could no longer get the all-important “taste” of their cigarettes. “Safe” cigarettes became “tasteless” cigarettes. The tobacco industry’s solution to tasteless cigarettes was to use more and more additives of the kinds already enumerated so that “taste” and “flavor” were restored.

But unbeknown to the fans of “light” cigarettes, the cigarette they believed was lighter and safer was now more deadly than the regular type, because of the heavy dosage of secret ingredients it took to restore what smokers wanted most, taste and flavor.

Do our government agencies know about this? Yes, they do. So why don’t those agencies responsible for protecting our national health do something about the menace? They did, or thought they had done something with passage of a 1984 law which called upon manufacturers of cigarettes to list “health risks associated with smoking cigarettes containing any substances commonly added to commercially manufac-

tured cigarettes”.

That was in 1984; yet in spite of urgent appeals to the cigarette industry to come clean, by 1991 they have still not done so. In 1984 a Surgeon General’s report said that data about additives was impossible to obtain, “because cigarette companies are not required by law to reveal what additives they use in each and every brand of cigarettes they manufacture.” What the Surgeon General wanted was a meaningful list of additives that could be related to amounts contained in each cigarette.

Succumbing somewhat to public pressure arising from these disclosures, Congress reluctantly passed a law later that year (1984) which mandated that cigarette manufacturers provide HHS each year with a list of additives used in cigarette manufacturing. However, the tobacco industry was successful in subverting that law. Congress sold out to the tobacco industry—it is called “reaching a compromise”.

Instead of each and every cigarette manufacturer being compelled to give a complete listing of specific additives and amounts going into each and every brand of cigarette produced, the industry—not each manufacturer—was allowed to get away with a general listing of additives which was and still is totally lacking in detail. These annual lists have been described by anti-smoking groups as “page after page of meaningless, useless names”. But according to Covington and Burling, the tobacco industry is “complying with the law”.

Then the tobacco industry scored an even greater triumph over we, the people. It got a provision entered into law which said that the lists of additives were not to be published or made available to the public or research scientists. To this day it is a crime to provide information contained in the TOP SECRET lists. The “state secrets” of the tobacco industry remain sacrosanct. Dutifully each year the tobacco industry gives HHS its secret list and, each year, H.H.S. dutifully locks the list in its safe, away from prying eyes.

If you believe the cigarette industry lists of deadly additives are public property—in short, a Government document—try getting it under the Freedom Of Information Act (FOIA). You will come up blank no matter how hard you try. The FDA insists on Twinkies being properly labeled, but has nothing to say when it comes to cancer-causing agents in cigarettes and why they should be excluded from cigarette packaging warning labeling.

But even if you achieved the seemingly impossible and obtained a copy of the lists, it wouldn’t mean very much in its unrelated state. All you would see would be a long list of chemicals with difficult to pronounce names, not grouped or related to any brand of cigarette. If you are dogged enough to stick with it, you would be able to unravel the jumble of chemical names, but how would you relate that information to INDIVIDUAL brand names of cigarettes? Only the cigarette manufacturers could do it, but they are not about to oblige us in any way, shape or form. Cigarette manufacturers say it is their right to protect their “recipes”—they call them trade secrets—over the rights of consumers to know what goes into their favorite cigarettes. This rule does not apply to cereals, canned foods, etc. Apparently the FDA agrees with the tobacco industry for, thus far, the FDA continues to look the other way. Is it a case of special privileges? I think so, otherwise what else should we call it?

Remember this the next time you pass one of those billboards along the highway where some tough-looking rancher sits on his horse smoking a Marlboro as his fiercely keen eyes scan the wide blue skies

above him. It would be better for him, and for us, if he were to drop his gaze for a moment and take in the cancer wards filled with pain-wracked or so-doped-out-by-morphine patients, to whom life has become meaningless as it nears its end. Cigarettes are indeed the most dangerous product sold in America.

Better yet, why don't anti-smoking groups go out and erect such "cancer ward" billboards—preferably as close as possible to the blue-sky blue-smoke Marlboro billboards? Come to think of it, a lot of people would find it a sobering experience the next time they pass that hitherto attractive outdoor scene.

CHAPTER 16

CONFIRMING COMMENTS

by Col. Fletcher Prouty

Editor's note: And while we are on the subject let us not forget the following information shared with you just recently in the 3/4/97 issue of CONTACT.

Letter to the Editor of *CONTACT*:

Dear Sir,

Reference the Jan 28, 1997 issue, Rick Martin has done it again. His interview with Dr. Horowitz is another blockbuster. Back in the mid-fifties it was one of my assigned duties in the Pentagon to attend certain MK-Ultra and other related meetings.

I have heard discussions in those meetings that relate directly to what Rick has written from his interviews with Dr. Horowitz.

I would add that during some of those discussions there were comments that would remind one of the story of the Armageddon. Do you recall the bit about the fact that after that tragedy the only survivors would be 12,000 of the chosen few from the 12 tribes of Israel. In other words, despite the horrible impact of that tragedy a select number would be saved—would be immune—to save human life.

Such a subject arose during the MK-Ultra meetings and it became clear that the creators of these new “Emerging Viruses” were given explicit orders that before they could release any of these deadly viruses they must also have created an antidote that was capable of making its user totally immune from the virus. This was emphatically made clear: “NO virus release, until the anti-virus is available for the selected survivors.” The Chosen Few.

It is this part of the story that makes the rest of the material important. Someone would decide who the chosen few were to be. If not, there was no way that these powerful people were going to fund and permit such activities. That must be made a significant part of the Martin/Horowitz story.

In this same issue of Jan. 28, 1997 there are a few lines:

“If you add to the above information...i.e., ‘Nicotine, Cocaine: Swiss Scientists Note Similarity’ ...that they add cocaine to cigarette paper you’ll begin to understand why it’s so hard to break the habit.”

Back in the sixties, when I was a banker, I went to the annual meeting of the Virginia Bankers Association in Richmond. During a break period, we were invited to visit one of the large cigarette manufacturing plants in the area. I was walking down the aisle of the shop with an old banker who mentioned that “This was not like the old days...before filters.”

He went on to say that when pure tobacco wrapped only in special cigarette paper were the only ingredients of a cigarette such as Lucky Strikes or Old Gold, they were the real thing. Then some marketing genius came up with the idea that if they made cigarettes with a filter on one end, everyone would believe that they were being filtered clean as the smoker breathed in the purified smoke. Not so.

Then the old gentleman laughed and said, “Today they have gone one better. Now they add the filter; but also they drip a few drops of an addictive drug into the filter and get the modern highly addictive cigarette. Of course when cigarettes are tested in the labs today, the cigarette is tested—paper and tobacco—but never the filter.

That’s salesmanship, and that is what has made it possible to charge so much for the inexpensive natural ingredients, as the addicted smokers demand more and more.

This is a great world.

Yours truly,

L. Fletcher Prouty

Feb. 15, 1997

CHAPTER 17

UPDATE ON JANET, SUSAN AND BRIANA

The 3 Christmas Miracles
by Dr. Al Overholt 3/24/97

Janet is doing much better physically. She has the casts and braces off now and is trying to get her knee to bend with a little success—it is very painful to work with it. (For those who don't know, Janet's left knee was torn up badly—plus 11 broken bones—in an auto accident on Christmas Day.) They had to rebuild her knee area with bone from her hip. She is determined that she will have use of it again.

Janet is still being put under some severe testing and is still trying to regain custody of her two children. She now gets to see them 3 times a week as long as they are accompanied by a government-approved chaperon.

She reads Hatonn's material during most of her spare time. It's amazing how she changed her way of thinking in such a short time.

She is truly thankful for all of her new-found friends, all of the cards, letters, **prayers** and help; without them she couldn't have come so far with her mental and physical healing.

May God bless you richly.

Janet, Susan and Briana Leary
5200 Entrar Dr. #54
Palmdale, CA 93551

CHAPTER 18

A REFRESHER COURSE: WHAT IS MIND CONTROL? by Joseph W. Duggall 3/22/97

This is a question not many of us really want to investigate. Our mind is so sacred that the very thought of having it tampered with horrifies us. However, there is considerable evidence that a very few control the many, rather than the majority freely determining their own destiny. If you think of it, most of us are not leading the lives we really want, nor have we achieved many of our personal goals or even fulfilled our unique purpose in life. Why is this so? The only way 5 billion people can be controlled and forced to go against their own personal beliefs, work at jobs they hate, accept poverty, homelessness, or even go off to fight a war in a far off county is through mind control. This degree of control cannot be achieved with guns or force, and the most effective form of slavery is to have slaves who think they are free. We think we are free, but in many ways we are “thought controlled” and slaves to the “money” system.

To figure out who is behind this massive manipulation of the human race, one must discover who is benefiting from it. It is certainly not the destitute or the working poor who struggle to survive, nor is it the middle class who work at two jobs often day and night to maintain their “lifestyle”, nor is it the wealthy class who are controlled and consumed by the very money they have accumulated. There appears to be a hidden group above the global Elite who have an agenda not many know about. It is certainly not freedom for the human race for, although constantly told that we are free, in actuality we experience less and less freedom every day. How then is this achieved?

SOCIAL COMFORT ZONES

Perhaps the most insidious form of mind control is the establishment of strict boundaries regarding socially acceptable behavior and unacceptable beliefs and actions. Political correctness is a glaring example of this. We need social skills, but the comfort zones which exist in our society do not allow for the freedom of thought and action required to change ourselves and our world in such a way that all living beings benefit. For example, in Nature, all life-forms are completely free to achieve their maximum potential while acting within the laws of Nature and Creation. Populations are naturally balanced, ecosystems flourish, but never take more from the Earth than is recycled, and very stable, dynamic life populations occur over time.

If we were to create a world where we had complete freedom to be our true selves we would need to have a society wherein everyone had the financial freedom to pursue their life purpose, service to others would become the highest form of life expression, expressing creativity in every form would be encouraged, and we would naturally fulfill the needs of everyone in our society. All information on any subject would be freely available for anyone who wanted it, all education would be free, and our media would be a vehicle for educating, entertaining, and showcasing the incredible arts and creativity we all have within us. However, in the present social climate, anyone who believes that we can create this type of world is labeled an “utopian”: the media speaking heads remind us that it “can’t be done”, “won’t work”, “people would never go for that”, end of story.

Presently we are enslaved to the modern day priests, the bankers, who extract enormous tithes from us in the form of interest . They basically control who gets money and who succeeds in nearly every endeavor. By determining who can afford the expenses of education and training, as well as controlling the content of education, they are able to maintain their power base and prevent the truth about their “system” from leaking out. Our completely controlled media toe the line to get corporate sponsors and so do not cover the real issues, but only what fits within the narrow confines of the well established comfort zones.

ANCIENT METHODS OF MIND CONTROL

The oldest and most successful method of mind control is to withhold the truth and release half-truths or outright lies as “the truth” and have truth police stamp out any contrary thoughts or opposition. This was the basis for the Christian inquisition, modern fundamentalism, and all the other isms we live with. This has been the primal method of thought control exerted by religions. The truth is that we are all immortal multidimensional beings with the power to co-create, within the natural and spiritual laws of the universe, any reality we choose to. Where two or more are gathered, and in agreement, the power to co-create becomes astronomical.

This “esoteric” truth has been hidden within secret priesthods, societies, religious orders, etc., and only the half-truths have been released for public consumption. The general gist of this disinformation is that we are sons or daughters of gods and are inherently defective, or unworthy in some way, therefore we must depend upon a priesthood, or savior (guru), to redeem us and make us worthy to enter heaven, but only after we surrender our power (wealth) and die. The truth is that we have the spiritual power to create a heaven here on Earth if we would only awaken to our true nature as beings at-one-ness with the Creator of all, and realize “all these things and more may we do also”.

The end result of a belief in all of these half-truths is that a very elite group of priests was able to control entire civilizations for thousands of years. Their descendants are still at it; all they have done is changed their names and improved their methods.

MODERN METHODS OF MIND CONTROL

Today we have the “freedom” to work 40+ hours a day at either meaningless work, or work we downright hate, to pay endless bank interest on mortgages, car payments, credit card bills, and so on. If we resist, we are labelled “bums”, “lazy”, “a drain on society”, and so on. After all, everyone must “work” for a living, it is not acceptable to “create” your own reality. Property, income, and sales taxes ensure that one can never be without a stable income and therefore never be financially free. However, we can watch all the “socially accepted” TV shows, movies, newspapers, and books we want to, and vote in one-party elections, but never be free to express an original thought, or question the “system”, even though it is destroying us and our planet. To do so flags you and, immediately, labels such as “health food nut”, “environmentalist”, “radical”, “conspiracy theorist”, “occultist”, and so on are used by “experts” to marginalize you and remove you from the mainstream of debate and discussion, while at the same time destroying your credibility. We have become so comfortable with these labels that we unconsciously use them ourselves and actually become “thought police” to maintain the power of the unseen hands of the manipulators.

Governments have “security” and “intelligence” operations basically to monitor their mind-control apparatus which is disseminating disinformation, controlling the masses, and maintaining power of the Elite at the top. A member of Reagan’s public relations staff said, “We don’t lie to the public, we misinform them.” Individual leaders (JFK, King, John Lennon, Malcolm X, etc.) who awaken to the reality of the suppression and control are either assassinated by mind-controlled assassins, ridiculed in the media, denounced as “Communists”, “anti-semites”, mysteriously commit suicide, or just disappear. Groups which don’t toe the line are labelled as “revolutionaries”, “militia groups”, “demonstrators”, etc. and are now being eliminated in mass (Waco, cult burning, etc.). The individuals who question or protest against the system are never given any credibility nor are their issues and proposals given a fair hearing. The robotic population sits back after their evening meal to watch their “thought-control boxes” safe in the knowledge that they have watched “the news”. Where is the freedom to be a unique expression of our divine nature?

Another very insidious mind-control technique is utilized extensively in advertising: subliminal messages. These take the form of symbols, hidden text, hidden images, word association, etc. This technique is used to trigger the subconscious into developing brand or thought loyalties, because they “feel right”, or the image “looks right” and so on. This is a very powerful technique and because of our exposure to thousands of ads every day, they most certainly control our behavior to some degree. Why else would advertisers spend billions developing them?

Another ancient technique is the stepping-stones approach, whereby radical changes are implemented slowly, step by step over time, usually with false and misleading public statements to decoy the minds of people. A good example is the move towards a cashless society which is being slowly but surely instituted by the banks. If they suddenly said, “We are going to eliminate cash, turn your money in for credit and debit cards,” people would revolt. However, they have very slowly introduced credit cards, debit cards, and new methods of security utilizing retina scans, face-print scans, fingerprints, and recently, injectable microchips detectable by scanners and even satellites. Sound far fetched? Apparently, some towns and cities even in Canada are going cashless to explore the “convenience” of a cashless society. Again, who benefits from this? If you don’t toe the line does your debit card get deactivated? What happens when you can no longer buy nor sell in the cashless society? Without access to a medium of exchange in a modern society, you are in deep trouble. Where in a modern city can you even work for room and board as one could do in the farming, trades-based economies of the past? With everything being made overseas one is reduced to being a “consumer”, but when denied access to money, what do you do?

One of the most widely used mind-control techniques is the problem-reaction-solution scenario. An example of this is the recent moves to “protect” neighborhoods with surveillance cameras. This removes privacy and gives tremendous power to the security forces. This achieved by either creating the conditions, or allowing conditions to develop, where drugs, poverty, violence, and theft create fear in the population. When the call to “protect us” results, out come the surveillance cameras already waiting in the background to be installed. To discover the perpetrators of the problem-reaction-solution scenario all that is needed is to discover who is benefiting from it. They are inevitably the ones behind it. In this case all of the security and government agencies benefit from the even greater control over the populace as they give up their freedoms for “protection”.

CHEMICAL AGENTS OF MIND CONTROL

The most widely used chemical in mind control is sodium fluoride. This may surprise you, but it was first discovered by the Nazis during WWII in the work and death camps. When added to the drinking water, it made prisoners and slave laborers docile such that they did not resist authority. There are hundreds of psychiatric drugs which contain derivatives of fluorine and have psychotropic actions. These have all been carefully studied and experimented with on prisoners of war, inmates, and many unsuspecting servicemen and volunteers. We are told that fluoride is used only to prevent tooth decay in children, a claim for which there is absolutely no irrefutable scientific evidence. Surely a little nutritional information would be much more effective than poisoning entire populations with a known carcinogen and one of the most toxic chemicals known; it is used to kill rodents and even insects. Why, then, are “health” departments in the US, Canada, Australia, etc., forcing cities and townships to fluoridate their water supplies against the will of the people?

SECRET INTELLIGENCE AGENCY MIND-CONTROL PROJECTS

Mark Phillips and Cathy O’Brien are two Americans who have written a book, *Trance Formation of America*, wherein Cathy documents her thirty-year confinement as a slave in the CIA’s MK-Ultra Project Monarch mind-control project. She is the only vocal and recovered survivor of this CIA mind-control program, which is still in full operation. Mark Phillips has worked extensively in advertising and knows the extent to which we are being manipulated by our media. He has fully investigated the most secret technology known to man: trauma-based mind control. Mark is recognized internationally by mental health authorities and law enforcement professionals as an authority on this science concerning external control of the mind. This super secret technology is an evolved system of remote human physical and psychological manipulation which has only recently been officially recognized by accredited mental health physicians for what it is, absolute mind control. Mark rescued Cathy O’Brien from her handler on February 8, 1988, and spent almost five years deprogramming her and reintegrating her fragmented personality.

Cathy O’Brien was a multigenerational victim of incest and sexual abuse. When her father was caught by government officials transporting pedophile pornography across state lines, he was given the option of selling Cathy into the CIA mind-control project or going to prison. He was more than happy to sell Cathy to MK-Ultra as he obtained protection to continue his activities as well as work for the CIA. Cathy and Mark have pursued justice for over 8 years, but have been stopped for “reasons of National Security” based on the criminal abuse of the unconstitutional 1947 National Security Act.

In the process of reversing Cathy’s mind-control programming, Mark Phillips contacted the top researchers in the world, both within intelligence organizations and the medical community. He and Cathy have invaluable information for anyone who is suffering from trauma-induced psychological dysfunctions, childhood abuse, post-traumatic stress syndrome, sexual abuse, etc. Psychiatrists, psychologists, and therapists in particular don’t want to miss this opportunity.

To create our future and make decisions that will benefit all living beings, we must know the truth about who we are, what is happening on our planet, and who is behind the world events we hear so little detail about and therefore feel helpless to do anything to change. Lets get informed, now!

CHAPTER 19

LAUNDERING A TRILLION DOLLARS OF COCAINE-HEROIN MONEY THROUGH POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS by Gary Wean 3/3/97

We are in a terrible fix—here is where we stand.

The Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City was blown-up; Americans, women, children and men were killed and maimed in the terrifying explosion. We all know the fearful count, we have heard it, seen it and thought of it a million times. Someone must hate us with a bitterness far beyond our comprehension—you will learn who and why. It goes back a long, long time.

In 1947, half a century ago, as a Los Angeles policeman I busted a Black drug peddler, “Black-Dot McGee”. He was the main distributor of cocaine and heroin in Watts, South Los Angeles. McGee copped out; he worked for a corrupt L.A. policeman, Sgt. Thomas Bradley in Newton Street Division. Bradley was the boss of all drug operations in Watts. Anyone stupid enough to start up competition was harshly rubbed out—usually a free trip to Georgia Street Receiving Hospital and a year in a jail cell.

Soon after we nailed Black-Dot, Abraham Davidian was busted by L.A.P.D. A major drug and gun smuggler with International Connections, Davidian’s “big” connection was Benny Wong in L.A.’s Old Chinatown. (Refer to Chapters 32 and 46 of my book, *There’s a Fish in the Courthouse*.) To escape a very long prison term Davidian spilled his guts—he detailed to us his connections to Benny Wong and L.A. gangster Mickey Cohen and Judge Harry Pregerson. With Benny Wong they were cooking up a gigantic cocaine-heroin smuggling organization, the biggest ever—far greater than all the alcohol-smuggling operations during prohibition put together. This cocaine-heroin operation would comprise all the states of the U.S. Ninth Circuit Court—protected by Judge Harry Pregerson’s jurisdiction.

Davidian, to save his own skin, had agreed to testify in court—he was going to be the star-witness that would expose and convict the entire drug organization. All of them: Judge Harry Pregerson, Stanley Mosk (presently a California Supreme Court Judge), gangster Mickey Cohen, Benny Wong and a dozen high-ranking politicians, both federal and state.

At this time the Federal Narcotics Agency took Davidian from the L.A.P.D. and claimed they were hiding him out for his own safety until the trial started. Not even the L.A.P.D. knew where he was. While in the custody of the Feds for “safekeeping” Davidian was shot and killed.

This blew the whole prosecution; without the star witness there was no case. What we had planned to be the end of a vicious, treasonous gang of drug smugglers instead turned out to be only the beginning of Judge Harry Pregerson and Benny Wong’s massive cocaine-heroin smuggling organization.

Fifty years later, the year of 1997, Judge Pregerson controls the largest, most powerful drug organization the world has ever known.

On the surface, publicly it has become known as “Clinton’s Chinese Connection”, but as much as Clinton is hated and despised by the people, and despite the fact that he should have never, never reached the position of President of the United States, he is there for one reason only—a poor, pitiful “scapegoat” for the Mishpucka and its unbelievable evil.

During WWII, many Chinese Nationals were brought to Southern California to be trained as fighter and bomber pilots for the Chinese military. These Chinese made contact with important Chinese people in L.A.’s Old Chinatown—when they returned to China they became high-ranking officials in the Chinese Government, the military complex, and extremely influential businessmen. Like Harry Pregerson, since 1946, they are still alive in China and still in control of the cocaine-heroin smuggling industry.

WWII ended in late 1945. By the first of 1946 the commercial sea lanes, the old trade routes to China and the rest of Asia were opened. Thousands of ships hauling war materials suddenly became available for international commerce.

In the South Pacific, Micronesia became a U.S. Protectorate and under the strict jurisdiction of the Federal Ninth Circuit Court, (Judge Harry Pregerson).

It was set up by Pregerson and Wong with their Chinese Connection to route Chinese ships with cocaine and heroin to a Micronesian Port—there the drugs would be transshipped to American ships and sailed to Port Hueneme, California which was adjacent to the U.S. Naval Sea-Bee Base. Port Hueneme and the Sea-Bee base during the war had been a staging area, a major shipping arena for war machinery to the South Pacific, but now, with peace, the heavy military security and inspections had become lax.

With certain arrangements made, the cocaine-heroin was unloaded without incident. In huge amounts, the drugs were transported south to Los Angeles and north to San Francisco, where it was delivered to top suppliers who then broke it up and spread it to the distributors and street peddlers.

The City of Oxnard, adjacent to Port Hueneme where the drugs first hit the U.S. became the focal point, the hub of the cash, the “Big Payoff”.

Even in those early days, 1946 to 1950, the drug money was a problem—it had to be laundered. The money-take was in the multi-millions; it quickly jumped to the billions and multi-billions.

The Bank of A. Levy was owned by Ben Nordman; his mother was Helen Levy, the daughter of Achilles Levy, the Bank’s founder. Ben Nordman was a U.S. Commissioner and his law firm partner was Superior Court Judge Jerome Berenson. They were major cohorts of Harry Pregerson and Benny Wong. The first money laundering operations for Pregerson and Wong’s cocaine-heroin organization was set up at Oxnard’s Bank of A. Levy.

The “front man” for the money-laundering operation was Martin V. “Bud” Smith, who in 1946 was the operator of a small hamburger stand on Highway 101 running through Oxnard. Smith was originally from Hollywood and had gotten an early education in drugs at Hollywood High School. The students of every high school in the Los Angeles School System plus Santa Monica and Beverly Hills in the 1930s knew that Hollywood High was a source of hard drugs.

With all this drug-money pouring in, front-man Smith began tying up huge parcels of land. Smith became known as a developer with immense financial holdings; these included shopping centers, hotels, commercial properties, apartment complexes, restaurants and boat harbors, etc., etc.

Smith established Oxnard's Financial Plaza. By 1965 the Bank of A. Levy was swamped with so much drug-money that they could no longer handle it. The Lagomarsino bank was in the same shape. With Smith's counterpart Harry Maynard, Lagomarsino established his new bank, the American Commercial Bank, and laundered the surplus from Bank of A. Levy.

The fantastic amount of drug money pouring in could not be handled by just a few banks without drawing wonder and unwanted attention that could expose them. It ushered in a proliferation of banks, trading of old banks and forming new banks.

In 1965 Smith founded the Commercial and Farmers National Bank in the Oxnard Financial Plaza and the first of the giant black-glass skyscrapers appeared. Oxnard bragged it was the tallest building between L.A. and Frisco.

I knew Smith and Pregerson were connected, but in 1960 my partner and I (refer to pages 374 to 378 of my book, *There's a Fish in the Courthouse*), while on a surveillance, had observed Benny Wong meet with gangster Mickey Cohen in L.A. We had tailed him to Oxnard where he had gone directly to the Colonial House and met with "Bud" Smith. We learned that a huge shipment of drugs was coming into Port Hueneme soon. With Capt. Patton, Oxnard Police Department, we had infiltrated our informants into "Bud" Smith's organization at his Colonial House Restaurant.

We were preparing to bust the biggest drug operation going at that time—but (like Davidian getting his brains blown out) they had become suspicious of our operators. Wong was on his way with a gun to kill one of our informants; Patton could not let that happen so he arrested Wong, who was an ex-con, for being in possession of a gun. The "big case" was blown but, quoting page 378 from my book, "From experience I knew there would be another big one."

And now, it is here, the "biggest case" ever, "Clinton's Chinese Connection", the same people who were involved clear back to 1946. But this case is going to take more than just a few guys like me and Frank, and Ed Patton. This case is going to take every good, loyal American in the United States to come together in mass, contacting every one of their Senators and Congressmen to put an end to China getting a foothold on our Continental soil. And, an end to Pregerson's Mishpucka cocaine-heroin operation, the biggest the United States has ever suffered. One that can destroy our children and the country.

The Senators and Congressmen, particularly California Senators Diane Feinstein and Barbara Boxer, had approved President Clinton's conniving arrangements to allow the Chinese to takeover the Long Beach, California, U.S. Navy Base. It was equipped with giant cranes which could unload the huge crates from Chinese ships directly on to railroad cars on the docks. These railroads are transcontinental to every state in the U.S. clear to the East Coast. The Chinese ships would no longer have to go to Micronesia and transship their cocaine-heroin to American ships—they could now sail direct from China and unload in Long Beach, Calif.

Sometime before the Chinese connection began to unravel, FBI Director Freeh secretly arranged to warn Feinstein and Boxer that they should be very careful, that they were in danger of their Chinese conspiracy being exposed. This was the same way that Judge Pregerson was warned by the FBI Director, William H. Webster, an ex-federal judge. Pregerson had taken a bribe to “fix” a case against so-called Mafiosa, Carlos Marcello.

A Jew from Russia, Joseph Hauser, had made arrangements for Marcello to give Pregerson a very valuable Gaugin painting to “fix” Marcello’s trial.

The FBI became aware of the bribery conspiracy and they could have nailed Pregerson by catching him accepting the bribe, but instead they warned him. (Quoting pages 424-425 of *There’s a Fish in the Courthouse*.) “It seemed inconceivable that FBI Agents would tell Pregerson about the phone call and ‘tip’ him off when it was their duty to determine if a bribe, a payoff, was already accepted.” When the FBI warned Feinstein and Boxer to be careful, they had already accepted the cocaine-heroin money from the Chinese.

Back in 1946 the Chinese ships had to first go to Micronesia and transship their drug cargos to American ships. Later, when the business was becoming so industrious and complex, Harry Pregerson sent his son and his wife who were both lawyers to Micronesia to supervise the operation. The son, Dean Pregerson and his wife had maintained their law office adjacent to Judge Jerome Berenson in the Ventura Courthouse.

By the mid-sixties Martin V. “Bud” Smith was wheeling and dealing in banks like picking oranges off a tree, and another huge black-glass building even taller than the first one sprang up right next to it. Smith bought fourteen Union Bank Offices. His original Commercial and Farmers Bank was sold to Chartered Bank of London, and Barclay Bank which had contacts with the Chinese in Hong Kong, and then later merged back to the Union Bank.

Stanley E. Cohen, an Oxnard lawyer associate of “Bud” Smith with his office in the newest big black-glass building organized another bank, the Channel Islands National Bank. Smith was a director with this bank as he was with the Ventura County National Bankcorp, an Oxnard-based holding company. Bankcorp was acquiring Frontier Group Inc. of La Palma, near Anaheim in Orange County. Frontier’s assets were roughly \$70 million, Bankcorp’s was about the same but shortly after the acquisition was complete the assets suddenly jumped to \$290 million. Drug money was rolling in so fast that front-man “Bud” Smith couldn’t buy land fast enough to develop—he bought the local Ventura County Railroad that ran from the Port’s shipping docks and ran around the county and then to the mainline. The old, closed-down Oxnard sugar-beet factories were bought up along with everything else in sight.

By 1985 drug money was being unloaded in every bank in California, the banks were a ready market and all were connected and they hubbed in Oxnard. The surplus cash had turned the state into a major center of money laundering. A U.S. Congress House Committee was told by California’s State Attorney General John Van de Kamp that in 1984 the state’s banks reported a \$1.5 billion cash surplus as against five years before when they had to withdraw \$300 million from the Federal Reserve Deposit Bank to meet customer demands. A fantastic turnaround. In the Los Angeles area in 1980 the banks reported a \$136 million cash deficit, in 1984 the same banks had \$374 million in excess cash. In San Francisco over the same period the banks had a dramatic surplus of more than \$1 billion from a \$166 million deficit.

Quoting Attorney General Van de Kamp in 1985: “From ragtag currency exchange houses to the majestic steel-and-glass headquarters of the financial districts banks there is a kind of leukemia of illicit money in the financial bloodstream of California. Further, the available treatment is no match for the disease.”

In 1985 a hooded, convicted “money launderer” testified before a House Committee. The gangster laid it all out, how the drug-cash was manipulated—but the politicians did nothing because they were all secretly taking millions of dollars from the drug dealers.

But now, years later, the so-called political “donations” scam is about to burst. Will the crooks in Washington, D.C. be successful in continuing to cover up their treason against America and the people??

But, it goes deeper than that—in 1913 the Federal Reserve System (central bank of the nation) was created. It manages the country’s money supply by controlling the member banks and how much they can loan out. Then the cash can be legally transferred to anyone they want and then transferred to foreign countries.

California has overtaken Florida as being the hub for drug-cash laundering. Florida in 1985 had \$6 billion delivered to the Federal Reserve.

As much as \$100 billion a year (possibly, across the land, double that) is being delivered to Alan Greenspan at the Federal Reserve and then co-mingled and devoured by Robert Rubin at the Treasury Department. And, then it is consumed by their buddies at Wall Street where their constant scams have the market flipping up and down like a yo-yo, but, only the insiders know how high and low that yo-yo is going—sometimes it even goes side ways and around and around. If there is someone who can tell the American people that these two “fine” Mishpucka conspirators, Greenspan and Rubin, don’t know where all those billions of dollars gushing into the Federal Reserve are coming from, I would like to hear from you.

In 1987, March Fong Eu, California’s Secretary of State, decided to run for the U.S. Senate. But, her husband Henry Eu, a Chinese National and extremely wealthy Hong Kong businessman, importer-exporter, refused to make public any of his business holdings or operations between the U.S. and China. Because of this, Fong Eu was forced to withdraw from the Senate race.

A serious interference into Pregerson’s drug transshipments in Micronesia was occurring by another Chinese drug-smuggling organization. In 1988 Pregerson had scheduled an important meeting with his Chinese connections in Micronesia to work out the problem. He was sending one of his oldest, most trusted henchmen, District Court Judge Irving Hill, to handle it.

In January 1989 Judge Hill was tied up in a major product liability case against G. D. Searle & Co. and their CV-7 contraceptive device. It was a “high-stakes” case for both sides, with Searle facing nearly 500 suits throughout the country and millions of dollars involved.

Hill was scheduled to be in Micronesia in March for the meeting. He had also planned a glorious vacation (Oriental girls) in Guam at the same time.

On January 19, 1989, the Searle contraceptive device trial had been proceeding before a jury for over two weeks—suddenly Hill declared a mistrial and dismissed the Jury. It was a disaster. The Plaintiff, Laura

McCarthy, who had little money and had spent over three years waiting to get to trial, broke down and collapsed, crying, when the mistrial was declared. McCarthy's lawyers were shocked and outraged by Judge Irving Hill's action; quote: "I've never heard of it, I've never seen it happen, it is a denial of fundamental due process to the Plaintiff. It is horrendously expensive to try these lawsuits, an individual Plaintiff just can't afford to do that," the lawyers said. "You just can't afford to do this if courts are going to declare mistrials in the middle of the case because they have other plans, it's unprecedented." But, these lawyers had just never before been up against a Mishpucka judge who had a "big-drug" deal going and had to be there. Irving Hill had his bags packed and was on his way to Micronesia before the poor Plaintiff and her lawyers knew what was happening.

Back in 1946, corrupt Police Sgt. Thomas Bradley had been the boss of all drug operations in Watts—now he was Mayor Thomas Bradley of Los Angeles. California Secretary of State March Fong Eu lived in Hancock Park, a ritzy, fenced and guarded complex—Mayor Bradley also lived in Hancock Park. Bradley knew there was another Chinese drug operation going on—Fong Eu handled the U.S. end and her husband, with his Hong Kong connection, ran the Chinese end.

Somehow a Black ex-con entered the guarded complex; he made his way to Fong Eu's apartment and Fong Eu got her throat cut. She lived and, after getting her head half cut off, she saw the light—she went to work for Pregerson. In 1994 March Fong Eu resigned as California Secretary of State and was appointed by Pres. Clinton as United States Ambassador to Micronesia. Matthew Fong, March Fong Eu's son, a Republican, was on the powerful State Board of Equalization and was running for State Controller.

In 1996 before the Presidential election, Johnny Chung, a California businessman and his co-conspirator John Huang, with the highest of secret Chinese connections, were spreading millions of dollars of drug money around at political campaign rallies in California. United States Senators Diane Feinstein and Barbara Boxer were deeply involved in these campaign fund operations and quietly assisting Pres. Clinton in ramming through the giveaway of the U.S. Navy Base at Long Beach, California to the Chinese. Feinstein for a long time had been interfering with and destroying private citizens' property rights in the Mojave Desert area, some of which boiled down to the closing of George Air Force Base, an hour's drive from the heart of L.A. Thousands of residents of the area lost their jobs.

But, Mishpucka Senator Feinstein and Boxer had an answer for that: they arranged for a group of Jew financiers to lease and control George Air Force Base. These Mishpucka financier associates of Harry Pregerson with their deep connections to the Chinese businessmen arranged for a massive Chinese project—it is called Da Zhong Hua-Wholesale Town, a huge complex for wholesale and retail sale of Chinese Communist products made by cheap labor in China. Feinstein and Boxer took the Adelanto residents' jobs away by closing George Air Force Base and now they give the desperate people jobs working for the Chinese at only a fraction of what they made before—in a stupor, the American citizens settled for this without putting up a struggle. The treasonous Adelanto Mayor Thomas Thornburg, working for the Mishpucka financiers, had conned the people all the way to their graves.

Thousands of Chinese Nationals will come to Adelanto and bring their families. To make these Chinese feel at home, a traditional Chinese garden will be built and a proliferation of Chinese restaurants and theaters showing only Chinese films will appear. The railroad from the Long Beach docks runs right through George Air Force Base and the huge crates unloaded from the ships without inspection will be

speedily delivered to Adelanto.

Without being opened, a large percent of the crates, supposedly wholesale goods, will be sent to distributors throughout both North and South America, Canada and Mexico. This Chinese cocaine and heroin will be so cheap that it will put Columbia, Mexico and all the rest of the drug producers and suppliers totally out of business. All of us, all the Western Hemisphere will be working for the Chinese while the real fiends, the Mishpucka Jew financiers, grin and pull the strings from behind the scenes.

The Jew financiers also will build a new California International Airport at Adelanto to further distribute the drugs—this new airport will decimate and destroy all the businesses relying on LAX. That entire area of L.A. will be financially devastated and hundreds of thousands of jobs will be lost, never to be replaced. The Black areas of Watts which depend on the economy and jobs stimulated by LAX, will turn totally to drugs in desperation.

America will have terrible problems that they cannot even imagine, but, the Chinese and Jews will be laughing all the way to their Federal Reserve Bank and Wall Street.

The Chinese, Huang and Chung, were delivering millions of dollars of drug money to Clinton—but, so were Pregerson's close Hollywood associates, David Geffen, Steven Spielberg and Lew Wasserman, etc., etc. David Geffen is a miserable homo whose relative in the drug organization is Federal Judge Ralph Geffen, one of Pregerson's right-hand men. Fed. Judge Ralph Geffen is a close associate of the Internal Revenue Service Commissioner Fred Goldberg; he covers up the sources of all these Mishpuckas fabulous fortunes. All of the money these Jews and Chinese donate to political campaign funds is raw cocaine and heroin money which has to be laundered. They would have extreme difficulty in proving that this money they gave to Clinton was earned by them through legitimate business entities. These fabulous fortunes that these people claim to have made in the music business and movies is hogwash. They made all their money through drug deals which they have been involved in for years. There is an overwhelming influx of extremely wealthy Chinese into the Seattle and Victoria, B.C. areas—all of these fabulously rich Chinese didn't make all their money by selling Chinese noodles in a small restaurant. A Chinese person once confided to me that the Chinese business people have been known and called, for years, the Jews of the Orient.

The Jews have labeled me “anti-Semitic” because I have exposed their corruption, but now that I have exposed both the Jews and Chinese as joining in a conspiracy against America, what will they now call me??? Perhaps because the Chinese are known as the Jews of the Orient they can lump them all together and they can still call me “anti-Semitic”. The Jews persecute White people for so-called Separatism—but on CNN, Alan Dershowitz, in a book he has just written, screams that the Jews are losing their identity because of relationships with people of other races like Ted Danson and Whoopi Goldberg. Dershowitz and the Jews promote something they call Judeo-Christian Religion. But there is no such thing—Christians believe in Christ; they cannot believe in the Rabbis at the same time. Christians should go to their meetings and, at church and at home, sing that old stirring hymn, *Onward Christian Soldiers*.

All of the Jews and Chinese people involved in these so-called Campaign Rallies were dealing in the laundering of drug money and Washington State now has its first Chinese Governor, who was so highly financed that he bought it outright.

The sight of President Clinton slipping into Abe Lincoln's bedroom in the middle of the night and taking all this unlaundered, bloody drug money from the likes of Spielberg, Wasserman and Geffen, etc., etc., etc., must have poor Old Abe writhing in his grave.

The Mishpucka Jews are fighting madly to prevent the American people from learning that the Jews from Russia are a vicious, deadly organization of criminals. One of the reasons Chief Daryl Gates was removed from office was because his Intelligence Officers had learned that the Jews were illegally bringing Jew criminals from Russia to sabotage America and he had made it public.

On the day after the arrest of Mikail Markhasev, a Jew from Russia who shot and killed Bill Cosby's son, the Jew Controllers of the TV media rushed desperately to cover it up.

Early in the morning CNN had Laurie Levinson, what they call a law professor on TV, loudly and repeatedly exclaiming wildly that Markhasev was not a Jew gang member and that he acted all alone in the murder. And that it was simply a car thief in an attempted robbery. Chief Willie Williams followed suit, quote: "It appears that robbery was the motive—it was happenstance. This was a random stop as far as we know now. It happened to be a man in a car, and the defendant who is now under arrest happened to come by."

Chief Willie Williams' contract is up and he wants to keep his job so bad that he is threatening to sue the city. But, the Police Commissioners have fired him. Willie Williams is lying about the true facts of the case to cover up the fact that Commissioners Stanley Scheinbaum and Ira Glasser are both involved in the Simpson and Cosby murders. Williams hopes they will keep him if he helps them cover-up.

Chief Williams claims that Markhasev is a car thief and that he was robbing Cosby when he shot him. Williams refused to release Markhasev's record, but he has criminal convictions for drugs and assault with a deadly weapon.

Markhasev comes from an area of heavy settlement by Jews from Russia—these are gang members—Irving Rubin has trained them (refer to page 396 of *There's a Fish in the Courthouse*) starting at the age of eight and ten years with guns and automatic weapons to kill people. Chief Williams claims that Markhasev was only looking for a car to steal on the murder night. He would have the people believe that this guy walked over twenty miles in the middle of the night through residential areas with cars, everything from Porsches and Jaguars sitting at the curb waiting to be stolen, but he kept on walking for miles to an area where there were no cars at all and just happened upon Cosby. Williams says that Markhasev was looking for a car to steal but, he didn't take Cosby's car—Williams says he robbed Cosby but never took anything. And, after he shot Cosby he just disappeared. But, there was someone else at the scene: Stephanie Crane was there wearing an enormous fur coat and a short skirt up to her rear end. A 47-year-old woman, claiming to be an aspiring screen writer, a neurotic person who has been married five times, she claimed she had gotten a phone call from Ennis Cosby wanting her to come to a lonely spot and help him change a flat tire. But, inside sources have revealed that Crane did not arrive alone, Markhasev was with her and she saw him kill Cosby—she then drove the killer away and let him out before returning to the scene and calling the police. Crane was married to Alex Hirsh, a multimillionaire who was deeply involved in the Hollywood scene of cocaine-heroin and prostitutes which was also Crane's world. She was also married to a Hollywood financial operator who along with Hirsh was involved with David Geffen, Steven Spielberg,

Lew Wasserman, Harry Pregerson and Diane Feinstein and Barbara Boxer, both California Senators.

Stephanie Crane also said she was waiting for Ennis Cosby at 1:00 a.m. to come to her place. Certain investigators believe Markhasev was at Crane's house waiting to ambush Cosby when she got the call from Cosby to come help with the tire. Willy Williams is also keeping it very secret as to where Markhasev got the gun. Crane and her ex-husbands and her associates were also closely connected to many of the people involved in the O.J. Simpson case, which was only a short distance away from Cosby's murder. Also, as I told Johnny Cochran over two years ago, if he didn't expose the true killers in the Simpson case instead of trying to frame the police officers that O.J. Simpson would lose everything he had and that Robert Shapiro would end up with his beautiful house. At this date they are foreclosing on Simpson to take his home.

But, the people should think about where is all the taxpayers money that Clinton is going to spend on the phony "War Against Drugs" going to go? He has hired a retired Army General (at a ridiculous sum), who wouldn't know a drug dealer on the street if he saw one. But of course, isn't that the whole idea—so come on down to Adelanto, to Da Zhong Hua, Wholesale Town and get it cheap.

If I was President I would have led off a negotiation with China like this—"You want a base in Long Beach, Calif.—okay, you give us Hong Kong, also we want a huge International Airport near Beijing then maybe we can make a deal." Then I would have our Navy, Army, Air Force Commanders, top businessmen and union leaders study it and see if this would be a good deal for America. If not, the Chinese would be out of luck. One more term in the negotiation: If the Chinese attempted to smuggle any drugs or guns the agreement would be terminated and no Chinese ships allowed to dock in America.

But China is still selling all their cheap labor products to Wal-Mart who undersells our American products. However, soon Americans will be able to buy even cheaper direct from the Chinese stores, and Wal-Mart will be out of business.

Of course all the Wal-Mart employees will be out of jobs, but don't worry—like Adelanto, they can go to work for the Chinese at slave pay. Yes, they'll have jobs but only until China brings all their own people from China to take over those jobs. Congress and Clinton are giving America away to the Chinese and Jews.

Americans, let's stand up—like our heros of 1776.

I don't want to work for China; I don't want my children and grand children to work for China—I don't want America's economy to be based on cocaine-heroin and for us to become known as the world's greatest dope supplier. What I do want is to take our money back from Alan Greenspan, smash his Federal Reserve System (the Central Bank of the Nation)—smash Fred Goldberg and his Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and remove all corrupt federal judges, senators and congressmen who refuse to honor and operate under the *United States Constitution* and *Bill of Rights*. The people must take immediate action against all corrupt congressmen who pass Treaties and Bills without reading and understanding what is even in them.

Gareth (Gary) L. Wean

P.O. Box 1857
Cave Junction, Or. 97523

Former L.A.P.D. officer, Jan. 1946;
Det. Sgt. Ventura Police Dept.;
Investigator for Los Angeles Dist. Atty. Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Intelligence Section;
Chief Investigator Ventura County Public Defender, until 1970.

CHAPTER 20

THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 3/25/97

BLAST OFF

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/10/97, [quoting:]

Florida lawmakers, led by Rep. Dave Weldon (R), “plunged into an all-out effort...to sell Cape Canaveral to the Israelis,” said the *Miami Herald*. Israel wants to launch Israeli-built Shavit rockets from the United States to place commercial satellites into orbit. Launching the satellites from Israel has been hampered by tight security restrictions in the Middle East, according to published reports. The Clinton White House is ambivalent on foreign rocket launchings from U.S. soil. [End quoting]

It’s surprising Bill hasn’t already cashed it in. No thing or no one is safe around him.

WARNING FOR UK CATHOLICS

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/10/97, [quoting:]

A new secret surveillance proposal, known as the Police Bill, being considered by the British Parliament, came under attack from the Roman Catholic Church which said it was “greatly concerned” that it would lead to the bugging of confessionals. If passed, the measure would give the police powers for the first time to use “intrusive surveillance” in the form of cameras and bugging devices in priests’ presbyteries and confessionals, as well as any vehicle or building so long as a chief constable regarded it as a necessary means of monitoring a suspected “serious criminal”. [End quoting]

Do you really think that anything said in the confessionals has always been private?? How long has it been since top leaders of religions have been ethical and honest??

GIVE US YOUR SCUM

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 3/10/97, [quoting:]

Nearly 72,000 individuals became citizens last year despite having criminal records—some for crimes as serious as rape-murder, child molestation, assault or drug trafficking—a Justice Department review of immigration records has found. At least 180,000 others were granted citizenship without undergoing complete criminal background checks, according to figures provided to Congress by Assistant Attorney General Stephen Colgate. [End quoting]

More thugs to help Billy Boy and the NWO gangsters control you with terror.

U.K. REPORT: 11 YEAR-OLDS

SHOULD GET FREE CONDOMS

Excerpted from *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, 2/16/97, [quoting:]

Children in Britain as young as 11 should be offered free condoms and sex education in an effort to reduce the nation's high rate of teenage pregnancies, a health advisory body recommended Saturday. Several members of Parliament were outraged at the report by the National Health Service Center for Reviews and Dissemination, which said that telling young people to say no to sex or wait until they were married simply did not work. Britain has the highest teenage pregnancy rate in Europe. [End quoting]

When parents become good parents the problem will be solved and not until.

THE FULLY INFORMED JURY

Excerpted from THE FULLY INFORMED JURY, a flyer, (for information 1-800-TEL-JURY), [quoting:]

True or false? When you sit on a jury, you may vote on the verdict according to your own conscience.

“TRUE, you say—and you’re right. But then...

1. Why do most judges tell you that you may consider “only the facts”—that you are not to let your conscience, opinion of the law, or the motives of the defendant affect your decision?

In a trial by jury, the judge's job is to referee the trial and provide neutral legal advice to the jury, beginning with a full and truthful explanation of a juror's rights and responsibilities.

But judges rarely “fully inform” jurors of their rights, especially their power to judge the law itself and to vote on the verdict according to conscience. Instead, they end up assisting the prosecution by dismissing any prospective juror who will admit to knowing about this right—starting with anyone who also admits having qualms with the law.

We can only speculate on why: *Distrust* of the citizen jury? *Disrespect* for the idea of government “of, by, and for the people”? *Unwillingness* to part with power? *Ignorance* of all the rights and powers that trial jurors necessarily acquire upon assuming the responsibility of judging a case? *Actual concern* that trial jurors might “misuse” their power if told about it?

2. How can people get fair trials if the jurors are told they can't use their consciences?

Many people *don't* get fair trials. Too often, jurors actually end up apologizing to the person they've convicted—or to the community for acquitting when evidence of guilt seems perfectly clear.

Something is definitely wrong when the jurors feel ashamed of their verdict. They should never have to explain “I wanted to use my conscience, but the judge made us take an oath to apply the law as given to us, like it or not.”

Too often, jurors who try to vote their consciences are talked out of it by other jurors who don't

know their rights, or who believe they are required to reach a unanimous verdict because the judge “said so”.

If jurors were supposed to judge “only the facts”, their job could be done by computer. It is precisely *because* people have feelings, opinions, wisdom, experience, and conscience that we depend upon jurors, not upon machines, to judge court cases.

When it's your turn to serve, remember:

- 1. You may—and should—vote your conscience;**
- 2. You cannot be forced to obey a “juror’s oath”;**
- 3. It is your responsibility to “hang” the jury with your vote if you disagree with the other jurors!**

Why Haven't I Heard About “Jury Rights” Before Now?

In the late 1800s, powerful special-interest groups inspired a series of judicial decisions which tried to limit jury rights. While no court has yet dared to deny that juries can “nullify” or “veto” a law, or can bring in a “general verdict”, some—hypocritically—have held that jurors *need not be told* about these rights!

Today it's a rare and courageous attorney who will risk being cited for contempt for telling jurors their powers without first obtaining the judge's approval.

However, jury veto power is still recognized. In 1972 the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals held that the trial jury has an “...**unreviewable and irreversible power...to acquit in disregard of the instruction on the law given by the trial judge. The pages of history shine upon instances of the jury's exercise of its prerogative to disregard instructions of the judge; for example, acquittals under the fugitive slave law.**” (4731- 2d1113) [End quoting]

If the fully informed jury laws could be given to all jurors, we probably would see much more positive results in the courts.

THE END OF THE CHAPTER. NOT THE BOOK

The lack of American concern will assure that America is probably going to get the future it deserves.

Excerpted from *Media Bypass Magazine*, Colorado state Senator Charles Duke's column, March 1997, [quoting:]

For those who have read and commented about this column, let me say how deeply grateful I am for your support. For those editors who have withstood its contents, I am truly appreciative of your courage, which is the appropriate word. A simpler and less complicated word would not apply

Through this column, I have tried over the years to be a witness and to document the constitutional violations of those in office, both at the state and federal level. Most who took the trouble to read it have appreciated the stands I have taken for truth, honor, and justice. Some have, of course, used this column against me, twisting and distorting the words and phrases to something that was not at all intended. I will not judge these latter people. That is an exercise left for others.

If you read this column regularly, you also know that I go to great lengths to not personalize my columns. This one has undoubtedly not escaped your notice that it is different. It is intended to be a personal communication from me to you.

While it is more or less a trademark of mine to not mince words, you have probably gathered that I am leading up to something in a roundabout way. This has been one of my more difficult columns, not to gather the thoughts, but to actually commit the words to paper.

You see, this is my last regularly scheduled column.

There may, on occasion, be future articles about one thing or another that I believe worthy of your time. Hopefully, these will relate to events that are current and sufficiently controversial to merit public debate.

This particular column will be appropriately controversial and there will be those who disagree with its premise, some perhaps strongly. Nothing would be better than for the entire country to disagree and be motivated to prove me wrong.

It is my belief that we have now reached the point of diminishing returns in our battle to restore the *Constitution*. We would serve each other better to spend our time and energy learning how to survive in a country devoid of freedom, which is, I believe, the unstated goal of powerful interests who are now running our nation, both politically and economically. You may not at this time share this belief with me, but the day may come very soon when you will.

In several previous columns, I went on record stating that 1996 was a critical year and that the only known peaceful solution to reclaiming our *Constitution* was a public outcry for that at the November elections. While there were some notable exceptions, on balance the status quo won in November. In Colorado, every legislator candidate for re-election who had a strong unconstitutional record was returned.

Since carrying the nation's first *Tenth Amendment* Resolution three years ago, my life has indeed been very different in both positive and negative ways. I do not wish to dwell on those occurrences, which have been considerable, and which have been directed at me. Suffice it to say that I have a much more real and personal appreciation now for what happened to the signers of our *Declaration of Independence*.

More important than the attacks against me has been the dissolution of the many support groups that have helped us, not only in the *Tenth Amendment* battle, but also the defeat of the Conference of States (COS). This COS broadside attack on our *Constitution* will return in some other form and should, this time, be successful. There are not now enough people who care about our *Constitution* to present a respectable defense.

This has come about, partly by design, through the deliberate miseducation by the K-12 teaching establishment of our *Constitution* and related documents. This has also come about because many patriots are now weary from an almost superhuman effort to raise constitutional issues with little or no results from our government. Powerful interests have stolen everything in America that was of value. The ultimate responsibility for allowing this theft belongs to the people who stood idly by in an idyllic dream while the values that our Founding Fathers shed blood and died for were pilfered from them right under their noses.

Benjamin Franklin said, “They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety.” The lack of American concern will assure that America is probably going to get the future it deserves.

I have always strived to tell you the truth, some would say, to a fault. You may rest assured that I will continue to do that as long as humanly possible. As the final chapter closes on The Great Experiment, some of us reaffirm the admonition that it is dangerous to be right when the government around you is wrong. [End quoting]

No doubt, one of the biggest reasons the vote last year was so discouraging is not the individuals who did or did not vote but the fact that many good people knew the vote was fixed before they went to the polls.

The answer, Senator Duke, is not to give up, but turn to God for help and keep working as if we will get it turned around.

SCIENTISTS DISCOVER GENETIC MATERIAL FROM SPANISH FLU THAT KILLED MILLIONS

From *THE NEW YORK TIMES*, 3/??/97, [quoting:]

A group of Defense Department researchers has found genetic material from the notorious Spanish flu virus that killed at least 20 million people in the influenza pandemic of 1918.

Fragments of the virus were found lurking in a formaldehyde-soaked scrap of lung tissue from a 21-year-old soldier at Fort Jackson, SC., who died of the flu nearly 80 years ago. And now, medical experts say, investigators at last hope to answer a question that has troubled them for decades: what made this virus so deadly?

The search for the 1918 virus is of more than historical interest said Dr. Jeffrey K. Taubenberger at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology in Washington, the leader of the team whose report is being published today in the journal *Science*.

Taubenberger and other researchers hope that understanding the genetic code of the Spanish flu virus might help scientists prepare for the next influenza pandemic, which many scientists think is coming soon. [End quoting]

It is quite probable that the flu in 1918 was a deliberate killing of humans by the Elite. They dispersed

smallpox among the Indians by giving them blankets **laced with it in the 1800s.**

**TERROR AT CABLE TV CONVENTION:
RUPERT MURDOCH'S LATEST PLANS**

Excerpted from *The Orlando Sentinel*, 3/17/97, [quoting:]

Space: The final frontier?

Whether or not Murdoch is a *Star Trek* fan, his plan to beam hundreds of TV channels—including local stations—directly into people's homes via satellite leaves cable television executives, gathered for a convention here this week, wishing somebody would beam him up.

Already, Murdoch's latest venture—a \$1 billion stake in a Denver-based direct broadcast satellite company—is being referred to as the “Death Star”.

Even Wall Street thinks the combination of **EchoStar Communications** with **ASkyB**, the nascent satellite television business of Murdoch's **News Corp.**, makes a potentially formidable competitor to the \$25 billion cable industry. Cable stocks plunged 8 to 10 percent after Murdoch's Feb. 24th announcement.

Kenneth Goldman, a money manager who watches media companies for **Entermedia Growth Partners** of Denver said consumers can expect more viewing choices than ever.

Telephone companies have scaled back plans to compete head-to-head with local cable systems. And microwave-delivered “wireless cable” has yet to evolve into the competitor policy makers had hoped. But direct broadcast satellite TV is cable's biggest nightmare.

“Cable is worried because Rupert Murdoch has shown a willingness to lose gobs of money to build new businesses. He takes big risks,” Goldman said.

“The Murdoch deal restores a cloud of competition that seemed to be waning over the cable industry.”

Of the 100 million U.S. homes with television, about 65 million have cable. Just 4.5 million households have direct-broadcast satellite TV, but the industry could grow to 21 million customers by 2001, according to some projections. [End quoting]

No matter who wins this battle I doubt if you will see much in the way of program upgrading in the near future. If we quit watching the raunchy shows we may start getting improvements.

A WAY TO FIGHT ‘ROAD RAGE’

Psychologist Wants Universal
“I’m Sorry” Gesture For Road

Excerpted from the *Modesto Bee*, 3/14/97, [quoting:]

Freeway driving is stressful enough without having another driver cut you off, flash you with bright lights or salute you with a raised middle finger.

The anger those gestures can trigger is called “road rage”, according to Whittier psychologist Arnold Nerenberg.

People are literally driving themselves crazy, said the 55-year-old Nerenberg, who wrote about the phenomenon.

“When you talk to people about it, they realize it’s a very serious problem,” he said. “It distracts the person from driving safely and it endangers the subject, the victim and the innocent bystander.”

His pamphlet, “Overcoming Road Rage: The 10-Step Compassion Program,” describes how to overcome the anger.

Nerenberg breaks the disorder into two stages.

First, “road annoyance”, when drivers react angrily and become frustrated with traffic conditions. They don’t act out their anger by screaming or seeking revenge on the road. Their anger simmers inside, waiting to reach the boiling point.

The second stage, “road rage”, is triggered when someone is pushed to make an obscene gesture, often tailgating a slower motorist or becoming obsessed with another fast driver.

Nerenberg goes for rides with some of his angrier patients, watching them as they drive and interact with other motorists, counseling them as their hostility escalates.

He said the secret to soothing road rage is for motorists to apologize to each other. That is not as easy as it sounds.

“If you get out of your car, the other person might think you are going to attack, and might attack you back or even shoot you,” he said.

He is proposing that state motor vehicle departments officially recommend a universal hand sign that means “I’m sorry”, much like the left-turn and right-turn signs that are taught in rules-of-the-road guides.

“I think the sign should be placing the palm of the hand upon the forehead,” Nerenberg said. “The unspoken meaning would be: ‘Ah! I’m sorry!’ If drivers would be taught to put their palms to their foreheads after every angry incident on the highway, it would make the roads much safer places.”

He said he expects to hear soon whether the American Psychological Association will recognize road rage as a psychological disorder.

Nerenberg, who’s been a licensed psychologist in California since 1974, said he realized there was some-

thing more to freeway anger than heavy traffic after he counseled a patient who came in to discuss her marital woes. [End quoting]

A small step in the right direction is better than none.

SEX CHANGE AFTER ACCIDENT FAILS TO TAKE: THEORY CHANGED

Excerpted from *Modesto Bee*, 3/15/97, [quoting:]

A boy reared as a girl after his penis was irreparably damaged has rebelled and now lives as a man, which doctors say suggests sexual identity may be an inborn trait that can't be manipulated.

Researchers became aware of the man after a 1970 accident in which the then-8-month-old's penis was accidentally destroyed during a cauterization to repair a fused foreskin.

The boy's doctors recommended that his family raise him as a girl, and he underwent a sex-change operation.

The change was initially thought to be a success, according to a 1973 account by Johns Hopkins University sexologist Dr. John Money.

Money said the boy adjusted well to becoming a girl, observations that were used to support the idea that infants are more or less sexually neutral at birth and as they are raised as either boys or girls they develop their sexuality.

But researchers who did a follow-up study published in the March issue of *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine* said that wasn't the case, adding that Money no longer agrees with his earlier findings.

Money declined to discuss the case, saying he does not have the man's permission.

According to the follow-up study, the child began to realize between ages 9 and 11 that he was not biologically a girl.

As a young child, he had rejected dolls and tried to urinate standing up, researchers said.

Classmates also teased him because he looked like a boy.

At 14, after he had contemplated suicide, his parents told him what had happened and he stopped his hormone therapy, underwent a mastectomy and chose to live as a man.

Later, he had extensive surgery that partially restored his penis, and at age 25 he married a woman and adopted her children.

Researchers Dr. Milton Diamond of the University of Hawaii-Manoa at Honolulu and Dr. H. Keith

Sigmundson of the Ministry of Health of Victoria, British Columbia, say the case suggests that a sense of being male or female is innate and immune to the intervention of doctors, therapists and parents. Diamond and Sigmundson plan to use their observations to call for changes in the treatment of babies born with ambiguous genitalia, something that happens in about one in every 1,000 births.

Treatment now usually consists of designating them female because it is more difficult to turn ambiguous genitals into a penis than a vagina. [End quoting]

How many lives are to be ruined before so-called experts wake up??

JAPAN N-PLANT LEAK REVISED

Excerpted from the *MODESTO BEE*, 3/16/97, [quoting:]

The amount of radiation released in an accident last week at a nuclear plant in northeastern Japan was at least 10 times higher than initially reported by authorities, the plant operator said Saturday. The correction was an embarrassment to the Power Reactor and Nuclear Fuel Development Corp., or Donen. Donen said it could not say exactly how much radiation was released but insisted it was not a dangerous amount. Two Japanese newspapers quoted Donen officials as saying the amount could be as high as 29 times what Donen first reported. [End quoting]

Proof again that the media will lie to you almost everytime when they release radiation accidentally or on purpose. And, of course, about most everything else.

DRUG SUSPECT SKIPS BAIL

From the *MODESTO BEE*, 3/12/97, [quoting:]

The chief suspect in the biggest heroin shipment ever seized in the United States—enough to supply every American addict for a month—has skipped bail in Thailand. A Thai court granted bail despite a U.S. extradition request, and the United States urged Thai authorities Tuesday to take Li Yun Chung back into custody as soon as possible. Thai police said they fear he already has fled to neighboring Burma, making it extremely difficult to apprehend him. Li, also known as Phongsak Rogjanasakul, has been at large since a senior criminal court official granted him \$200,000 bail Feb. 7, without notifying prosecutors or presiding judges. [End quoting]

Surely, the authorities tried their very best to prevent his escape, especially the bosses who ended up with the shipment. Isn't it very convenient not to have him talking in a court room, to cell mates or nosey authorities who aren't in on the cut.

A REAL "LEGAL" U.S. JESUS DOLLAR BILL

The Elite never give up on selling JESUS.

LOST AND FOUND

From *ANGELS ON EARTH*, Mar./Apr. 1996, *Guideposts*, 39 Seminary Hill Rd., Carmel, NY 10512, [quoting:]

I had always wanted to settle down in my hometown. A house became available across the street from my childhood home, but I was apprehensive about the Realtor, our former neighbor, Mrs. Knight.

My brother, Guy, and I never could contain our baseball playing to our own property. But we were careful to stay clear of Mrs. Knight's yard. Her husband had suffered several strokes and whenever he fell out of his wheelchair or bed, Mrs. Knight called us over to help her lift him. Besides that, she kept to herself, and her gardening.

One day Guy threw my brand-new baseball right in Mrs. Knight's flowers! I tiptoed into her yard only to have her burst onto the porch. "What are you doing?" she demanded. I mumbled something, turned tail and ran.

My guilt came back to me while Mrs. Knight showed me the house for sale. Afterward, she invited me over for lemonade. I politely obliged, hoping she wouldn't reminisce about the time I ruined her flowers.

In her kitchen, she opened a drawer and pulled out an aged baseball. "I found this in my flower bed years ago," she said. "When I needed strength I looked at it and remembered the boys who had always been there for my husband and me." She tossed me the ball. "Always know what a comfort you were."

I keep that ball on my bookshelf, a reminder that kindness outlives aggravation. —Joshua Edward Gates, Corpus Christi, Texas [End quoting]

We never know what the consequences will be of a wayward ball, act or word.

CHAPTER 21

RELEARNING THE COMMON LAW

PART III: SOVEREIGNTY AND THE SOVEREIGN CITIZEN

by Ray Bilger 3/26/97

Editor's note: The first part of this commentary was in the 3/4/97 CONTACT on p.2; Part II was in the 3/18/97 CONTACT on p. 34. We are continuing this informative series here with Part III.

“When we consider the nature and the theory of our institutions of government, the principles upon which they are supposed to rest, and review the history of their development, we are constrained to conclude that they do not mean to leave room for the play and action of purely personal and arbitrary power. Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts. And the law is the definition and limitation of power. It is, indeed, quite true that there must always be lodged somewhere, and in some person or body, the authority of final decision; and in many cases of mere administration, the responsibility is purely political, no appeal lying except to the ultimate tribunal of the public judgment, exercised either in the pressure of opinion, or by means of the suffrage. But the fundamental rights to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, considered as individual possessions, are secured by those maxims of constitutional law which are the monuments showing the victorious progress of the race in securing to men the blessings of civilization under the reign of just and equal laws... For the very idea that one man may be compelled to hold his life, or the means of living, or any material right essential to the enjoyment of life, at the mere will of another, seems to be intolerable in any country where freedom prevails, as being the essence of slavery itself.” *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356, 369, 370.

Thus spoke the Supreme Court in 1886 regarding the fact that “sovereignty itself remains with the people”. This was the true intent of America’s Founders: That the Citizens were the Sovereigns and that all government, local, state, or federal, exists and acts only by the authority of and for the benefit of The People.

Since the Court stated that Sovereignty is not subject to law, as it is the author and source of law, and since Sovereignty itself remains with the people, then it seems an obvious statement of fact that The People are the ultimate Authority above even the Supreme Court. After all, it is We The People who ordained and established “Our” *Constitution* which provided the very foundation of and for “Our” government and judicial system, which includes the Supreme Court.

In other words, should we The People conclude that the Congress, the President, and the entire judicial system have and are all committing Treason, then it is we The People who are the ultimate Authority who may decide to replace them all, and to determine the manner of doing so, should we deem such action to be necessary and appropriate.

Let any question in the mind of the reader concerning the truth of the above be erased, for the writers of our *Declaration of Independence* clearly settled the matter on July 4, 1776. That *Declaration* states that we

do have inalienable rights given us by our Creator, and “That, to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; that, whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to institute a new government... (I)t is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such government...”

Black's Law Dictionary, Revised 4th Edition (1968), hereinafter *Black's Law Dictionary*, at page 1568, defines the word “Sovereign” as: “A person, body, or state in which independent and supreme authority is vested; a chief ruler with supreme power; a king or other ruler with limited power.”

It was the intent of America’s Founders that we would no longer be subjects under King George in England, but that each of us would now be king (or Sovereign) over our own domain. As such, we could do most anything we wished under the Common Law, so long as we did not interfere with or trespass upon the equal rights of any other Sovereign Citizen. But what looked good on paper and worked in 1776 has now been turned around and completely perverted.

We know that we are controlled today by all sorts of licenses, registrations, permits, etc., which are all forms and types of contracts. We are controlled by those contracts, because we have allowed ourselves to become statutory “persons” (instead of being Citizens), and “persons” are classified the same as corporations. Corporations are rightfully controlled through licenses, regulations, etc., as they exist as a matter of privilege rather than as a matter of right. Citizens have rights, while “persons” are Citizens which have allowed their rights to be converted into privileges. Of course, it wasn’t always this way, and this is not in accord with original intent.

In 1905, in their decision in the case of *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43, the Supreme Court held that:

“We are of the opinion that there is a clear distinction in this particular between an individual and a corporation, and that the latter has no right to refuse to submit its books and papers for an examination at the suit of the state. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his private business in his own way. His power to contract is unlimited. He owes no duty to the state or to his neighbors to divulge his business, or to open his doors to an investigation, so far as it may tend to criminate him. He owes no such duty to the state, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the state, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the *Constitution*. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.”

We see here that the Supreme Court in 1905 drew a clear distinction between the Citizen and the corporation. But since March 9, 1933, the Citizen has been replaced by the statutory “person” (the enemy of the government), and this new statutory “person” is now controlled the same as any other corporation.

It is interesting to note that the Congress in March of 1933 was led to believe that the Act of March 9, 1933, which was designed to institute extraordinary measures, was only to be enforced temporarily in order to take those actions deemed necessary to prevent a total collapse of the American Government. Had the Congress known that the devious plans of those who were orchestrating events was for the

purpose of creating a permanent state of national emergency, then they may not have voted for passage of the Act!

The actions which took place in 1913 by the formation of the Federal Reserve, which took the power of coining and regulating money away from Congress and gave it to a “private corporation” (See: Lewis v. U.S., 680 F.2d 1239 [1982]) headed by international bankers, ended our national sovereignty in the world of nations. That action begun in 1913 was part of a larger plan completed 20 years later in 1933, when the American Citizens lost their individual Sovereignty and became statutory “persons”.

The Citizen is Sovereign and maintains Sovereign rights only so long as being a Citizen. The statutory “person”, like the corporation, is not Sovereign and has no Sovereign rights. If the Citizen is truly Sovereign, then, under our *Constitution*, any attempt by legal means to take away any essential rights from the Citizen would be null and void ab initio (from the beginning). As Samuel Adams said, “If men, through fear, fraud, or mistake, should in terms renounce or give up any essential natural right, the eternal law of reason and the grand end of society would absolutely vacate such renunciation. The right of freedom being the gift of God Almighty, it is not in the power of man to alienate this gift and voluntarily become a slave.”

If we truly understand all of the above, it should become obvious that the actions taken in 1913 and 1933 by those in the three branches of our government, and all actions taken subsequently, are totally unconstitutional and comprise nothing less than Treason. As the Supreme Court held in *Stoehr v. Wallace*, 255 U.S. 239, 241, 242: “The Trading With the Enemy Act, whether taken as originally enacted, October 6, 1917, or as since amended... is strictly a war measure and finds its sanction in the constitutional provision empowering Congress to declare war, grant letters of marque and reprisal, and make rules concerning captures on land and water.” Our government has declared war against the people (Mixed War), and all our property is owned by the bankers and may soon be captured, on land and water!!

Thomas Jefferson’s words of 1816 seem to be more true today than when he spoke them: “Our legislators are not sufficiently apprized of the rightful limits of their power; that their true office is to declare and enforce only our natural rights and duties, and to take none of them from us.”

Perhaps our legislators and our Judges need to be reminded of the rightful limits of their power. They are our servants and we, their Masters. As Abraham Lincoln said in 1865, “We The People are the rightful Masters of both Congress and the courts—not to overthrow the *Constitution*, but to overthrow the men who pervert the *Constitution*.” And Benjamin Franklin let it be clearly known who is the servant and who is the Sovereign: “In free governments, the rulers are the servants, and the people their superiors and Sovereigns.”

Law, like lawful money, is based on substance (property). Remove the substance from lawful money and the law, like the money, becomes a fiction. A dollar is defined as a certain quantity of gold or silver. Today, we have no lawful money in circulation and thus, there is not in existence twenty dollars with which to invoke a Common Law Court in accordance with the *Seventh Amendment* to the *Constitution*.

Our *Constitution*, at Article I, Section 10, Clause 1, states in part that “No State shall... make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts...” The Common Law is based upon substance, which, according to the *Constitution*, is gold and silver. When we allowed gold to be removed from

circulation in 1933, we allowed the removal of the foundation upon which the Common Law was based. Today, our law is a fiction, as is our money.

Today we have colorable law which is applied to fictional persons, colorable money which is bank-credit fiction, and colorable rights which are fictional remedies. It is in part the fictional money system which altered our court system from one under the Common Law to a legislative, Article I (Const.) Court, or tribunal system of commercial law.

Black's Law Dictionary, at page 332, defines the term "Colorable" as: "That which has or gives color. That which is in appearance only, and not in reality, what it purports to be. Counterfeit, feigned, having the appearance of truth. *Ellis v. Jones*, 216 P. 257, 258."

As a consequence of the above, what was set in place in 1933 was a De Facto Government of the United States. *Black's Law Dictionary*, at page 504, defines the term "De Facto Government" as: "One that maintains itself by a display of force against the will of the rightful legal government and is successful, at least temporarily, in overturning the institutions of the rightful legal government by setting up its own in lieu thereof. *Wortham v. Walker*, 128 S.W.2d 1138, 1145."

Black's Law Dictionary also defines the term "Government De Facto", on page 824, 825, in part as: "Such a government might be more aptly denominated a government of paramount force, being maintained by active military power against the rightful authority of an established and lawful government; and obeyed in civil matters by private citizens. They are usually administered directly by military authority, but they may be administered, also, by civil authority, supported more or less by military force. *Thorington v. Smith*, 8 Wall. 8, 9; 19 L.Ed. 361."

Does this sound at all like what we have in place in America today? In California, for example, if you look under the California Government Code, at Section 439, you will find: "439. Regulations. The Adjutant General shall, by regulation, prescribe rules regarding the times, places, and manner in which the State Flag may be displayed..." And just who is the Adjutant General? The Adjutant General is the Chief Administrative Officer of the United States Army! Why is the U.S. Army prescribing rules regarding display of the California Flag in State courtrooms? You don't suppose California is under Martial Law along with the other 49 States, do you??? Check your own State codes to see who is controlling your State Flag in the courtrooms. You may be surprised at what you find!

Now, for a bit of a contradiction, California's Government Code, at Section 100, states in part: "Sovereignty in People; Writs and Processes. (a) The sovereignty of the State resides in the people thereof, and all writs and processes shall issue in their name..." From this, one would presume that the People are Sovereigns. But what about statutory "persons"?

We need at this point to review the exact role and function of the Federal Government as originally intended and as set forth in the *Constitution*, and how that original intent has now been perverted.

When the *Constitution* was enacted, it was set up as a pact between the States of the Confederacy. In this arrangement each State is viewed as a separate nation-state, with its own laws and Sovereignty.

If you will read the writings of the Founding Fathers, you will see that the national government was designed not to interface at all with the Citizens of the States. The Supreme Court clearly stated this when it held that the United States Government is a foreign corporation with respect to a State. In *re Merriam*, 36 N.E. 505, affirmed 163 U.S. 625. The *Constitution* set up the national government as a framework for interfacing between the State governments to facilitate trade (commerce), foreign relations, and national defense.

The above is made abundantly clear as set forth in the *Constitution* at Article I, Section 8, Clause 17, which states in part: “The Congress shall have the power to exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever, over such district (not exceeding ten miles square) as may, by cession of particular states, and the acceptance of Congress, become the seat of the government of the United States, and to exercise like authority over all places purchased by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be, for the erection of forts (etc.)..., and other needful buildings.”

The wording in this section is clear and unambiguous. Congress shall exercise exclusive legislation over an area not to exceed ten miles square, which is 100 square miles. That area of 10 miles by 10 miles is called Washington, D.C., and is composed of lands given by the States of Maryland and Virginia, and straddling the Potomac River, and also territories, and areas purchased in other States for forts (military bases), etc. And that’s it! Congress cannot make laws for the Citizens in the States. Yet we have such laws in force today! How was this accomplished?

Those who deviously created the corporate “United States”, which purportedly exists in Washington, D.C., created a further Fiction of Law by incorporating the 50 States into the area of Washington, D.C.! Now, obviously those States could not literally fit within the ten miles square, but on paper, anything is possible. Let’s use California again as an example, and its State Income Tax Laws, and let’s see just what has been done.

The California Revenue and Taxation Code, at Section 17014, defines the term “Resident” in part as: “(a) Resident includes:

- (1) Every individual who is in this state for other than a temporary or transitory purpose.
- (2) Every individual domiciled in this state who is outside the state for a temporary or transitory purpose.”

This seems clear enough. However, we are dealing with legal codes and statutes and only legal definitions can apply for terms used therein. You are about to see a very clever word game! When the Code says “in this state”, you would expect this to mean California, right? Before going to the California Code section which defines the term “state”, we need to first look at a legal definition of another term.

Black’s Law Dictionary, at page 905, defines the term “Include” in part as: “To confine within, hold as in an inclosure, take in, attain, shut up, contain, inclose, comprise, comprehend, embrace, involve. Including may, according to context, express an enlargement and have the meaning of and/or in addition to... *Miller v. Johnston*, 91 S.E. 593; *Prarie Oil and Gas v. Motter*, 1 F.Supp. 464, 468; *Rose v. State*, 184 S.W. 60, 61; (etc.)”

When statutes or codes use the term “include” or “includes” in definitions, the meaning is “confined” to what is specifically mentioned in the definition. If the term “including” is used, this may express an expansion or enlargement of terms being defined. Now, let’s look again at the California Code.

The California Revenue and Taxation Code, at Section 17018, defines the term “State” in full as: “State includes the District of Columbia, and the possessions of the United States.” Did you see any mention of California in there? And how did Washington, D.C. get into California? Well, it didn’t. It’s the other way around. California is now part of the District of Columbia! Think this may be an incorrect interpretation on the part of this writer?

On May 31, 1991, this writer filed an Information Practices Act Request (this is the equivalent of a Freedom of Information Act Request, but on the State level) with the State of California Franchise Tax Board Disclosure Office, requesting a copy of the document or decision that would define this writer as a “resident” of the “State” of California, pursuant to Code Sections 17014 and 17018. This sounds simple enough on the one hand, but understanding the above definitions, it is a bit of a convoluted request, as “State” is now the District of Columbia, while the California Republic is still on the West Coast! What do you think their response was?

On June 26, 1991, the F.T.B. Disclosure Office responded to this writer’s request by stating that “No such documents exist.”! Nor could they. The stealing of America has all been done by one big word game. When you sign any State Income Tax form in California, you may be standing on California soil, but you have just agreed to be classified as a “resident” of the District of Columbia! Under the corporate “United States”, there are no individual states as such, only one big Federal District.

Now do you see why you must go into the courtroom and demand your rights as that belligerent claimant in person? Go check your own state’s income tax laws or any other state laws or codes, or the Internal Revenue Code for that matter, for the definition of the term “State”. You will be lucky to find any States in existence. They’ve all become Federal Instrumentalities blended into one big federal stew!!

Yet, as late as 1967, the Supreme Court has stated that: “In our country the people are sovereign and the Government cannot sever its relationship to the people by taking away their citizenship. Our *Constitution* governs us and we must never forget that our *Constitution* limits the Government to those powers specifically granted...” *Afroyim v. Rusk, Secretary of State, 387 U.S. 253,257.*

No tyrannical, dictatorial government ever freely gave up the reigns of power and returned control to the people, even if a Constitution was supposedly in place. It just doesn’t happen that way. The question, then, before us is how do we regain our Sovereign Citizen Status and control over our judicial system and our government?

There appear to basically be two ways to do this: individually, and/or collectively. Since our One World Controllers have mastered the art of divide and conquer, the collective approach is constantly undermined, and though there are many Citizens’ movements nationwide fighting to regain our country for The People, every one of them has been infiltrated, and that includes right here in Tehachapi! Do not be dismayed, however, as these groups continue to make significant advances, and in their ability to network together lies their greatest strength.

The individual approach must be followed every day by each and every one of us, and your inquires to state and federal agencies of all kinds, as well as to the Congress, the President, and the Courts, concerning information regarding definitions of “States” and the use of the Military Flag in our courtrooms, along with a thousand other questions, will help us shape the kind of response and the actions we must pursue in

order to reestablish the Common Law and regain control of America for her Citizens.

We must get back to a Government De Jure. *Black's Law Dictionary*, at page 825, defines the term "Government De Jure" in part as: "A government of right; the true and lawful government; a government established according to the *Constitution*..." Our *Constitution* works just fine when it is adhered to. But when the People fall asleep and lose their eternal vigilance, tyrants come in and seize power and Freedom falls! It happens every time.

Federalist Paper Number 78 states in part: "A Constitution is in fact, and must be, regarded by the judges as a fundamental law. It therefore belongs to them to ascertain its meaning as well as the meaning of any particular act proceeding from the legislative body. If there should happen to be an irreconcilable variance between the two, that which has the superior obligation and validity ought of course to be preferred; or in other words, the Constitution ought to be preferred to the statute, the intention of The People to the intention of their agents."

Could this be stated any more clearly? The intent of the Framers of our *Constitution* is spelled out in unmistakable terms in those *Federalist Papers*. Judges today, in both State and Federal courts, who do not adhere to the above and recognize the Rights of The People as being paramount and superior to any acts of any legislature, need to be removed from the Bench and brought up on charges of Treason. We Sovereign Citizens must demand this be done, and we must demand it NOW!!!

We must re-establish our State Citizenship and remove ourselves from the exclusive legislative jurisdiction of the corporate fiction titled "United States". *Federalist Paper* Number 51 reminds us that: "Justice is the end of Government. It is the end of civil society. It ever has been, and ever will be pursued, until it be obtained, or until Liberty be lost in the pursuit."

We close this Part with the very profound words and thoughts of Marcus Tullius Cicero (106-43 B.C.): "Power and law are not synonymous. In truth they are frequently in opposition and irreconcilable. There is God's Law from which all Equitable laws of man emerge and by which men must live if they are not to die in oppression, chaos and despair. Divorced from God's eternal and immutable Law, established before the founding of the suns, man's power is evil no matter the noble words with which it is employed or the motives urged when enforcing it. Men of good will, mindful therefore of the Law laid down by God, will oppose governments whose rule is by men, and if they wish to survive as a nation they will destroy the government which attempts to adjudicate by the whim of venal judges."